#### **Draft Modification Report**

# Removal of obligation to Notify Meter Reader during Nomination or Confirmation activity as defined in paragraphs G 2.3.2 and G 2.6.1 Modification Reference Number 0079

Version 10

This Draft Modification Report is made pursuant to Rule 8.9 of the Modification Rules and follows the format required under Rule 9.6.

## 1. The Modification Proposal

The proposal is to delete TPD Section G2.3.2(f)(i) and to delete the reference to 'Meter Reader' in TPD Section G2.6.1(d).

The UNC currently places an obligation on a new Supplier to notify the Transporter of the identity of the proposed Meter Reader. Users are required to provide such information as a consequence of relevant Supply Point Nomination and Confirmation activities.

Following the 'unbundling' of NDM meter reading, there no longer appears to be a valid requirement for Transporters to retain details of the Meter Read Agent. Additionally the UNC does not oblige Users to maintain the accuracy of such information.

For the reasons given above and to align the UNC with current industry practice this proposal seeks to remove any obligation on Users to provide this information to the Transporters.

# 2. Extent to which implementation of the proposed modification would better facilitate the relevant objectives

The proposer believes implementation of this Modification Proposal would better facilitate the promotion of efficiency in the administration of the Uniform Network Code.

# 3. The implications of implementing the Modification Proposal on security of supply, operation of the Total System and industry fragmentation

The implementation of this Proposal should not have any effect on security of supply, operation of the Total System, or industry fragmentation.

# 4. The implications for Transporters and each Transporter of implementing the Modification Proposal, including

#### a) implications for operation of the System:

No implications for operation of the system have been identified.

### b) development and capital cost and operating cost implications:

No development and capital cost and operating cost implications have been identified.

c) extent to which it is appropriate to recover the costs, and proposal for the most appropriate way to recover the costs:

No cost recovery mechanism is proposed.

d) analysis of the consequences (if any) this proposal would have on price regulation:

No such consequences on price regulation have been identified.

5. The consequence of implementing the Modification Proposal on the level of contractual risk of each Transporter under the Code as modified by the Modification Proposal

No such consequences have been identified.

6. The high level indication of the areas of the UK Link System likely to be affected, together with the development implications and other implications for the UK Link Systems and related computer systems of each Transporter and Users

The propser beleives there is a potential for this proposal to impact on the UK Link records associated with Nomination and Confirmation activities (spec. S42, S48, S49). However, the Meter Reader identifier fields within these records are 'optional' and hence an amendment to these records is not required, would produce unnecessary costs and be of no benefit to either Users or Transporters. Given this, no systems impact is anticipated. However, at any point in time, and subject to the established governance processes, the Transporters would be free to implement a change should they believe this to be cost effective.

7. The implications of implementing the Modification Proposal for Users, including administrative and operational costs and level of contractual risk

No such implications have been identified.

8. The implications of implementing the Modification Proposal for Terminal Operators, Consumers, Connected System Operators, Suppliers, producers and, any Non Code Party

No such implications have been identified.

9. Consequences on the legislative and regulatory obligations and contractual relationships of each Transporter and each User and Non Code Party of implementing the Modification Proposal

No such consequences have been identified.

10. Analysis of any advantages or disadvantages of implementation of the Modification Proposal

#### **Advantages**

• Administrative efficiency

### **Disadvantages**

- None identified
- 11. Summary of representations received (to the extent that the import of those representations are not reflected elsewhere in the Modification Report)

Written representations are now invited.

12. The extent to which the implementation is required to enable each Transporter to facilitate compliance with safety or other legislation

Implementation is not required to enable each Transporter to facilitate compliance with safety or other legislation.

13. The extent to which the implementation is required having regard to any proposed change in the methodology established under paragraph 5 of Condition A4 or the statement furnished by each Transporter under paragraph 1 of Condition 4 of the Transporter's Licence

Implementation is not required having regard to any proposed change in the methodology established under paragraph 5 of Condition A4 or the statement furnished by each Transporter under paragraph 1 of Condition 4 of the Transporter's Licence.

