

Draft Modification Report
RG0252 Proposal 10: Alignment of Defaulting User Threshold with Insolvency Act
(1986) Threshold
Modification Reference Number 0307
Version 1.0

This Draft Modification Report is made pursuant to Rule 9.1 of the Modification Rules and follows the format required under Rule 9.4.

1 The Modification Proposal

WWU raised Review Group 0252 “Review of Network Operator Credit Arrangements” in April 2009. This was convened to discuss the appropriateness of the existing credit management arrangements, taking into account the many credit related issues which had occurred since the publication of Ofgems “Best practice guidelines for gas and electricity network operator credit cover” (BPG) document.

Background

Currently UNC TPD V4.3.1 stipulates that a User Default occurs where a Shipper User’s debt is in excess of £10,000 and accordingly the relevant Transporter is entitled to issue a Termination Notice to the Defaulting User, pursuant to TPD V4.3.3. In addition to each individual Transporter’s potential exposure to £10,000 there is currently a mis-alignment between the UNC and the Insolvency Act (1986) where the prescribed debt limit is set to £750. Prior to Distribution Network sales, where there existed a single Transporter organisation, the £10,000 limit may have been appropriate, specific to these circumstances, however post Distribution Network Sales, where there exists five Transporter licence holders the potential exposure to total debt across all organisations is up to £50,000.

The intent of this Modification Proposal is to align UNC Section V 4.3.1 (a) with the Insolvency Act 1986 thereby having the effect of reducing the £10,000 threshold to £750 in relation to circumstances where a Shipper User can be defined as a Defaulting User. This also ensures the limit is appropriate going forward by linking it to the Insolvency Act 1986 rather than an arbitrary value.

2 User Pays

a) Classification of the Proposal as User Pays or not and justification for classification

This Proposal is not classified as a User Pays Modification Proposal as it does not create or amend any User Pays services.

b) Identification of Users, proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and justification

No User Pays charges applicable.

c) Proposed charge(s) for application of Users Pays charges to Shippers

No User Pays charges applicable to Shippers.

d) Proposed charge for inclusion in ACS – to be completed upon receipt of cost estimate from xoserve

No charges applicable for inclusion in ACS.

3 Extent to which implementation of the proposed modification would better facilitate the relevant objectives

Standard Special Condition A11.1 (a): the efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system to which this licence relates;

Implementation of this UNC Modification Proposal would better facilitate Standard Special Condition A11.1 (a) by reducing the cost of operating the pipeline system by reducing the risk of exposure of the Transporter to bad debt without an offsetting income where a Shipper User has incurred a level of debt.

Standard Special Condition A11.1 (b): so far as is consistent with subparagraph (a), the coordinated, efficient and economic operation of

(i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or

(ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters;

Implementation would not be expected to better facilitate this relevant objective.

Standard Special Condition A11.1 (c): so far as is consistent with subparagraphs (a) and (b), the efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations under this licence;

Implementation would not be expected to better facilitate this relevant objective.

Standard Special Condition A11.1 (d): so far as is consistent with subparagraphs (a) to (c) the securing of effective competition:

(i) between relevant shippers;

(ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or

(iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers;

Implementation of this UNC Modification Proposal would better facilitate Standard Special Condition A11.1 (d) (i) by reducing the risk of Transporters applying to the Authority for a Pass through of unrecovered debt to other Shipper Users and A11.1 (d) (iii) by reducing the likelihood for each Transporter of incurring bad debt.

Standard Special Condition A11.1 (e): so far as is consistent with subparagraphs (a) to (d), the provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security

standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers;

Implementation would not be expected to better facilitate this relevant objective.

Standard Special Condition A11.1 (f): so far as is consistent with subparagraphs (a) to (e), the promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the network code and/or the uniform network code;

Implementation would not be expected to better facilitate this relevant objective.

4 The implications of implementing the Modification Proposal on security of supply, operation of the Total System and industry fragmentation

No implications on security of supply, operation of the Total System or industry fragmentation have been identified.

