

UNC Modification	At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>UNC 0610:</h1> <h2>Project Nexus - Miscellaneous Requirements</h2>	<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="border: 1px solid green; border-radius: 5px; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px; width: 100px; text-align: center;">01 Modification</div> <div style="border: 1px solid blue; border-radius: 5px; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px; width: 100px; text-align: center;">02 Workgroup Report</div> <div style="border: 1px solid purple; border-radius: 5px; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px; width: 100px; text-align: center;">03 Draft Modification Report</div> <div style="border: 1px solid orange; border-radius: 5px; padding: 5px; width: 100px; text-align: center;">04 Final Modification Report</div> </div>
<p>Purpose of Modification:</p> <p>This UNC Modification Proposal identifies a series of miscellaneous changes to the UNC which are required under the Project Nexus arrangements to be implemented under UNC Modifications 0432, 0434 and 0440.</p>	
	<p>The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> assessed by a Workgroup <p>This Modification will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on 19th January 2017. The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation and determine the appropriate route.</p>
	<p>Medium Impact:</p> <p>Shipper Users, Transporters</p>

Contents		?	Any questions?
1	Summary	3	Contact: Code Administrator
2	Governance	3	 enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
3	Why Change?	3	 0121 288 2107
4	Code Specific Matters	4	Proposer: Andy Clasper
5	Solution	4	 andy.clasper@nationalgrid.com
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	5	 07884 113385
7	Relevant Objectives	15	Transporter: National Grid Gas Distribution (NGGDL)
8	Implementation	15	Systems Provider: Xoserve
9	Legal Text	16	 commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com
10	Recommendations	16	
Timetable			
The Proposer recommends the following timetable:			
Initial consideration by Workgroup	26 Jan 2017		
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	16 March 2017		
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	16 March 2017		
Consultation Close-out for representations	06 April 2017		
Final Modification Report available for Panel	10 April 2017		
Modification Panel decision	20 April 2017		

1 Summary

What

A number of areas of UNC require amendment to reflect additional Project Nexus requirements or updates/amendments to existing requirements which have been identified by NGGD and Xoserve.

Why

Since Modifications 0432, 0434 and 0440 were directed for implementation in February 2014 and January 2015, a number of additional requirements have been identified and agreed at PNUNC and subsequently documented within the existing Business Requirements Documents (BRDs).

In addition to the above changes, Xoserve, as part of their review of the Nexus requirements has identified a number of Nexus related minor housekeeping anomalies including incorrect references within the UNC which require rectification at this point.

How

This Modification seeks to incorporate within UNC the remaining Project Nexus BRD requirements, correct a number of anomalous references and rectify various minor 'housekeeping errors'.

2 Governance

Justification for Self-Governance

This Modification is proposed as self-governance as, if implemented, it would not have a material effect on relevant commercial activities as set out in the Uniform Network Code (UNC). This is because the proposed changes are predominantly concerned with process driven requirements and are necessary to provide order and clarity within the UNC. In this respect they would not have a material effect on consumers.

Requested Next Steps

This modification should:

- be treated as self-governance
- be assessed by a Workgroup

3 Why Change?

Project Nexus has been an industry wide development and consultation process which has culminated in a suite of BRDs. These documents were developed into a series of UNC Modifications which were directed by the Authority for implementation in 2014/2015.

Since direction of modifications 0432, 0434 and 0440, which established the Project Nexus arrangements, a series of additional requirements & updates to existing terms have been identified and discussed at PNUNC; these have been documented within the relevant BRD.

The BRD approach to business rule development and agreement is well established under Project Nexus governance. Each BRD includes a summary of the additional requirements documented within a table, which outlines:

1. Updates that have been made to clarify the business requirement
2. Updates to reflect Modification 0432/0434 Legal Text
3. New requirements or changes to requirements that have been previously agreed at PNUNC
4. Requirements which will not be delivered as at the Project Nexus Implementation Date (PNID) and will need to be considered as part of future development of UK-Link.

In addition to the changes outlined within the BRDs, Xoserve, as part of their review of the Project Nexus requirements have also identified a number of minor housekeeping anomalies and incorrect references within the UNC which also require rectification.

