

**Draft Modification Report**  
**Introduction of Change of Tenancy Marker to the Confirmation/Registration process**  
**Modification Reference Number 0591**

Version 3.0

This Draft Modification Report is made pursuant to Rule 8.9 of the Modification Rules and follows the format required under Rule 8.9.3.

**1. The Modification Proposal**

To identify when a Confirmation/Registration is a consequence of a customer moving house so that erroneous objections by the Existing supplier can be avoided.

**2. Transco's Opinion**

Transco supports Scottish and Southern Energy's Modification Proposal No. 0591 which proposes the introduction of a "change of tenancy"(CoT) indicator into the Supply Point Registration process and believes its role in transacting this data will further facilitate the Supply Point transfer activity.

The "right of objection" is a supplier privilege under Condition 30 of the Suppliers Licence. Condition 47 of the Suppliers Licence establishes that in the event of a change of tenancy the contract of supply should terminate on the date that the customer ceases to own the premises (domestic only). Where information indicating change of tenancy is provided by the Proposing User to the Existing User, subject to the Existing User verifying the accuracy of such information it is reasonable to expect that no objection should be raised.

While Transco supports the principle that the Existing User should not object in the above circumstances Transco believes it should not reject any such objections raised for the following reasons:

- The 'change of tenancy' indicator may have been wrongly set by the Proposing User, and,
- The Existing User would have no opportunity to validate whether the Proposing Users claim of CoT was correct.

If implemented the Network Code would reflect that the Existing User should refrain from objecting in the event of a change of tenancy. However, as a Gas Transporter Transco can have no involvement in the governance of the process surrounding the use of the CoT flag.

**3. Extent to which the proposed modification would better facilitate the relevant objectives**

In the event that the Proposing User populates a 'change of tenancy' field within the Confirmation functionality in Transco's UK-Link system, the Existing User would be made aware that a change of tenancy may have occurred. This measure should facilitate the customer transfer process by reducing the likelihood of erroneous objections resulting in lapsed confirmations. The measures proposed should therefore further enhance effective competition between relevant suppliers.

**4. The implications for Transco of implementing the Modification Proposal , including  
a) implications for the operation of the System:**

No such implications have been identified.

**b) development and capital cost and operating cost implications:**

Transco would incur costs in amending its UK-Link system. The extent of these costs has not been identified at this stage.

**c) extent to which it is appropriate for Transco to recover the costs, and proposal for the most appropriate way for Transco to recover the costs:**

Transco does not propose any additional cost recovery.

**d) analysis of the consequences (if any) this proposal would have on price regulation:**

No such consequences have been identified.

**5. The consequence of implementing the Modification Proposal on the level of contractual risk to Transco under the Network Code as modified by the Modification Proposal**

As Transco will accept no responsibility for the accuracy of the data supplied, implementation of this Modification Proposal would not increase the level of its contractual risk.

**6. The development implications and other implications for computer systems of Transco and related computer systems of Users**

Changes to Transco's UK-Link system would be required. Transco believes that the proposal would also impact Users systems but is not presently aware of the level of that impact.

**7. The implications of implementing the Modification Proposal for Users**

It is likely Users would need to alter their systems and processes to accommodate implementation of this Modification Proposal.

**8. The implications of implementing the Modification Proposal for Terminal Operators, Consumers, Connected System Operators, Suppliers, producers and, any Non-Network Code Party**

If this Modification Proposal is implemented consumers may benefit from a reduction in the number of erroneous transfers.

**9. Consequences on the legislative and regulatory obligations and contractual relationships of Transco and each User and Non-Network Code Party of implementing the Modification Proposal**

No such consequences have been identified.

**10. Analysis of any advantages or disadvantages of implementation of the Modification Proposal**

Advantages:

A potential reduction in the number of erroneous transfers may result from implementation of this Modification Proposal.

Disadvantages:

The setting of a change of tenancy indicator on Transco's UK-Link system may not necessarily reflect that a change of tenancy has occurred.

**11. Summary of the Representations (to the extent that the import of those representations are not reflected elsewhere in the Modification Report)**

Representations are now sought.

**12. The extent to which the implementation is required to enable Transco to facilitate compliance with safety or other legislation**

Implementation of this Modification Proposal is not required to enable Transco to facilitate compliance with safety or other legislation.

**13. The extent to which the implementation is required having regard to any proposed change in the methodology established under Standard Condition 4(5) or the statement furnished by Transco under Standard Condition 4(1) of the Licence**

This Proposal is not required to facilitate any such change.

**14. Programme of works required as a consequence of implementing the Modification Proposal**

Systems development work would be required to enable implementation of this Modification Proposal.

**15. Proposed implementation timetable (including timetable for any necessary information systems changes)**

Community concern has been expressed with regard to further system changes other than those within scope of RGMA being expedited prior to metering separation. It is therefore expected that subject to Ofgem direction implementation would not occur prior to 3rd quarter of 2003.

**16. Recommendation concerning the implementation of the Modification Proposal**

Transco recommends that this Modification Proposal be implemented.

The September 2002 meeting of the Supply Point and Billing Workstream highlighted that Users were opposed to any further system changes prior to metering separation. Transco therefore recommends that any system changes required as a result of implementation of this Modification Proposal should not occur until after the 'hard cutover' date.

**17. Text**

**Section G Paragraph 2.8**

Amend paragraph G2.8.1 to read:-

“2.8.1 Where, at the time a User submits a Supply Point Confirmation which is not rejected by Transco, there is any Existing Supply Point in respect of which a Supply Point Withdrawal has not been submitted:

- (a) Transco will, within 2 Business Days after the Supply Point Confirmation was submitted, notify the Existing Registered User of the submission of the Supply Point Confirmation and the Proposed Supply Point Registration Date, but not the identity of the Proposing User;
- (b) the Existing Registered User may, up to but not after the 7th Business Day after the date of notification to the Existing Registered User of the submission of the Supply Point Confirmation "**Objection Deadline**"), submit to Transco an objection ("**Supply Point Objection**") in respect of such Existing Supply Point provided that the Existing Registered User shall not submit such Supply Point Objection where a domestic consumer supplied with gas at the Existing Supply Point has ceased or is to cease to own or occupy the relevant premises;
- (c) the Proposing User may, subject to paragraph 2.8.9 (in the case of a Smaller Point) up to but not after the 8th Business Day before the Proposed Supply Point Registration Date (the Objection Deadline) submit to Transco a cancellation ("**Supply Point Confirmation Cancellation**") in respect of such Supply Point Confirmation.”

Amend paragraph G2.8.4 to read:-

"2.8.4 Transco:-

- (a) \_\_\_\_\_ will not be concerned with the reason for any Supply Point Objection nor with any question as to whether such an objection is well founded;
- (b) \_\_\_\_\_ shall, for the purposes of paragraph 2.8.1(b), notify the Existing Registered User of any notification received by Transco from the Proposing User that a domestic consumer supplied with gas at the Existing Supply Point has ceased or is to cease to own or occupy the relevant premises and Transco will not be concerned with the reason for such notification nor with any question as to whether such notification is well founded. ”

***Representations are now sought in respect of this Draft Report and prior to Transco finalising the Report***

Signed for and on behalf of Transco.

Signature:

**Steve R Phillips**  
**Director of Shipper Services**

Date: