

0600:

Mod Title: Amend obligation for the acceptance of
EPDQD revisions made after D+5



Proposer: Angharad Williams, National Grid NTS

Panel Date: 20th October 2016

Why change?



- UNC states no revisions to the EPDQD will be made after D+5
- National Grid NTS accepting late revisions to ensure accurate shipper allocations
- If late revisions no longer accepted, will have adverse impact on shipper allocations
- Therefore UNC needs to be aligned to reflect current practices
- Propose revised obligation, so EPDQD amendments can be accepted after D+5, but inclusion of new reporting obligation for late amendments

Options



- Feedback from sub-terminals and shippers shown majority support continuation of current practices
- National Grid cannot continue to accept late revisions without amending UNC
- 2 other options rejected:
 - Enforce D+5
 - Not supported by sub-terminals or shippers
 - Exposes shippers to inaccurate allocations
 - Extend D+5
 - Would impact CVA shipper allocation process at D+7
 - No clear evidence for an appropriate alternative timescale
 - Would not drive behaviour for sites to submit data by D+5

Solution



- **UNC Change:**

- Amend E 1.4.2 of UNC, so EPDQD amendments submitted between D+5 & M+15 can continue to be accepted
- But any post-D+5 amendment will be reported on the National Grid website
- Report will contain following details: site name, relevant gas day, reason for late amendment

- **Non-UNC Change:**

- Update generic NEA template
- When existing contracts opened for amendments include EPDQD revisions
- Write to all system entry points to inform them of change to code, and requirements upon them which will ensure acceptance of their late revisions

Recommended Steps



- The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:
 - Subject to self-governance
 - Workgroup assessment to develop the modification for 1 month
- Self-governance: likely to formally enable only a small subset of overall EPDQD revisions received
- Assessed by Workgroup: questions raised at Transmission Workgroup which need to be addressed
- Only one Workgroup required because main parties already been engaged through questionnaire or telephone interview
- Has been discussed at Transmission Workgroup over several months and views have been taken on board.