4 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

This Modification Proposal if implemented will establish within the UNC those requirements identified by Industry parties at PNUNC and documented within the relevant BRD.

Each of the following BRDs contains a table which outlines the updates/changes made since the relevant BRD was baselined following implementation direction of Modifications 0432, 0434 and 0440.

[Annual Quantity Business Requirements Definition](#)

[Business Principles for Invoicing](#)

[Meter Read Submission and Processing and Settlement Arrangements Business Requirements Definition](#)

[Reconciliation Business Requirements Definition](#)

[Retrospective Updates Business Requirements Definition](#)

[Supply Point Register Business Requirements Principles](#)

Knowledge/Skills

Specific Project Nexus knowledge and involvement in development of the regime would be useful especially involvement in completion of the Business Requirements Documents.

<http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/nexus/brd>

5 Solution

Modification of the UNC is required to reflect the requirements identified within the tables highlighted within the BRDs. The BRDs identify detailed business rules, which form the foundation for the necessary changes to the UNC. The following BRDs are relevant to this Modification Proposal:

Document Name	Version and Date	Current Location
Annual Quantity BRD	v5.6 18 May 2015	Annual Quantity Business Requirements Definition
Invoicing Business Principles	v2.4 20 Mar 2015	Business Principles for Invoicing
Settlement BRD	v4.6 04 July 2016	Meter Read Submission and Processing and Settlement Arrangements Business Requirements Definition
Reconciliation BRD	v3.5 07 July 2016	Reconciliation Business Requirements Definition
Retrospective Updates BRD –	v4.7 07 July 2016	Retrospective Updates Business Requirements Definition
Supply Point Register Business Principles –	v3.8 07 July 2016	Supply Point Register Business Requirements Principles

BRD requirements

1. **Annual Quantity (AQ) corrections** *Annual Quantity BRD v5.6 section 8.6.*

Inclusion of the following relating to the AQ correction ‘exception’ process

- a. In order for an AQ correction to be effective for the 1st day of the following month it must be submitted by month minus 15 (M-15) Business Days (1st of the month less 15 Business Days).
- b. A pending AQ correction can be cancelled up to month minus 8 (M-8) Business Days
- c. The AQ from a successful AQ correction request will be notified to the Registered Shipper User on month minus 5 (M-5) Business Days
- d. Where an AQ correction is requested following a ‘New Business Activity’, this must be submitted by the Registered Shipper User within 3 months of Supply Point Registration.
- e. A successful AQ correction will update the Formula Year AQ & Daily Capacity (SOQ) used for transportation charges for Class 3 and 4 Supply Meter Points with effect from the effective date of the new AQ.

2. **Notification to Proposing Shipper User of the last Meter Reading & Re-confirmation** *Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.9.*

- a. Provide last Valid Meter Reading recorded no later than Supply Point Registration Date -2 (SPRD).
- b. Provide the last Check Read and Check Read date (where applicable).

3. **Gas Nomination** *Settlement BRD section 5.1.2*
 - a. Where a value for the gas nomination is not submitted by the Shipper User for Class 1 and 2 sites and the default value of D-7 is not available then AQ / 365 will be used.

4. **Change in Product** *Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.12*
 - a. When requesting to change from Class 3 or 4 to Class 1 or 2, the Registered Supply Point Daily Capacity (SOQ) (must be the prevailing value with an SHQ in ratio) must be Nominated for the request to be effective within 5 Days via the Supply Point Amendment process.
 - b. A Meter Reading is required for a change of Class. Where a Meter Reading for the effective date of the change in Class is not provided, an estimate Meter Reading will be created and notified to the Shipper User.

The date of the Meter Reading and submission of the Meter Reading will follow the same timescales as the relevant Opening Meter Reading provisions as set out in the UNC.

5. **Supply Point Ratchets** *Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.20*
 - a. Supply Point Ratchets will be applied to LDZ CSEP Supply Meter Points in Class 1 or 2 in place of Overruns.
 - b. For a Supply Meter Point moving from Class 3 or 4 to Class 1 or 2 a Supply Point Ratchet charge will not be levied for the first 12 months from which the DM SOQ is Registered.

