

Workstream Report
Facilitating a Supply Point Enquiry Service for Large Supply Points
Modification Reference Number 0253
Version 1.0

This Workstream Report is presented for the UNC Modification Panel's consideration. The Distribution Workstream considers that the Proposal is sufficiently developed and should now proceed to the Consultation Phase. The Workstream also recommends that the Panel requests the preparation of legal text for this Modification Proposal.

1 The Modification Proposal

British Gas have raised this proposal to amend the UNC to permit access to a Supply Point Enquiry service for all larger supply points.

Presently, the UNC (G1.17) only permits a Supply Point Enquiry where an Enquiring User is "contemplating submitting a Supply Point Nomination". This means that, for a User to provide a quotation to a customer, the User must first submit the Supply Point Enquiry to the Transporters Agent and then receive the Supply Point Enquiry data.

The problem is that the process of submitting a Supply Point Enquiry and receipt and secondary processing of this data into a quotation adds time and cost to each User.

If the UNC permitted the provision of the Supply Point Enquiry data for all larger supply points and this data was available to Users to purchase from xoserve as a report, then Users would be able to improve their internal quotation processes, and possibly remove costs from the wider business

Users may then choose contract with xoserve directly for the provision of this report on a commercial basis.

The Proposal

This proposal would amend the UNC to enable Transporters to release all necessary data to produce a report to Users containing the same data as available to Users following a Supply Point Enquiry. This Proposal applies to LSPs only.

2 User Pays

a) Classification of the Proposal as User Pays or not and justification for classification

There are no User Pays implications.

b) Identification of Users, proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and justification

Not applicable.

c) Proposed charge(s) for application of Users Pays charges to Shippers

Not applicable.

d) Proposed charge for inclusion in ACS – to be completed upon receipt of cost estimate from xoserve

Not applicable.

3 Extent to which implementation of the proposed modification would better facilitate the relevant objectives

Standard Special Condition A11.1 (a): *the coordinated, efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system to which this licence relates;*

Implementation would not be expected to better facilitate this relevant objective.

Standard Special Condition A11.1 (b): *so far as is consistent with sub-paragraph (a), the (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters;*

Implementation would not be expected to better facilitate this relevant objective.

Standard Special Condition A11.1 (c): *so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations under this licence;*

Implementation would not be expected to better facilitate this relevant objective.

Standard Special Condition A11.1 (d): *so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (c) the securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers;*

The implementation of this proposal would enable Users to procure a report from Transporters which could improve their internal processes and provide quicker responses to customer quotations. This would improve the customer experience and secure effective competition between relevant shippers and suppliers.

Some Shippers felt the Proposal benefits larger Shipper organisations over smaller Shippers, as the larger Shippers can use available resources to target customers which could be considered detrimental to competition.

Standard Special Condition A11.1 (e): *so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (d), the provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards (within the meaning of paragraph 4 of standard condition 32A (Security of Supply – Domestic Customers) of the standard conditions of Gas Suppliers' licences) are satisfied as respects the*

availability of gas to their domestic customers;

Implementation would not be expected to better facilitate this relevant objective.

Standard Special Condition A11.1 (f): *so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (e), the promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the network code and/or the uniform network code.*

Implementation would not be expected to better facilitate this relevant objective.

4 The implications of implementing the Modification Proposal on security of supply, operation of the Total System and industry fragmentation

No implications on security of supply, operation of the Total System or industry fragmentation have been identified.

5 The implications for Transporters and each Transporter of implementing the Modification Proposal, including:

a) implications for operation of the System:

No implications for operation of the system have been identified.

b) development and capital cost and operating cost implications:

No development or capital costs have been identified.

c) extent to which it is appropriate to recover the costs, and proposal for the most appropriate way to recover the costs:

This Proposal would facilitate the release of data by Transporters to produce a report on a non code User Pays basis, therefore there are no development costs associated with this change.

d) Analysis of the consequences (if any) this proposal would have on price regulation:

No such consequence is anticipated.

6 The consequence of implementing the Modification Proposal on the level of contractual risk of each Transporter under the Code as modified by the Modification Proposal

No such consequence is anticipated.

7 The high level indication of the areas of the UK Link System likely to be affected, together with the development implications and other implications for the UK Link Systems and related computer systems of each Transporter and

Users

No changes to systems would be required as a result of implementation of this Proposal.

8 The implications of implementing the Modification Proposal for Users, including administrative and operational costs and level of contractual risk

Administrative and operational implications (including impact upon manual processes and procedures)

Only those Users who wish procure the report will be impacted. No other User or Transporter will be impacted. Unlike the present Supply Point enquiries, the provision of MPRN and Post Code would not be required.

Development and capital cost and operating cost implications

No such costs have been identified.

Consequence for the level of contractual risk of Users

No such consequence has been identified.

9 The implications of implementing the Modification Proposal for Terminal Operators, Consumers, Connected System Operators, Suppliers, producers and, any Non Code Party

No such implications have been identified.

10 Consequences on the legislative and regulatory obligations and contractual relationships of each Transporter and each User and Non Code Party of implementing the Modification Proposal

No such consequences have been identified.

11 Analysis of any advantages or disadvantages of implementation of the Modification Proposal

Advantages

- This Modification Proposal will enable Users to procure a report which will allow them to respond to customer quotation requests in a timelier manner.

Disadvantages

- The Modification Proposal has the potential to allow the targeting of customer groups without the receipt of an enquiry from a customer.
- This Modification Proposal facilitates the release of data for multiple

Supply Points which may be less up to date than if requested for individual Supply Points.

12 Summary of representations received (to the extent that the import of those representations are not reflected elsewhere in the Workstream Report)

No written representations have been received.

13 The extent to which the implementation is required to enable each Transporter to facilitate compliance with safety or other legislation

No such requirement has been identified.

14 The extent to which the implementation is required having regard to any proposed change in the methodology established under paragraph 5 of Condition A4 or the statement furnished by each Transporter under paragraph 1 of Condition 4 of the Transporter's Licence

No such requirement has been identified.

15 Programme for works required as a consequence of implementing the Modification Proposal

No programme for works has been identified.

16 Proposed implementation timetable (including timetable for any necessary information systems changes)

Implementation could be immediate on receipt of a decision.

17 Implications of implementing this Modification Proposal upon existing Code Standards of Service

No implications of implementing this Modification Proposal upon existing Code Standards of Service have been identified.

18 Workstream recommendation regarding implementation of this Modification Proposal

The Distribution Workstream considers that the Proposal is sufficiently developed and should now proceed to the Consultation Phase. The Workstream also recommends that the Panel requests the preparation of legal text for this Modification Proposal.