

Representation

Draft Modification Report

0440 – Project Nexus – iGT Single Service Provision

Consultation close out date: 21 March 2014
Respond to: enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
Organisation: E.ON
Representative: Colette Baldwin
Date of Representation: 21 March 2014

Do you support or oppose implementation?

Support

Please summarise (in one paragraph) the key reason(s) for your support/opposition.

This modification supports the delivery of single service provision for iGT supply points and ensures that all customers can benefit from the benefits established by Project Nexus changes, those of individual meter point reconciliation and rolling AQ.

Are there any new or additional issues that you believe should be recorded in the Modification Report?

No

Relevant Objectives:

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

Relevant Objective D: The modification provides a clear benefit to customers, the inclusion of iGT supply points within the Large GTs Agent's system will result in shippers having the ability to use a single set of processes and systems to manage all supply points and it will remove the inefficient manual processes we are currently forced to use.

Objective F: This modification will facilitate interaction between the iGTs and the GDNs in data provision between their organisation for the management of all connected systems.

Impacts and Costs:

What analysis, development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification were implemented?

We have provided those separately at the stage of Xoserve collating a cost benefit analysis.

Implementation:

What lead-time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

This modification should be implemented with Project Nexus changes UNC Mod 432 & Mod 434.

Legal Text:

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

0440
Representation

21 February 2014

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 2

© 2014 all rights reserved

Yes

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

Please provide any additional comments, supporting analysis, or other information that that you believe should be taken into account or you wish to emphasise.

No