6. **Clarification of Settlement process for Primes and Subs arrangements** *Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.21*
 - a. 'Guidelines for Sub-Deduct Arrangements (Prime and Sub-deduct Meter Points)' to be referenced within the UNC.

7. **Changes to Supply Point Category (SPC)** *Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.22*
 - a. Amendment to UNC to provide that:
 - A Supply Point is a DM Supply Point if it comprises a Class 1 or Class 2 Supply Point
 - All Class 1 Supply Points with an AQ above 1.465 billion kWhs will be VLDMC Supply Points
 - A Supply Point is a DMC Supply Point if it comprises a Class 1 Supply Point, which is not a VLDMC Supply Point
 - A Supply Point is a DMA Supply Point if it comprises a Class 2 Supply Point.
 - A Supply Point is an NDM Supply Point where it comprises a Class 3 or 4 Supply Point.
 - b. Reference to (SDMC(I)s) within A4.5.3 to be deleted.

8. **Re-Synchronisation** *Reconciliation BRD v3.5 section 8.5*
 - a. Once a re-synch has been processed, reads within the period of the re-synch cannot be replaced.

9. **Twinstream Meters** *Supply Point Register Business Principles v3.8 section 8.14*

- a. A Twinstream Meter is where two or more Supply Meters are installed at a single Supply Meter Point connection. The following rules are to apply at such a Supply Meter Point.
 - i. There will be one Meter Point Reference Number (MPRN) for the Supply Meter Point
 - ii. All meters installed at the Supply Meter Point will be recorded on the Supply Point Register (SPR)
 - iii. A Twinstream Meter will be registered with one Shipper User and processed under one Class.
 - iv. The AQ, SOQ and Hourly Capacity (SHQ) will be calculated and recorded on the SPR at the Supply Meter Point level
 - v. Reads will be submitted at Supply Meter level, consumption & energy calculated will be aggregated at the Supply Meter Point level.
 - vi. Allocation and Reconciliation processes will take place at Supply Meter Point level
 - vii. When an estimated Meter Reading is required it will be calculated using the estimation methodology for the relevant Class.

10. **Supply Point Administration (SPA) activities on the current portfolio of 'unique' sites** *Supply Point Register Business Principles v3.8 section 8.17*

- a. Supply Point Nominations will be submitted via the standard SPA file flows. Nominations will be referred to the appropriate Network/NGT for the following checks;
 - i. Contract i.e. NExA
 - ii. MNEPOR (NTS only)
 - iii. NExA Max SOQ
 - iv. NTS/LDZ Optional Tariff

11. **Change of Registered Shipper User Opening Meter Reading** *Settlement BRD section 5.10 & 5.11*

- a. The outgoing Class estimation methodology will be used for estimating the Opening Meter Reading.
- b. Where an Opening Meter Reading for a Class 1 Supply Meter is not provided an estimated Meter Reading as per TPD M5.6.2 will be used as the Opening Meter Reading (amendment to M5.13.8 required).

12. **Change in Class** *Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.12*

- a. The request to change Class can be cancelled up to D-3 of the effective Supply Point Registration Date.

13. **Submission of consumption adjustments** *Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.23*

- a. A consumption adjustment can be submitted for the following reasons;
 - i. Meter By-Pass: The consumption adjustment must be submitted for the total corrected Metered Volume for the period of the By-Pass, between the By-Pass Open Date & By-Pass Close Date.
 - ii. Theft of Gas: The start and end date of the theft period needs to be aligned to Meter Readings held on the Supply Point Register. The consumption adjustment to be the total corrected Metered Volume covering the period of the theft.
 - iii. Daily Read Error: Daily consumption adjustment to be submitted for the total corrected volume.
 - iv. Faulty Meter: The total corrected volume for the period of the fault
 - v. Twinstream Meters: The corrected total aggregated Metered Volume (all Supply Meters in the arrangement) to be provided.
- c. The consumption adjustment will be subject to the Market Breaker tolerance.
- d. Once a consumption adjustment has been processed Meter Readings within the period of the consumption adjustment cannot be replaced.

