Observations on FCC base data – 28 February 2019

http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/index.php/0678/Models FCC Summary V2.0 26 February

_		٠	
ᆫ	\ /		1
г	х	ı	

1) Storage / IUK /BBL there is no forecast data. On an annual average basis would expect some exit flows at these points is this a peak forecast? Need to check source of forecast data

2) Closed sites
Avonmouth
Deeside
Rough
Glenmavis
Dynevor
Partington
Others ?

Have historic flows in 17/18 so these keep rolling forward for the next 5 years. Is this the correct assumption to use? May be better to only use the Y-2 values, or some kind of average across a number of years but this should be a moving average.

Is a special rule needed for sites that are known to be closed?

3) Sites with PARCA stage 2 reservations. These appear where the site is an existing site, if the site is new the values are absent. Reservations exist from 2020 or 2021

Drax	65
Eggborough	102
Tilbury Marshes	21
Hirwuan	28
Ferry bridge	80
Keadby 2	41
Others?	

Others

Total 337 GWh or around 6% of FCC in those years

Those sites are therefore unable to use the model to produce an estimate of their charges as per Article 7a, other sites charges will be higher than they should be.

Entry

Theddlethorpe has FCC value for 5 years as flows from 17/18 are used as historical flows for future years

So 22/23 uses historical flow for 17/18 as FCC

Some thought required on using the historical flow value in the @max calculation for future years. Perhaps only use actual flows in Y-2 for actual prices rather than forecasts? What impact would this have ?

As per exit is a rule needed for sites that are closed