# PARR Dashboards







## 2A.1 Estimated & Check Reads - Product Classes 1 & 2

Report measures the average percentage across all shippers portfolio in each market, where estimated reads were provided. Count of each Shippers portfolio where check reads were not provided

Industry movement:

↑ 0.21% - Monthly change ↑ 0.82% - Annual change

#### Monthly changes:

| ↑ 1.96% Rome          | <b>↓1.61%</b> Monaco        |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| ↑ <b>3.23%</b> Luanda | <b>↓3.04%</b> Valletta      |
| ↑ 13.43% Canberra     | $\sqrt{6.42\%}$ Philipsburg |

#### **PC2**

Industry movement: ↑ 1.59% Monthly change ↓ 4.08% Annual change

#### Monthly changes:

 $\downarrow$  1.42% Rome ↑ 3.23% Luanda ↑ 6.56% Saipan ↑ 16.12% Reykjavik

 $\sqrt{3.23\%}$  Washington ↓ 5.68% Manama

2A.1 Percentage of Estimated Reads for PC1 & PC2







- Estimated reads have increased for both PC1 and PC2 over the course of the year, though both measures appear to be declining as the number of reads submitted increase.
- The number of uncompleted check reads in PC1 have been increasing over the course of the year whilst the uncompleted check reads in PC2 have increased over the few month.

## 2A.1 Estimated & Check Reads - Product Classes 1 & 2







## 2A.1 Estimated & Check Reads - Product Classes 1 & 2





## 2A.2 – No Meter Recorded

Report measures the percentage of each shippers portfolio where no meter recorded in the supply point register



#### 2A.2 Percentage of No Meter recorded by Product Class

- The % of no meter recorded in PC4 continues to decline from the highs seen in 2020.
- The PAC, PAFA and CAMs at Xoserve are working with the relevant Shippers in this area who are driving the increase in the number of no meters recorded.

## 2A.3 No Meter Recorded and data flows received

Report measures the percentage of each shippers portfolio where no meter recorded in the supply point register and data flows received

# PC1 & PC2 0.05% 0% for both product classes 0.04% 0.03% 0.02% PC3 PC4 Nighest shippers: 0.01% Alofi 0.03% Roseau 0.80% Praia 0.23% Saipan 1.04% Luxembourg 5.56% Luxembourg 5.56%

## 2A.3 No Meter recorded by Product Class and data flows received



## 2A.4- Shipper Transfer Read Performance

Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio of opening meters reads provided following confirmation

#### Industry movement:

 $\uparrow$  1.38% Monthly change

↓ 2.92% Annual change







- The number of transfer reads being submitted within the relevant window are still well below the requirements of the UNC.
- The previous two months have seen declines with the Supplier of Last Resort (SoLR) movements driving further declines.
- The PAFA will continue to monitor this area.

## **2A.5- Read Performance**

Report measures the average percentage of Shipper portfolio submitting reads in January 2022

PC4 Monthly and Annually read measures the average percentage of Shipper portfolio submitting reads in December 2021



#### 2A.5 Percentage of Product Class read submissions



#### 2A.5 Industry average percentage of Product Class read submissions

| Poorest performing Shippers: | PC1             | PC2              | PC3           |  |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|--|
|                              | 0.00% Khartoum  | 0.00% Tehran     | 0% Berlin     |  |
|                              | 0.00% Marigot   | 53.00% Manama    | 0% Oranjestad |  |
|                              | 53.51% Canberra | 61.10% Reykjavik | 0% Paramaribo |  |
|                              |                 |                  |               |  |
|                              |                 |                  |               |  |

- ---

----

-

PC4 (Monthly) 0% Baghdad 0% Berlin 0% Gaborone 0% Helsinki 0% Khartoum 0% Maputo

0% Tallinn

#### PC4 (Annual)

0% Alofi 0% Marigot 0% Kingstown 0% Accra 0% Avarua 0% Beirut

## 2A.5- Read Performance (PC1)





## **2A.5- Read Performance (PC2)**







## 2A.5- Read Performance (PC3)



Read Performance - PC3



## 2A.5- Read Performance (PC4)







Percentage





Percentage

## **2A.6 Meter Read Validity Monitoring**

## Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio where reads submitted failed validation

2A.6 Industry total percentage of meter read validity failure by Product Class - January 2022