14. Re-Synchronisation Reconciliation BRD v3.5 section 8.5

- a. For Class 4 Supply Points, following receipt of a Check Reading where 'drift' has been identified, the re-synch will not be processed until Month (M)+10. Meter Reading to Meter Reading reconciliation will take place prior to Check Read to Check Read reconciliation.
- b. Where a Check Read occurs between two Meter Readings then the reconciliation for the Meter Reading will be completed from the latest Meter Reading to that Check Reading.

15. Calorific Value (CV) Updates after Exit Close Out (GFD+5) Retrospective Updates BRD v4.7 sections 8.7

- a. An update to a CV after Exit Close Out will not result in a financial invoice adjustment at Supply Meter Point level.
- b. If a CV is identified as incorrect after Exit Close out an adjustment at LDZ level will be carried out (not at Supply Meter Point level).

16. Retrospective Updates Retrospective Updates BRD v4.7 sections 8.2.9, 8.3.7 & 8.5.5

- a. Retrospective updates are only to be effective for a date either equal to or post the Code Cut Off Date.
- b. Where the actual effective date of the update pre-dates the Code Cut Off Date the Shipper User must submit the effective date equal to or greater than the Code Cut Off Date.
- c. If the effective date is equal to the Code Cut Off Date there will not be reconciliation back to a previous Meter Reading, reconciliation will only be carried out to the replaced 'suspect' reads or to a later Meter Reading.
- d. If the effective date is post the Code Cut Off Date there will be a reconciliation from the update Meter Reading to the previous Meter Reading, Reconciliation calculated and a variance created at the Code Cut Off Date.

17. Meter Information Update Meter Readings *Retrospective Updates BRD section 8.4*

- a) Where the Meter Reading is associated to a Supply Meter removal update, Supply Meter installation reading or Supply Meter removal reading, the Shipper User registered at the Supply Point at the effective date of the update can update the reading only where the Supply Meter update is already recorded within their Supply Point ownership.
- b) Where the retrospective update for a Supply Meter removal, installation or exchange is submitted by the current Shipper User, the Meter readings associated with the Meter Information update can only be amended by the Shipper User who submitted the Meter Information update (current Registered Shipper User)

18. Address Updates *Supply Point Register Business Principles v3.8 section 8.16*

- a. Where a Supply Point address update is submitted and accepted that amends the LDZ and or Exit Zone to which the Supply Meter Point is assigned to, a Supply Point Offer will be issued to the Shipper User where there is a change in the transportation charges notifying them of the applicable rates to be applied.
- b. The address update and transportation rates will be applicable 2 Business Days from receipt of the valid update.

Additional required amendments

The additional requirements over and above those documented above within the relevant BRDs are:

1. TPD B3.12.13

(a) requires amending to clarify that a CSEP may not apply for the NTS Optional Commodity Rate using Conventional Notice but must be via File Transfer only.

(d) requires amending to clarify that the Nomination/Confirmation/Amendment process for CSEP NTS Optional Rate requests will be as per Section G

2. TPD B4.6.9 (f)(i)

(f)(i) requires amending to clarify that a CSEP may not apply for the LDZ Optional Commodity Rate using Conventional Notice but must be via File Transfer only. 'proposing' User also requires capitalising (Defined Term)

3. TPD B4.9.7(b), B4.10.8(b) and E7.5.4

The use of the term 'ad-hoc' invoice was amended by Modification 0432. References to 'ad-hoc' require amending to Ancillary within the above sections of TPD.

4. TPD E3.4

Requires amending to stipulate 'prior' to the Exit Close-out Date and not 'after' as no adjustments can be made after the Exit Close-out Date.

5. TPD E3.5.1

Requirement to remove the term 'Larger' from this section of 0432 Legal Text to ensure all Supply Points, not just Larger Supply Points are included within the provision.

6. TPD E6.7.2

Remove sub paragraphs d) and e) from 0434 Legal Text as no financial adjustments following update to the LDZ or CV after Gas Flow Day +5 will be made.

7. TPD G

NTS Supply Meter points - differences in registration:

- a. User is not required to nominate the SOQ/SHQ as NTS have an MNEPOR value (max offtake rate)

8. TPD G1.5.3

Seasonal Large Supply Points and LDZ Telemetered Meter Points must also be included within the definition of Class 1 Supply Points within 0432 Legal Text.

9. TPD G1.6.14(a)

G1.6.14 (a) should refer to G7.3.6 and not G7.4.2.