14. Programme for works required as a consequence of implementing the Modification Proposal

No programme of works would be required as a consequence of implementing the Modification Proposal.

15. Proposed implementation timetable (including timetable for any necessary information systems changes)

Implementation can be immediate on receipt of direction from Ofgem.

16. Implications of implementing this Modification Proposal upon existing Code Standards of Service

No implications of implementing this Modification Proposal upon existing Code Standards of Service have been identified

17. Recommendation regarding implementation of this Modification Proposal and the number of votes of the Modification Panel

#### 19. Text

UNC - TPD SECTION G

#### Amend paragraph 2.3.2(f) to read as follows: -

- 2.3.2 A Supply Point Nomination which is a Supply Point Commodity Rate Renomination shall comply with the provisions of paragraph 2.3.9 and any other Supply Point Nomination shall specify:
  - (a) the identity of the Proposing User;
  - (b) whether the Proposed Supply Point is a Current Supply Point or a New Supply Point, and in the case of a New Supply Point a description of the basis on which the Single Premises Requirement is satisfied:
  - (c) the Supply Meter Point Reference Number in respect of:
    - (i) in the case of a Current Larger Supply Point, either one or all of the Supply Meter Points comprised in the Proposed Supply Point; or
    - (ii) in the case of a New Supply Point, all of the Supply Meter Points comprised in the Proposed Supply Point;
  - (d) the Meter Post Code in respect of each Supply Meter Point for which the Supply Meter Point Reference Number is specified under paragraph (c);
  - (e) where the Proposed Supply Point includes one or more DM Supply Meter Points, the proposed Supply Point Capacity and proposed Supply Point Offtake Rate in respect of the DM Supply Point Component, in compliance with the requirements of paragraph 5;
  - (f) where the Proposed Supply Point includes an NDM Supply Meter Point: and where the Annual Quantity of the NDM Supply Point Component is less than 293,000 kWh (10,000 therms) but in excess of 73,200 kWh (2,500 therms), whether the relevant Supply Meters are proposed to be a Monthly Read Meter;
    - (i) the proposed Meter Reader; and
    - (ii) where the Annual Quantity of the NDM Supply Point Component is less than 293,000 kWh (10,000 therms) but in excess of 73,200 kWh (2,500 therms), whether the relevant Supply Meters are proposed to be a Monthly Read Meter:
  - (g) the Supply Meter Point Reference Number of any Supply Meter Point comprised in the Proposed Supply Point which is to become or (as the case may be) ceased to be a DM Supply Meter Point; and
  - (h) any other details which are required to be specified in any particular case pursuant to any provision of this Section G; or
  - (i) where a User wishes to apply for the NTS Optional Commodity Rate at an Eligible Exit Point, the Specified Exit Point and the Specified Entry Point; or
  - (j) where a User wishes to apply for the LDZ Optional Capacity Rate, the LDZ Specified Exit Point.

#### Amend paragraph 2.6.1(d) to read as follows: -

- 2.6 Supply Point Confirmations: Smaller Supply Points
- 2.6.1 A Supply Point Confirmation in respect of a Smaller Supply Point shall specify:
  - (a) the identity of the Proposing User;

#### Joint Office of Gas Transporters

- (b) the Supply Meter Point Reference Number in respect of one Supply Meter Point comprised in the Proposed Supply Point, and the Meter Post Code of such Supply Meter Point;
- (c) the Proposed Supply Point Registration Date; and
- (d) the proposed Meter Reading Frequency and Meter Reader.

Representations are now sought in respect of this Draft Report and prior to the Transporters finalising the Report

## Joint Office of Gas Transporters

Subject Matter Expert sign off:  I confirm that I have prepared this modification report in accordance with the Modification Rules Signature:
Date:
Signed for and on behalf of Relevant Gas Transporters:
Tim Davis Chief Executive, Joint Office of Gas Transporters
Signature:
Date :