■ PC1 ■ PC2 ■ PC3 ■ PC4

| Product<br>Class | Reads<br>where logic<br>check<br>failed as a<br>% of<br>submitted<br>readings | MRE01030            | MRE01026                          | MRE01027             | MRE01028 | MRE01029            |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------|
| 1                | Canberra –<br>56.00%                                                          |                     |                                   |                      |          |                     |
| 2                | Philipsburg<br>– 33.86%                                                       | Thimphu –<br>1.24%  | Saipan/Phili<br>psburg<br>– 0.52% | Thimphu –<br>3.26%   |          | Reykjavik–<br>2.51% |
| 3                | Mogadishu<br>– 37.29%                                                         | Khartoum –<br>6.42% | Manama/Git<br>ega<br>– 0.02%      | Monaco<br>— 11.63%   |          | Monaco<br>— 44.77%  |
| 4                | Thimphu –<br>81.44%                                                           | Praia<br>- 6.11%    | Kinshasa –<br>9.57%               | Khartoum –<br>50.00% |          | Doha –<br>21.19%    |

Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio in the specified AQ band without a meter reading for the specified period EUC01 – EUC06, EUC08 have no meters which have not been unread for a period less than one year in recent months





■ Feb-21 ■ Mar-21 ■ Apr-21 ■ May-21 ■ Jun-21 ■ Jul-21 ■ Aug-21 ■ Sep-21 ■ Oct-21 ■ Nov-21 ■ Dec-21 ■ Jan-22

















































0.00%

1 Yr



Feb-21 Mar-21 Apr-21 May-21 Jun-21 Jul-21
 Aug-21 Sep-21 Oct-21 Nov-21 Dec-21 Jan-22

Year

3 Yr

4 Yr

2 Yr



## 2A.8 AQ Correction by Reason Code

Report measures the count of Shipper Portfolio of MPRNs where AQ Correction process used

Changes in total number of AQ corrections used

| Reason Code 01-                   | Reason Code 02- Change in   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Confirmed Theft                   | Consumer Plant              |
| No Monthly Change                 | 个 <b>890</b> Monthly Change |
| $\downarrow  {f 1}$ Annual Change | 个 <b>198</b> Annual Change  |
|                                   | -                           |

| Reason | Code 03- Commencement |
|--------|-----------------------|
| of New | Business              |

↓ 59 Monthly Change
↑ 33 Annual Change

Reason Code 04Tolerance Change
↑ 380 Monthly Change
↑ 451 Annual Change





- The AQ corrections under "change in consumer plant" have been reducing over recent months but has started to increase again in the past two months
- The PAC and will continue to closely monitor this area, particularly with the development of modification of "Modification 0783R Review of the AQ correction process".

## 2A.9 Standard CF AQ > 732,000 kWh

Report measures the count of sites with an AQ>732,000 kWh, but having a standard correct factor



#### 2A.9 Count of sites above >732,000 kWh using standard CF



#### EUC06

**3** Monthly Change  $\downarrow$  **2** Annual Change

#### **EUC09**

 $\downarrow$  **2** Monthly Change  $\downarrow$  **3** Annual Change

- EUC04 continues to have a significantly higher number of standard correction factors incorrectly used compared to other EUC bands.
- Work with the CAMs continues in the area, but PAC are aware of the implementation of UNC681s and the potential impacts on the reports.
- Monitoring will continue.

## **2A.10 Replaced Meter Reads**

Report measures the count of meter reading replacements which results in reconciliation adjustments

**EUC01** ↓ 71706 Monthly Change ↑ 18021 Annual Change

#### EUC02

 $\downarrow$  **47** Monthly Change  $\downarrow$  **29** Annual Change

#### EUC03

 $\downarrow$  **9** Monthly Change  $\downarrow$  **43** Annual Change

#### EUC04

↓ 29 Monthly Change
↑ 2 Annual Change

### No Monthly Change

EUC05

 $\downarrow$  **4** Annual Change

#### EUC06

No Monthly Change  $\downarrow$  3 Annual Change

EUC07

↑ 4 Monthly Change
 ↓ 3 Annual Change

#### EUC08

↓ 1 Monthly Change No Annual Change

#### EUC09

No Monthly Change  $\downarrow \mathbf{1}$  Annual Change



- Work with the CAMs has enabled the PAC to identify that in general, the spikes are due to Shipper's cleansing their portfolio.
- The number of replaced meter reads has generally been trending downwards across all EUC bands over the last few months.

## 2A.11 Sites above Class 1 threshold not in Class 1

The report measures the number of sites meeting or approaching or have reached the criteria for re-confirmation as Class 1 as set out in UNC G2.3.15b







#### **Observations:**

• Number of sites above the class 1 threshold has increased over the past few months, with the majority of these sitting in Product Class 4.

## 2A.12a AQ Read performance – PC4 Monthly no SMART

The report measures the percentage of PC4 monthly read AQ for sites without a SMART meter with an AQ>=293000 kWh.



