DSC Change Proposal Document

Customers to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured

Xoserve to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured

# A1: General Details

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Change Reference: | XRN4871 | | | |
| Change Title: | Modification 0665 – Changes to Ratchet Regime | | | |
| Date Raised: | 11/02/2019 | | | |
| Sponsor Representative Details: | Organisation: | Gazprom Energy | | |
| Name: | Steve Mulinganie | | |
| Email: | steve.mulinganie@gazprom-mt.com | | |
| Telephone: | 0799 097 2568 | | |
| Xoserve Representative Details: | Name: | David Addison | | |
| Email: | [David.addison@xoserve.com](mailto:David.addison@xoserve.com) | | |
| Telephone: | 0121 623 2752 /0742 855 9800 | | |
| Change Status: | Proposal | | With DSG | Out for Review |
| Voting | | Approved | Rejected |

# A2: Impacted Parties

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Customer Class(es): | Shipper | Distribution Network Operator |
| NG Transmission | IGT |
| Other | <If [Other] please provide details here> |

# A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Change Description: | [Modification 0665](http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0665) has been raised and seeks to amend the current Class 2 Ratchet Charging Arrangement and it allows Transporters designate Supply Points (Network Designated) that should, in addition to mandatory Class 1 Supply Points, be subject to the existing Class 1 Ratchet Charging Arrangement. It is expected to be voted on by UNC Panel in March with final approval by Ofgem in April 2019.  This Change Proposal has been raised to deliver the system requirements set out within this modification. Due to the proposed timescales and the requirement to implement the changes by 01 October 2019, the Change Proposal has been raised ahead of the modification being officially approved.  In summary please see the modification requirements for the CDSP:   * Implementation of an amended Ratchet Charging Arrangement applicable for Daily Metered Supply Meter Points that are not Network Designated. * The Revised Ratchet Charge for Class 2 sites is described in the Modification. * A mechanism is required to flag in UK Link where a Network has designated a Supply Meter Point which should be subject to the existing Class 1 Ratchet Charge * When a Supply Meter Point has been Network Designated the CDSP shall notify the registered Shipper, and the relevant Supply Point will as soon as reasonably practicable be required to be a Class 1 Supply Point * If a Shipper does not reclassify the Supply Point as Class 1 within 20 Supply Point Systems Business Days of the notice of Designation, then the CDSP will reclassify the site as Class 1 after so notifying the relevant Shipper and providing not less than 20 Supply Point Systems Business Days’ notice of the revised classification effective date unless the CDSP has been informed that the Supply Meter Point is unable to be Daily Read in accordance with current code requirements.   For full details, please refer to the modification. | |
| Proposed Release: | Options to be investigated as to the release approach in order to implement this change as soon as possible including a Minor Release in 2019. | |
| Proposed Consultation Period: | 10 Working Days | 20 Working Days |
| 30 Working Days | Other [Specify Here] |

# A4: Benefits and Justification

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Benefit Description: | The modification case for change argues that removal of the Ratchet Charge will remove a key barrier to Supply Meter Points electing to be Daily Metered. This will enable better information to be available for allocation processes and allow for the development of innovative products. |
| *What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change? What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this change?* |
| Benefit Realisation: | Upon implementation. |
| *When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?* |
| Benefit Dependencies: | The benefit is dependent on the modification being approved in order for the CDSP to delivery this change |
| *Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change, this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.* |

# A5: Final Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Final DSG Recommendation: | *Until a final decision is achieved, please refer to section C of the form.* | | |
| Approve | Reject | Defer |
| DSG Recommended Release: | Release X: Feb/Jun/Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY | | |

# A6: Funding

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Funding Classes: | Shipper | XX % |
| National Grid Transmission | 17 % |
| Distribution Network Operator | 83 % |
| IGT | XX % |
| Other <please specify> | XX % |
| Service Line(s) | DSC Service Area 7: NTS Capacity, LDZ Capacity, Commodity, Reconciliation, Ad-hoc adjustment and balancing invoices | |
| ROM or funding details: |  | |
| Funding Comments: | The above funding split is based on what is specified by the DSC Service Area on the Budget and Charging Methodology document. An automated solution would not cause any change to the ongoing delivery of the service lines. | |

# A7: ChMC Recommendation

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Change Status: | Approve | Reject | | Defer |
| Industry Consultation: | 10 Working Days | | 20 Working Days | |
| 30 Working Days | | Other [Specify Here] | |
| Expected date of receipt for responses (to Xoserve) | XX/XX/XXXX | | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| DSC Consultation Issue: | Yes | No |
| Date Issued: | Click here to enter a date. | |
| Comms Ref(s): |  | |
| Number of Responses: |  | |

# A8: DSC Voting Outcome

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Solution Voting: | Shipper | | | Please select. |
| National Grid Transmission | | | Please select. |
| Distribution Network Operator | | | Please select. |
| IGT | | | Please select. |
| Meeting Date: | Click here to enter a date. | | | |
| Release Date: | Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA | | | |
| Overall Outcome: | No | Yes | If [Yes] please specify <Release> | |

Please send the completed forms to: [box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com](mailto:box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com)

Appendix 1

# Change Prioritisation Variables

Xoserve uses the following variables set for each and every change within the Xoserve Change Register, to derive the indicative benefit prioritisation score, which will be used in conjunction with the perceived delivery effort to aid conversations at the DSC ChMC and DSC Delivery Sub Groups to prioritise changes into all future minor and major releases.