10. TPD G1.7

The concept of a 'lead' Shipper User to be defined to provide deemed. The 'lead' Shipper User will submit all updates, such as Meter Information, on behalf of all associated Shipper Users of a Shared Supply Meter Point who will have given deemed approval.

11. TPD G1.7.7

Allocation between Users at a Shared Supply Point is only to be allocated at % levels; the reference to allocation by tranches is to be removed.

12. TPD G1.7.10

- a. Amend to reflect changes to the rules for submitting SSMPNs. The process and content for submitting Nominations for SSMPs is different from the normal Nomination rules, but the SSMP Nomination is to be treated the same as a normal Nomination.
- b. Users at an SSMP (or requesting a change to SSMP), cannot submit a Nomination in the usual way but must submit Nominations in accordance with the rules in G1.7.10.
- c. SSMPNs must be submitted by email on the pre-agreed template (to be specified in UK Link Manual), the Nomination will contain all information as per normal Nominations plus information required specific for the SSMP.
- d. Users at a SSMP will be able to either submit SSMPNs individually, but the SSMPs must be identical, or can submit a single one by a normal User Agent.
- e. With respect to Supply Point Confirmations, there must be a SPC from each proposing User and all must be received within 2 Business Days of each other.
- f. Supply Point Confirmations and Supply Point Offers are to be sent by e-mail.

13. TPD G1.7.17

This section to be deleted as Shared Supply Meter Points cannot be amended through a Shared Supply Meter Point Notification as this is aligned to the Nomination process, a re-confirmation is required. Relevant items to be deleted within Annex G-1 which also cannot be amended via SPA.

14. TPD G1.7.8 (b) and G1.7.21

References to Partial Interruption to be removed.

15. Annex G1

The Meter Asset Manager id can also be updated at Supply Point Confirmation

16. TPD G2.4.2(e)(ii)

References to SDMCI and Interruptible Tranches are not relevant to Supply Point Offers and should therefore be removed.

17. TPD G2.4.7

Revised Supply Point Offers will not be sent by Transporters where the Annual Quantity is revised as a consequence of a 'rolling AQ', only Formula Year AQ/SOQ and change in EUC. This section of 0432 Legal text will be amended to clarify this.

18. TPD G2.6.1/G2.3.2

- a. The User must nominate the SOQ which must be the same as the prevailing SOQ. The User may propose a SHQ, but the proposed SHQ must be consistent TPD H.
- b. Drafting within G2.3.2 to clarify which items are proposed by the User and which are 'questions of fact'

19. TPD G3.3.1

The reference to NDM to be removed as the process applies to both NDM and DM Supply Points

20. TPD G3.3.2

Provide a more detailed reference under this section. Include the sub-section b – M5.13.4 (b)

21. TPD G3.5.4

Amend to clarify that the Transporter will amend the Supply Point Register when one or more Registered User provides the required warrant.

22. TPD G3.7 & G2.11

Where a Transporter is required to confirm a Supply Meter Point in accordance with TPD G3.7, (circumstances in which the Transporter identifies either the same or different meter has been Re-established) it will do so by confirming the Supply Meter Point as a Class 4 Supply Meter Point.

23. TPD G Annex G-1

Additional requirements

- a. Supplier updates require a 2 Business Day notice period
- b. Registered Users can request a NTS (but not LDZ) Optional Tariff change via a Supply Point Amendment request.

24. TPD H2.2.1

Shared Supply Meter Points cannot comprise Class 3 or 4 Supply Meter Points so the reference to this requires removing from the 0432 Legal Text. There is also a reference to H3.1.5 (a) which requires removing/ re-referencing as this reference no longer exists.

25. TPD M2.4.5 (a)(ii)

Amend to clarify that all Classes will be calculated in accordance with M5.4

26. TPD M4.1.5

Transporters will accept updates from Proposing Users when confirming a new Supply Point; the information to be provided via Meter Information Update Notification only.

27. TPD M4.1.17

Where a revised Meter Information Update is provided by The Registered User, Transporters will not notify or provide the revised Meter Information to any Previous Registered User.

