



## **Modification 0654**

01 May 2018

# Question from April Panel

Q1. Consider the interaction between AUG Review and PAC review of meter reading submissions

# Comparison of PAC and AUGE areas of concern

## Performance Assurance Committee

- Interested in compliance with existing UNC obligations
- Entitled to see reports on meter read submission performance
- Aim to improve timeliness and accuracy of settlement
- Better read performance reduces settlement risk
- Can help reduce UIG or shorten time to reconciliation

Class 1

- Daily read from DM Service Provider

Class 2

- Daily read from Shipper

Class 3

- Daily read from Shipper in batches

Class 4

- Periodic reads from Shipper

## Allocation of Unidentified Gas Expert

- Develops the Weighting Factors for sharing out UIG
- **Current** AUGE's approach requires meter read history from UKLink
- Minimum requirement is 1 read p.a. ideally close to 1 October each year
- Approach to developing Weighting Factors is at the discretion of the AUGE, consults with industry each year

# Scope of Mod 0654

Class  
3

- Daily read from Shipper in batches

Class  
4

- Periodic reads from Shipper

## Scope of NDM Demand Estimation is Class 3 and 4 only

- Demand Estimation process requires daily read history for a representative sample of NDM meter points to build relationship to day of week and weather
- Sample needs to span all sizes, geographies and market sectors (and payment types if possible)
- This is over and above the Shipper's read submission obligation for these sites
- Class 3 data could be used – but current read performance is inadequate
- Class 2 data should be used with caution – these sites are not part of the NDM population and may have different demand drivers
- Xoserve/GTs currently maintain sample sites – daily read data is not loaded to UKLink – does not satisfy the Shipper's read obligation – held in a separate data store, anonymised or aggregated prior to sharing with the industry

# Conclusion/observations

- PAC could be a vehicle for monitoring compliance with any new obligations
- Current AUGE's methodology is unlikely to benefit from a modest increase in the NDM Sample
- Any improvement to NDM Algorithm due to larger sample size would be likely to improve NDM Allocation and therefore reduce UIG/Settlement risk – less UIG to be shared out by the UIG Weighting Factors