

Tim Davis
Joint Office of Gas Transporters
First Floor South
31 Homer Road
Solihull
West Midlands
B91 3LT

6 January 2011

**Dear Tim** 

## E.ON UK plc Newstead Court Sherwood Park Little Oak Drive Annesley Nottinghamshire NG15 0DR

www.eon-uk.com

Please respond to: Brian Durber Tel: 01538 386923 Mob: 07768 031942

Brian.durber@eonenergy.com

## Response to UNC Modification Proposal 0344 Removal of the D+1 11am meter read liabilities regime for DM voluntary (DMV) Supply Points

We do not see any logic in removing the liabilities for an existing service as long as that service is still offered. We can see that at some point in the future when the DME service is established and the regulated DMV service becomes redundant then the liabilities will also become redundant. Until that time they should remain.

The DME service was developed partly because of the inhibitive cost of the monopoly service; we suggest that this cost more than compensates transporters for any liability payments that they have to make as a consequence of their failure to deliver the service.

The comment from the proposer under the section 'Why Change' namely that a liability payment is appropriate for the efficient delivery of a service is interesting as it implies that without the liability the service would fail to be delivered efficiently. The conclusion being that the liability payment is essential to ensure that transporters are incentivised to deliver a service that they are rewarded for under the allowed revenue.

E.ON UK does not support Modification Proposal 0344.

Yours sincerely

Brian Durber (by email) Retail Regulation