28. TPD M5.2.1 (f)

Where a Meter Read has been submitted for a Class 4 Supply Meter where a Meter Reading with a later Meter Reading Date has already been provided then the Transporter will accept the earlier Meter Read as a Valid Meter Reading.

29. TPD M5.3.5

References to Opening Meter Reading should be removed in relation to Meter Reading Validation within this section.

30. TPD M5.12.4

Amend to clarify that Shipper Users will not submit a Check Read for Class 1 Supply Meters.

31. TPD M5.13

Opening Meter Readings for Class 1 or Class 2 Supply Meters and Class 3 or Class 4 Supply Meters with remote reading equipment installed will be treated as check reads.

32. TPD M5.13.8

Legal Text in 0432 has omitted to mention the treatment of estimates as Opening Meter Readings for Class 1 Supply Meters. This section requires amending to bring Class 1 Supply Meters into scope.

33. TPD M5.13.9

Incorrect reference to 5.13.8 (a) requires amending to 5.13.8 (b)

34. TPD B

CSEPs will be subject to ratchet charges and not overrun charges at PNID. All references within section B to overrun charges are to be amended to ratchet charges.

35. TPD B4.6.9 (iv)

CSEPs are not required to wait 15 Days after first Supply Point Nomination to confirm the acceptance of the Supply Point Offer made in accordance with TPD B4.6.9 (ii)

36. TPD C2.2

References to DMA (F) will be amended to DMA and references to DMA (i) will be deleted throughout section C

37. TPD F3.3.1

The reference to SDMC(i) and section (b)(ii) requires removing.

38. TPD F3.3.2

The following to be removed

- a. Sections (a)(iv) and (b)(ii).
- b. The reference to SDMC in (i).

- c. Reference to CSEP with section (d)(i) and (d)(ii).
- d. Section (d)(iv)

39. TPD F3.3.4

Reference to SDMC(i) requires removing.

40. TPD M5.13.10(b)

Change reference to Day to 'Business Day'

41. TPD G3.1.4

To be deleted as Xoserve do not provide this information

42. TPD B3.12.10 (a)(ii)

Reference to G1.7.14 to be removed as this paragraph has been removed as part of modification 0432.

43. TPD G1.6.14 (c)

Requires amending to stipulate that recalculation will occur after 9 months and not 365 days as currently stated.

44. TPD G1.7.3 (a)

'or' to be amended to 'and'

45. TPD G2.8.1 (c)

To be amended to stipulate the deadline to cancel a Supply Point Confirmation is D-3 and not subject to the 'Objection Deadline'.

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

This Modification is essential to the implementation of the UK Link Replacement programme (which incorporates the changes required for 'Project Nexus').

Consumer Impacts

None identified.

Cross Code Impacts

None identified

EU Code Impacts

None identified

Central Systems Impacts

This Modification is required to support implementation of the UK Link Replacement programme (which incorporates the changes required for Project Nexus) as it assists transition to and maintenance of the requirements set out in the Project Nexus Business Requirement Documentation.

User Pays

Classification of the modification as User Pays, or not, and the justification for such classification.	Costs arising from the UK Link replacement and including enhancements to the existing UNC regime have been considered when price controls were set and funding provided. On this basis, no User Pays service would be created or amended by implementation of this modification and it is not, therefore, classified as a User Pays modification.
Identification of Users of the service, the proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and the justification for such view.	Not applicable
Proposed charge(s) for application of User Pays charges to Shippers.	Not applicable
Proposed charge for inclusion in the Agency Charging Statement (ACS) – to be completed upon receipt of a cost estimate from Xoserve.	Not applicable

7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	None
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

This Modification is required to provide clear rules and guidance to Large Transporters, iGTs and Shipper Users on the contractual arrangements pertaining to the UNC before and during implementation of the UK-Link Replacement Programme (including Project Nexus). The measures identified can therefore be expected to facilitate relevant objective f) 'Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

8 Implementation

No implementation timescales are proposed but Implementation should be at the earliest opportunity to provide certainty for industry parties.

9 Legal Text

Text Commentary

Text Commentary will follow.

Text

Legal Text will be provided.

10 Recommendations

Proposer's Recommendation to Panel

Panel is asked to:

- Refer this proposal to a Workgroup for assessment.