#### 2A.12a Distribution of AQ read performance for PC4 Monthly sites no SMART - 12 month average



- Industry average remains below target of 90%
  - A number of Shippers are operating below target (based on 12 month average).
- The decline in performance is due to the correct logic being applied on the AQ Read Performance reports on the Data Discovery Platform (DDP).

## 2A.12b AQ Read performance – PC4 Monthly SMART

The report measures the percentage of monthly read AQ for sites <293,000 with SMART/AMR



2A.12 AQ at Risk - Monthly SMART industry average

2A.12b Distribution of AQ read performance for PC4 Monthly sites <293,000kWh SMART - 12 month average



- The decline in December performance is due to the correct logic being applied on the AQ Read Performance reports on the Data Discovery Platform (DDP).
  - On average, Shippers within the market have seen c. -29% decline due to logic update.
- With the correct logic being deployed, the PAFA will work with Shippers on improving their performance in this area.

## 2A.12c AQ Read performance – PC4 Annual

The report measures the percentage of annually read AQ for sites <293,000 with no SMART/AMR



#### 2A.12 AQ at Risk - Annual read industry average

#### 2A.12c Distribution of AQ read performance for PC4 Annual sites -12 month average



- There has been a slight increase in performance following the logic change, though there remains a small number of Shippers with performance at <80%.
- However, there are still a number of Shippers operating below UNC target (90%).

## 2A.13 AQ at Risk

The report measures the percentage of Annual Quantity within each product class without a meter reading for the required duration as set out in the UNC.



#### 2A.13 AQ at Risk - Product Class split

■ May-21 ■ Jun-21 ■ Jul-21 ■ Aug-21 ■ Sep-21 ■ Oct-21 ■ Nov-21 ■ Dec-21 ■ Jan-22

#### **Observations:**

- The majority of the AQ at risk sits within the PC4 market.
  - PC4 AQ >293000 has seen an increase this month along with Product Class 2, driving an increase in the total AQ at risk across all markets.
- PAFA continue to work with poorly performing Shippers to submit meter readings and reduce the volume of AQ at Risk.

# Shippers with the highest percentage of AQ at Risk within their portfolio in January 2022:

#### **Product Class 1**

Thimphu 8.66% Philipsburg 9.37% Marigot 100%

#### Product Class 4 – AQ>293000

Gaborone 100% Berlin 100% Athens 100% Maputo 100%

#### **Product Class 2**

Manama 22.10% Saipan 24.47% Tehran 100 %

#### **Product Class 3**

Paramaribo 24.78% Berlin 100% Oranjestad 100%

#### **Product Class 4 – Monthly SMART**

Luxembourg 100% Basseterre 100% Bishek 100% Gaborone 100% Hanoi 100%

#### **Product Class 4 - Annual**

Luxembourg 86.35% Bamako 100% Baghdad 100%

## **Appendix – PARR report details**

| Report<br>ID | Торіс                                                                        | Details                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Split By | 12 Rolling<br>Months | Report<br>Format | e.g. for<br>Nov<br>Report           | Condition        |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| 2A.1         | Estimated & Check Reads                                                      | Estimated Reads: The percentage of Shippers portfolio<br>where actual reads were not provided. Excludes NTS and<br>Telemetered sites<br>Check Reads: The number of MPRNS which have not had<br>a site visit read for <=13 months                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Class    | Annual               | Percentage       | October                             | M-1              |
| 2A.2         | No Meter Recorded on the<br>Supply Point Register                            | The percentage of a Shipper's portfolio where no meter is fitted at the supply point for more than 6 months.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Class    | Annual               | Percentage       | October                             | M-1              |
| 2A.3         | No Meter Recorded on the<br>Supply Point Register and Data<br>Flows Received | The percentage of a Shipper's portfolio where no meter is<br>fitted at the supply point for more than 6 months but data<br>flows are received                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Class    | Annual               | Percentage       | October                             | M-1              |
| 2A.4         | Shipper Transfer Read<br>Performance                                         | Shipper provided an opening meter read within D+10 of transfer of ownership                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Total    | Annual               | Percentage       | October                             | M-1              |
| 2A.5         | Read Performance                                                             | Shipper to provide read as per frequency for each Product<br>Class.<br>Class and Shipper transfer are excluded. 6 monthly are<br>considered as annual sites.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Class    | Monthly              | Percentage       | October/<br>September<br>(PC4 only) | M-1/M-2<br>(PC4) |
| 2A.6         | Meter Read Validity Monitoring                                               | Percentage of Shippers portfolio which failed meter read<br>validation<br>MRE01026: Reading Breached lower outer tolerence<br>MRE01027: Reading Breached upper outer tolerence<br>MRE01028: Reading Breached lower inner tolerence and<br>no override flag provided<br>MRE01029: Reading Breached upper outer tolerence and<br>no override flag provided<br>MRE01030: Override tolerence passed and no override<br>flag provided | Class    | Monthly              | Percentage       | October                             | M-1              |

