

UNC Modification	At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>UNC 0654:</h1> <h2>Mandating the provision of NDM sample data</h2>	<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 5px;"> <div style="border: 1px solid green; background-color: #008000; color: white; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;"> 01 Modification </div> <div style="border: 1px solid blue; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;"> 02 Workgroup Report </div> <div style="border: 1px solid purple; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;"> 03 Draft Modification Report </div> <div style="border: 1px solid orange; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;"> 04 Final Modification Report </div> </div>
<p>Purpose of Modification:</p> <p>This modification is seeking to introduce an obligation into the UNC for the provision of regular NDM sample data to the Central Data Services Provider (CDSP).</p>	
	<p>The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> subject to self-governance assessed by a Workgroup <p>This modification will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on 19 April 2018. The Panel will consider the Proposer’s recommendation and determine the appropriate route.</p>
	<p>High Impact NA</p>
	<p>Medium Impact: Shippers / CDSP</p>
	<p>Low Impact: Transporters</p>

Contents			
1	Summary	3	 Any questions?
2	Governance	3	Contact: Joint Office of Gas Transporters
3	Why Change?	3	 enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
4	Code Specific Matters	4	 0121 288 2107
5	Solution	4	Proposer: Kirsty Dudley
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	4	 Kirsty.Dudley@eoneenergy.com
7	Relevant Objectives	5	 07816 172 645
8	Implementation	6	Transporter: Hilary Chapman SGN
9	Legal Text	6	 Hilary.Chapman@sgn.co.uk
10	Recommendations	6	 07749 983418
			Systems Provider: Xoserve
			 UKLink@xoserve.com
			Other: Sallyann Blackett
			 sallyann.blackett@eoneenergy.com
			 07912 806290
Timetable			
The Proposer recommends the following timetable:			
Initial consideration by Workgroup	01 May 2018		
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	19 July 2018		
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	19 July 2018		
Consultation Close-out for representations	09 August 2018		
Final Modification Report available for Panel	13 August 2018		
Modification Panel decision	16 August 2018		

1 Summary

What

Currently NDM Sample Data can be voluntarily provided to the CDSP using the direction in the [Xoserve NDM Document](#) “Third Party Supplied NDM Sample Data Guidance and File Format Document” – it outlines the data required and in which format. There has been a noticeable reduction in the data volumes being submitted and the number of contributing organisations is extremely low. The recent activity as part of Workgroup 0631R has shown that it is possible for organisations to get this information and submit it to the CDSP. It has also proved that the new End User Categories (EUCs) being discussed as part of Workgroup 0644 cannot be supported accurately without this sample data. (Note DESC are considering what can be done with limited data given the UIG urgency but to support the analysis accurately sample data will be required)

Why

This sample data provides insight into the Class 3 and 4 customer behaviours and helps support development of allocation profiles and EUCs by the Demand Estimation Sub-Committee (DESC). Although the current Transporter sample allows viable profiles to be derived by DESC it has proved essential to boost this sample with Shipper/Supplier provided data for the past two years. The low volumes of data don't allow for accurate profiling activity – particularly in the proposed new pre-payment and small Industrial & Commercial (I&C) EUCs being developed by DESC to improve allocation. If the data cannot be provided this will prevent the required improvements to the allocation profiles which could lead to further issues within Unidentified Gas (UIG) and will certainly prevent improvements in this key area.

How

This modification is seeking to introduce an obligation to mandate the provision of NDM Sample Data on a regular basis, and at a minimum annually.

2 Governance

Justification for Self-Governance

The proposed changes do not have a material impact on Consumers, competition, the pipe-line system, management of the market or governance procedures. This modification is seeking to introduce reporting obligations which would qualify as Self-Governance.

Requested Next Steps

This modification should:

- be considered a non-material change and subject to self-governance
- be assessed by a Workgroup

We would support this change being developed alongside Modification 0644 - Improvements to nomination and reconciliation through the introduction of new EUC bands and improvements in the CWV given the expertise is similar. However, the timescales for UNC 0644 include some elements that should be

implemented in this year's profiling, whereas there is not time for suppliers who are not already sending data to have this included in the 2018/19 profile generation. This Modification is therefore looking at improving next year's process and as such has no requirement to be linked to the same timescales.

3 Why Change?

Currently there is no mandated obligation for parties to share NDM Sample Data with the CDSP and over the last few years the quantity of data and participating organisations has dwindled. The limited data is impacting the development of improved profiling as there is not enough data to support the required granularity of customer types and cannot therefore support the creation of any new EUCs, such as pre-payment or small I&C supplies.

The aim of this modification is to mandate the provision of the dataset in accordance with the "Third Party Supplied NDM Sample Data Guidance and File Format Document" so that each Shipper with a Product Class 3 and/or Product Class 4 portfolio provides information to support the creation of future profiles. This will ensure a representative spread across organisations and will ensure profiles represent an unbiased cross section of customers.

This is a Code matter because the current requirements are on a voluntary basis where this modification seeks to make it a mandatory obligation for a minimum data set. This wouldn't however stop parties from providing more data if they felt it would be beneficial.

It is anticipated that there will be no change to the mandated Transporter/CDSP NDM samples as these continue to form the backbone of the profile calculation.

The NDM Sample data is to be in line with the formatting outlined in the "Third Party Supplied NDM Sample Data Guidance and File Format Document". However, it is not currently proposed to make this a UNC related document as the data provision is key, rather than the format.

4 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

Third Party Supplied NDM Sample Data Guidance and File Format Document:

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/ggf/book/2017-12/File%20Format%20%28Third%20Party%20NDM%20Sample%20Data%29%20v6.0.pdf>

Knowledge/Skills

Knowledge of the NDM Demand Estimation processes

5 Solution

It is proposed to add a clause into Section H 1.6

- To introduce an obligation on Shippers with Product Class 3 and/or Product Class 4 Supply Meter Points to provide a data cut at least once a year
- The data will be in line with the formatting outlined in the "Third Party Supplied NDM Sample Data Guidance and File Format Document".

- Mirroring the current guidance, the business rules would be:
 - The Supply Meter Point must exist on the Sites and Meters system.
 - The Supply Meter Point status must be Non-Daily Metered (i.e. Product Class 3 or 4).
 - The Supply Meter Point must be Live (LI).
 - They must be randomly selected, covering all consumption bands supplied (excluding Band 09 – sites with AQs greater than 58,600 MWh).
 - A maximum of 100 supply meter points per EUC (End User Category), per LDZ (Local Distribution Zone), per shipper is suggested
- Although the currently guidance stipulates a maximum of 100 Supply Meter Points, if parties wish to submit additional data this should not be precluded but by bilateral agreement with the CDSP

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

None

Consumer Impacts

None

Cross Code Impacts

No impacts are anticipated for the SPAA or for the iGT UNC.

EU Code Impacts

None

Central Systems Impacts

NDM Sample Data is already loaded when provided and unless any formatting changes are suggested via the workgroup there are no anticipated impacts other than an increase in the volume of data received.

7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters. 	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None

d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	None
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

This modification has a positive impact on Relevant Objective F) as the data provision will have a positive impact on the profiling within the demand forecasting process for new and existing EUCs leading to improved allocation and reduced UIG.

8 Implementation

As self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement, subject to no Appeal being raised.

9 Legal Text

Text Commentary

To be provided by Transporters

Text

To be provided by Transporters

10 Recommendations

Proposer's Recommendation to Panel

Panel is asked to:

- Agree that self-governance procedures should apply
- Refer this proposal to a Workgroup for assessment.