5 The implications for Transporters and each Transporter of implementing the Modification Proposal, including:

a) Implications for operation of the System:

There are no implications for operation of the System.

b) Development and capital cost and operating cost implications:

No such implications have been identified.

c) Extent to which it is appropriate to recover the costs, and proposal for the most appropriate way to recover the costs:

No additional cost recovery is proposed.

d) Analysis of the consequences (if any) this proposal would have on price regulation:

Not applicable.

6 The consequence of implementing the Modification Proposal on the level of contractual risk of each Transporter under the Code as modified by the Modification Proposal

The contractual risk to each Transporter would be reduced following the implementation of this Modification Proposal as the amounts each Transporter could potentially be exposed to, prior to being able to issue a Termination Notice, would be reduced.

7 The high level indication of the areas of the UK Link System likely to be affected, together with the development implications and other implications for the UK Link Systems and related computer systems of each Transporter and Users

No implications have been identified.

8 The implications of implementing the Modification Proposal for Users, including administrative and operational costs and level of contractual risk

Administrative and operational implications (including impact upon manual processes and procedures)

No implications have been identified.

Development and capital cost and operating cost implications

No implications have been identified.

Consequence for the level of contractual risk of Users

A Users contractual risk will be higher as the debt trigger level will be reduced.

9 The implications of implementing the Modification Proposal for Terminal Operators, Consumers, Connected System Operators, Suppliers, producers and, any Non Code Party

No implications have been identified.

10 Consequences on the legislative and regulatory obligations and contractual relationships of each Transporter and each User and Non Code Party of implementing the Modification Proposal

No consequences have been identified.

11 Analysis of any advantages or disadvantages of implementation of the Modification Proposal

Advantages

- Alignment of the UNC Section V4.3.1 (a) and the Insolvency Act 1986
- This would reduce Transporters financial risk exposure by allowing an earlier issue of a Termination Notice (when compared with prevailing arrangements) where appropriate

Disadvantages

No disadvantages have been identified.

12 Summary of representations received (to the extent that the import of those representations are not reflected elsewhere in the Modification Report)

Written Representations are now sought in respect of this Draft Report. Consultation End Date: 30 July 2010

13 The extent to which the implementation is required to enable each

Transporter to facilitate compliance with safety or other legislation

Implementation is not required to enable each Transporter to facilitate compliance with safety or other legislation.

14 The extent to which the implementation is required having regard to any proposed change in the methodology established under paragraph 5 of Condition A4 or the statement furnished by each Transporter under paragraph 1 of Condition 4 of the Transporter's Licence

Implementation is not required having regard to any proposed change in the methodology established under paragraph 5 of Condition A4 or the statement furnished by each Transporter under paragraph 1 of Condition 4 of the Transporter's Licence.

15 Programme for works required as a consequence of implementing the Modification Proposal

No programme of works would be required as a consequence of implementing the Modification Proposal.

16 Proposed implementation timetable (including timetable for any necessary information systems changes and detailing any potentially retrospective impacts)

It is suggested that this Proposal be implemented on 1st October 2010 to coincide with the implementation of the other credit proposals being considered in this timeframe. Should this date not be achievable, then implementation could take place immediately following an Authority direction.

17 Implications of implementing this Modification Proposal upon existing Code Standards of Service

No implications of implementing this Modification Proposal upon existing Code Standards of Service have been identified.

18 Recommendation regarding implementation of this Modification Proposal and the number of votes of the Modification Panel

19 Transporter's Proposal

This Modification Report contains the Transporter's proposal to modify the Code and the Transporter now seeks direction from the Gas and Electricity Markets Authority in accordance with this report.

20 Text

Representations are now sought in respect of this Draft Report and prior to the Transporters finalising the Report.

For and on behalf of the Relevant Gas Transporters:

Tim Davis
Chief Executive, Joint Office of Gas Transporters