## **Appendix – PARR report details**

| Report | Торіс                             | Details                                                   | Split By  | 12 Rolling | Report     | e.g. for | Condition |
|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| ID     |                                   |                                                           |           | Months     | Format     | Nov      |           |
|        |                                   |                                                           |           |            |            | Report   |           |
| 2A.7   | No read for 1,2,3 or 4 years      | Percentage of Shipper portfolio in the specified EUC band | EUC Band  | Annual     | Percentage | October  | M-1       |
|        |                                   | which has not received a read for the specified period.   | and Class |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                   | Estimates are not counted                                 |           |            |            |          |           |
| 2A.8   | AQ Corrections by reason code     | Count of MPRNs on each Shippers portfolio where the AQ    | Reason    | Annual     | Count      | October  | M-1       |
|        |                                   | correction process was used.                              | code      |            |            |          |           |
| 2A.9   | Standard Correction Factors       | Count of sites with an AQ>732,000 kWh which have used     | EUC Band  | Annual     | Count      | October  | M-1       |
|        |                                   | a standard correction factor instead of using a site      |           |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                   | specific correction factor as per the requirements        |           |            |            |          |           |
| 2A.10  | Replaced Meter Reads              | Count of sites which have replaced a meter read (actual   | EUC Band  | Annual     | Count      | October  | M-1       |
|        |                                   | meter reading with another actual meter read), with an    |           |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                   | updated AQ for the MPRN                                   |           |            |            |          |           |
| 2A.11a | Sites above the Class 1 threshold | Reports on all sites with an Annual Quantity over the     | Current   | Annual     | Count and  | Nov      | М         |
|        | which are not in Class 1          | mandatory Daily Metered threshold which are not in Class  | Class     |            | sum of AQ  |          |           |
|        |                                   | 1 as a count and as a total AQ.                           |           |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                   | Separated between those that have fully met the UNC       |           |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                   | G2.3.15b criteria, and those that have not yet met them.  |           |            |            |          |           |
| 2A.11b | Count of sites reclassified to    | Compares the number of qualifying sites which have been   | Shipper v | Annual     | Count and  | Oct      | M-1       |
|        | Class 1 by the Shipper and CDSP   | moved to Class 1 by the Shipper and by the CDSP each      | CDSP      |            | sum of AQ  |          |           |
|        |                                   | calendar month.                                           |           |            |            |          |           |

## **Appendix – PARR report details**

| Report | Торіс                            | Details                                                        | Split By   | 12 Rolling | Report     | e.g. for | Condition |
|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| ID     |                                  |                                                                |            | Months     | Format     | Nov      |           |
|        |                                  |                                                                |            |            |            | Report   |           |
| 2A.12  | Class 4 read submission performa | Assesses performance against the Class 4 meter read            | Meter      | Annual     | Percentage | Oct      | M-1       |
|        |                                  | performance, expressed as a percentage of total AQ in          | reading    |            | Read       |          |           |
|        |                                  | that Shipper's ownership. Targeting larger AQ sites would      | obligation |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                  | aid settlement by ensuring that more energy is reconciled      |            |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                  | more quickly.                                                  |            |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                  | Sites are excluded if there was a change of Shipper or         |            |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                  | where an "operational" Smart or Advanced meter was             |            |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                  | fitted for the first time in the calendar month.               |            |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                  | Sub-divided by Meter reading obligations,                      |            |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                  | a = Monthly due to AQ,                                         |            |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                  | b = Smart/AMR fitted                                           |            |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                  | c = non-Monthly                                                |            |            |            |          |           |
| 2A.13  | Breakdown of AQ overdue for a    | Reports on the total AQ by Shipper which is overdue for a      | Meter      | Current    | Percentage | Oct      | M-1       |
|        | Meter Reading                    | meter reading.                                                 | reading    | and prior  | overdue    |          |           |
|        |                                  | "Overdue" for the purposes of this report is UNC               | obligation | month      |            |          |           |
|        |                                  | obligation plus 2 or 3 months, i.e.                            |            | only       |            |          |           |
|        |                                  | - Class 1, 2, 3 - no read for <b>three</b> months              |            |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                  | - Class 4 monthly read sites - no read for <b>three</b> months |            |            |            |          |           |
|        |                                  | - Class 4 non-monthly read sites - no read for 15 months       |            |            |            |          |           |

## PAFA@Gemserv.com





