

UNC 0654:

Mandating the provision of NDM sample data



***Guidance:** These slides are meant to provide a brief overview for the UNC Panel, to introduce what is trying to be achieved, to help them understand and decide the best process to be followed for new modifications. Please aim to be as brief as possible and not justify nor make the case for the Modification.*

Notes are provided in italics and if this template is being used should be removed.

The Joint Office is available to help and support the drafting of any modifications, including guidance on completion of the Modification template and the wider modification process. Contact: enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk or 0121 288 2107.

Proposer: Kirsty Dudley

Panel Date: 19/04/2018

Why change?



Please use bullets to summarise what in the UNC is wrong and creating problems

- Data provision is currently voluntary
- Low sample data volumes submitted
- Without the data new EUCs cannot be created
- To ensure data is provided mandated submission is required

Options



Please use bullets to summarise what options have been considered to fix the identified problem. Is a UNC modification the only route?

- Options considered were:

- A fixed % of Product Class 3 and 4 to be submitted annually
- A fixed % of Product Class 3 and 4 to be submitted monthly
- To mandate the current voluntary obligations the CDSP has in place into the UNC

- We have chosen to focus the solution around the final consideration as it is already the process in place today



Solution



Please use bullets to briefly outline the solution contained within the modification.

- The solution proposed is to mandate the current voluntary obligations for all shippers who have product class 3 and 4 supplies
- The data submission would use the business rules as specified in the Xoserve document relating to the voluntary sample data
- A maximum submission is already in the business rules but it shouldn't preclude anyone from bilaterally agreeing with the CDSP to share more

Recommended Steps



Please use bullets to summarise the recommended steps. Please indicate how long you think the assessment process should last and if self-governance should apply or not.

- **The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:**

(delete as appropriate)

- Subject to self-governance
- Workgroup assessment to develop the modification for 3 months
 - 0644 has not yet concluded and as the solution links to activities being discussed at this group the panel may wish to amalgamate the discussions