

UNC 0789 – Potential Alternatives

November 2021

Our Concerns with UNC 0789 (as drafted)

- This Mod presents significant new risks for Shippers
- In the event of a Shipper failure, shifts risk into the whole market on a daily basis and may increase the cost of covering failed Shipper's imbalance
- May distort market behaviour by market participants and impact prices
- Lack of transparency of balancing neutrality pot and likely mismatch between costs incurred and compensation via balancing neutrality, with settlement time lag
- Size of the imbalance allocated could make Shipper credit position worse, impacting trading and market prices



Potential Alternative 1 – For Discussion

Apply existing model used for failed Suppliers, to Shippers

Guiding principle - allows those Shippers best placed to manage the risk, the opportunity to do so

 Rather than spreading risk across the whole market and on those who may not be able to manage it (or at less cost than current arrangements)

Ofgem / NGG to run an auction / tender for managing failed Shipper's imbalance

- Could be for whole imbalance or sub-divided (e.g. if large or multiple shippers)
- Most likely for the whole period rather than a daily event, to provide stability and certainty
 - May need to be time limited, or sufficient incentives to minimise this interim arrangement
- Eligibility criteria to be developed but broadly similar to Supplier arrangements e.g. have to prove financial fitness to undertake the role
- Successful Shippers may need some form of "guarantee" that Supplier will pay (financial or Code obligation?)
- Likely requires a 'Shipper of Last Resort' to be appointed, if no Shippers come forward



Potential Alternative 2 – For Discussion

- Maintain residual balancer role for NGG, but take measures to increase liquidity of OCM, without expanding to OTC
- Expanding OTC trading possibilities puts NGG "in the market" as a trading counterparty.
 We do not consider that this is desirable:
 - May distort trading behaviours and/or impact prices
 - NGG trades on OTC infrequently risk of not have the experience or familiarity with systems and processes when needed?

