

Action 804
0498/0502 Assessment of
Environmental Impacts


Teesside Gas
Processing Plant

David O'Donnell
23 October 2014



Background

- **CATS/TGPP existing CO₂ spec is 2.9 mol%**
- **CATS & TGLP have requested a revised CO₂ spec to 4 mol%**
- **Two main benefits**
 - **Avoid restricting throughput of existing gas fields**
 - **Avoid risk of potential new gas fields not being developed e.g. Jackdaw**
- **BP assessment of forward CO₂ content**
 - **2014-2018**
 - **CO₂ levels of >2.9 mol% for max of 5% of time at a peak of 4 mol%**
 - **Occur in summer (2-3 days)**
 - **Estimate total impact 0.03 mol% on annual average**
 - **2019+**
 - **Potential new gas fields developed**
 - **Summer months between 2.66 mol% and 3.6 mol% (max 4 mol%)**
 - **Non-summer months between 2.66 mol% and 3 mol% (max 3.57 mol%)**

Action 804 – Assessment of Environmental Impact

- **Considered max CO₂ emissions and annual forecast cost for 3 scenarios around a new gas field project in period 2019 to 2040**
- **Scenario 1 – Offshore CO₂ removal**
 - **Amine unit installed offshore to remove CO₂ down to 2.9 mol% prior to entry into CATS pipeline**
- **Scenario 2 – Onshore CO₂ removal**
 - **Amine unit installed onshore to remove CO₂ down to 2.9 mol% prior to entry on to the NTS**
- **Scenario 3 – NTS Delivery at 4mol%**
 - **Natural gas is delivered to NTS with a 4 mol% CO₂ content**
- **In all scenarios the following are calculated:**
 - **Amount of CO₂ removed plus emissions from associated fuel gas**
 - **Forecast cost of the amine installation where required**
 - **Forecast cost of annual emissions from the process**

Action 804 – Assessment of Environmental Impact

Assessment of CO ₂ Removal Cost For Field Development (2019-2040)	Scenario 1 Offshore CO ₂ Removal	Scenario 2 Onshore CO ₂ Removal	Scenario 3 NTS Delivery at 4 mol % CO ₂
CO ₂ Removed by Amine unit (4 mol% to 2.9 mol%) (te)	566,214	612,989	0
CO ₂ in fuel gas consumed by Amine unit (te)	261,121	266,040	0
CO ₂ above 2.9 mol% emitted by consumers (te)	0	0	545,022
Total additional CO₂ emissions (te)	827,335	879,029	545,022
CO ₂ Traded Cost (£)	£35,005,686	£36,551,453	£11,517,817
CO ₂ Non-Traded Cost (£)	£0	£0	£20,564,628
Cost of Amine Unit (£)	£122,000,000	£200,000,000	£0
Total Cost (£)	£157,005,686	£236,551,453	£32,082,445
Cost per Tonne	£190	£269	£59

- Forecast production from Jackdaw using data provided by Jackdaw Operator
- CO₂ costs derived from DECC - Valuation of Energy Use and GHG Emissions Toolkit
- Assumed 50/50 split between traded and non-traded cost in Scenario 3

Action 804 – Conclusions

- **Single field case is the max impact case – assume full field CO₂ at 4 mol%, in reality will be diluted by other gas**
- **CO₂ removal at “source” (scenarios 1 & 2) creates 60% more CO₂ emissions than emitting by user (scenario 3)**
- **Increased electrical load to drive amine units will further add to emission in Scenarios 1 & 2 but are not included in model**
- **No account taken of additional Benzene and Methane emitted from amine units**
- **Cost of mitigation at “source” is between 3x and 4.5 times more expensive per tonne of CO₂ than emitting by user**
- **Dilution of CO₂ by other gas will reduce overall additional CO₂ emissions but will make amine solutions (scenarios 1 & 2) more costly relative to scenario 3 – similar capital to remove less CO₂**