

Record of Determinations: Panel Meeting 07 June 2018

Modification	Vote Outcome	Shipper Voting Members					Transporter Voting Members					IGT Voting Member	Consumer Voting Member	Consumer Voting Member	Determination Sought	
		AG	DF	GW	RF	SM (AG)		CW	DL	HC	JF	RP	RJ	JA		EP (AG)
0658 (Urgent) - CDSP to identify and develop improvements to LDZ settlement processes	Recommendation to follow Urgent procedures - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Should Modification 0658 follow Urgent Procedures?

In favour	Not in Favour	No Vote Cast	Not Present
✓	X	NV	NP

UNC Modification Panel

Minutes of the 226 Meeting held on Thursday 07 June 2018

By Teleconference

Attendees

Voting Members:

Shipper Representatives	Transporter Representatives	Consumer Representatives
A Green (AG), Total and for S Mulinganie and E Proffitt D Fittock (DF), Corona Energy G Wood (GW), British Gas R Fairholme (RFa), Uniper	C Warner (CW), Cadent D Lond (DL), National Grid NTS H Chapman (HC), SGN J Ferguson (JF), Northern Gas Networks R Pomroy (RP), Wales & West Utilities R Johnson (RJ), ES Pipelines	J Atherton (JA), Citizens Advice

Non-Voting Members:

Chairperson	Ofgem Representative	Independent Supplier Representative
R Fletcher (RFI), Chair	R Elliott (RE) J Dixon (JD)	

Also in Attendance:

H Cuin (HCu), Joint Office Secretary; G Evans (GE), WatersWye; R Hinsley (RHi), Xoserve and S Britton (SB), Cornwall Insight.

Record of Discussions

Introduction

BF welcomed all attendees and set out the order of business. BF explained that this extraordinary meeting had been called to discuss one item of business and all other standing agenda items would be deferred until the 21 June meeting.

It was noted that there was one less Shipper representative following the resignation of A Love, as the election process was still in progress.

226.1 Note of any alternates attending meeting

A Green for S Mulinganie, Gazprom and E Proffitt, MEUC

D Fittock for E Wells, Corona Energy

G Wood for A Margan, Independent

J Atherton for S Horne, Citizens Advice

R Johnson for N Rozier, BUUK

226.2 Record of Apologies for absence

A Margan

E Proffitt

E Wells

N Rozier

N Anderson

S Horne

S Mulinganie

226.3 Minutes and Actions of the Last Meeting(s)

Not discussed.

226.4 Consider New Urgent Modifications

a) Modification 0658 (Urgent) - CDSP to identify and develop improvements to LDZ settlement processes

BF explained the main aim of today's meeting is to provide Ofgem with a view from Panel on urgency.

GE introduced the modification on behalf of the proposer, explaining that the modification is attempting to address the current UIG issues, by placing obligations on the CDSP to undertake a review of UIG and providing a view on solution to address the issues. GE explained the merits of having clear targets, which were set out within the solution. He reported that there has been a lot of debate on the way forward and hard-wiring the targets into the appropriate DSC/CDSP documentation.

GE explained why the route of raising a UNC modification was chosen and why it was deemed the modification was urgent. He explained that UIG is still an important issue with a significant impact and that other attempts to make changes affecting UIG have been processed through the UNC governance route appeared to have stalled. He clarified however that the UNC would not be amended as this is a change to the CDSP documentation. However, he felt the visibility of a UNC change was needed.

The requirement for urgency was based on UIG being a significant problem, affecting a large number of companies and in light of Ofgem minded to reject Urgent Modifications 0642, 0642A and 0643 it did not seem appropriate to wait to find an alternative solution.

CW clarified that due to the nature of the solution and the process following the modification route, Xoserve would in parallel raise a Change Proposal to which will be considered at the next DSC Change Management Committee Meeting.

CW explained in terms of providing a view on urgency, there was a set of square brackets within the legal text which will need to be removed around the provision of the report. GE clarified that to ensure the report received the appropriate focus it should not be provided to a specific committee or group and that the report should be provided to those who could use the information to improve the situation.

The Panel considered the provision of the report and what committee it should be provided to. JD asked if report would be provided to PAC as well as the DSC Committees. JD also asked if the change was progressed as a change to the DSC contract if this would result in the UNC modification being withdrawn. AG confirmed if the DSC change progressed quickly then this modification could be withdrawn.

BF asked if any Panel members would oppose the urgency request.

If the Panel provide a view on urgency and that it was noted that the modification would be issued directly to consultation.

JD requested further clarity on the need for urgency and that this should be referenced against the urgency criteria.

A number of Panel members supported this view and it was agreed that the modification should be amended.

BF pointed out a change to the modification might impact the proposed timetable.

The Panel proceeded to vote on the recommendation with a unanimous vote to recommend urgent status. It was noted that a number of Members supported this view subject to additional clarity being provided to link the issues directly to the criteria for urgency.

For Modification 0658:

- Recommends that the modification follows Urgent procedures.

226.5 AOB

None

226.6 Date of Next Meeting

- 10:30, Thursday 21 June 2018, at Elexon
-