## Change Details

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Change Driver Type: | CMA Order | | | MOD / Ofgem | | |
| EU Legislation | | | License Condition | | |
| BEIS | | | ChMC endorsed Change Proposal | | |
| SPAA Change Proposal | | | Additional / 3rd Party Service Request | | |
| Other | | | <If [Other] please provide details here> | | |
| Customer group(s) impacted if the change is not delivered: | Shipper | | IGT | | | Network |
| Xoserve | | NG Transmission | | | NTS |
| Other | | <If [Other] please provide details here> | | | |
| Associated Change Ref Number(s): | N/A | | Associated MOD Number(s): | | | MOD0665 |
| Perceived delivery effort (days): | 0-30 | | | 30-60 | | |
| 60-100 | | | 100+ | | |
| Does the change involve the processing of personal data? | ‘Any information relating to an identifiable person who can be directly or indirectly identified in particular by reference to an identifier’ - includes MPRNS. | | | Yes (if selected please answer the next question) | | |
| No | | |
| A Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA) will be required if the change involves the processing of personal data in any of the following scenarios: | New Technology | | | Theft of Gas | | |
| Mass Data | | | Xoserve Employee Data | | |
| Vulnerable Customer Data | | | Fundamental changes to Xoserve | | |
| Other | | | <If [Other] please provide details here> | | |
| (If any of the above boxes have been selected then please contact The Data Protection Officer (Kevin Eltoft-Prest) to complete the DPIA. | | | | | |
| Change Beneficiary:  *How many market participant or segments stand to benefit this change?* | Multiple Market Participants | | | | Multiple Market Group | |
| All UK Gas Market Participants | | | | Xoserve Only | |
| One Market Group | | | | One Market Participant | |
| Primary Impacted DSC Service Area: | Service Area 7: NTS Capacity / LDZ Capacity / Commodity / Reconciliation / Ad-Hoc Adjustment and Energy Balancing Invoices | | | | | |
| Number of Service Areas Impacted: | One | | | | Two to Five | |
| Five to Twenty | | | | All | |
| Improvement Scale? | High | | Medium | | | Low |
| Are any of the following at risk if the change is not delivered? | Safety of Supply at risk | | | | | |
| Customer(s) incurring financial loss | | | | | |
| Customer Switching at risk | | | | | |
| Are any of the following required if the change is delivered? | Customer System Changes Required | | | | | |
| Customer Testing Likely Required | | | | | |
| Customer Training Required | | | | | |
| Primary Application impacted: | BW | | ISU | | | CMS |
| AMT | | EFT | | | IX |
| Gemini | | Birst | | | API |
| Other | | <If [Other] please provide details here> | | | |
| Business Process Impacted: | AQ | | SPA | | | RGMA |
| Reads | | Portal | | | Invoicing |
| Other | | <If [Other] please provide details here> | | | |
| Any known impacts to external services and/or systems as a result of this change? | Yes | Multiple DSC service lines impacted | | | | |
| No |

## Workaround Details

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Workaround in operation? | Yes | If [No] please do not continue completing the [Workaround Details] section | | |
| No |
| Who is accountable for the workaround? | Xoserve | | External Customer | Both |
| What is the Frequency of the workaround? |  | | | |
| What is the lifespan for the workaround? |  | | | |
| What is the number of resource effort hours required to service workaround? |  | | | |
| What is the Complexity of the workaround? | Low | *(easy, repetitive, quick task, very little risk of human error)* | | |
| Medium | *(moderate difficult, requires some form of offline calculation, possible risk of human error in determining outcome)* | | |
| High | *(complicate task, time consuming, requires specialist resources, high risk of human error in determining outcome)* | | |

## Prioritisation Score

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Change Prioritisation Score: | 25% |

Version Control

# Document

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Version | Status | Date | Author(s) | Remarks |
| 0.1 | Draft | 08/02/2019 | Ellie Rogers | First draft for review |
| 0.2 | For Approval | 18/02/2019 | Xoserve | Appendix added |

# Template

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Version | Status | Date | Author(s) | Remarks |
| 3.0 | Superseded | 17/07/2018 | Emma Smith | Template approved at ChMC on 11th July 2018. |
| 4.0 | Superseded | 07/09/2018 | Emma Smith | Minor wording amendments and additional customer group impact within Appendix 1. |
| 5.0 | Superseded | 10/12/2018 | Heather Spensley | Template moved to new Word template as part of Corporate Identity changes. |
| 6.0 | Approved | 12/12/2018 | Simon Harris | Cosmetic changes made. Approved at ChMC on the 12th December 2018. |
| 7.0 | Superseded | 06/02/2019 | Charan Singh | Minor amendment strikethrough to change description of XRN4753.(Change has been withdrawn) |