

# PARR Dashboards

---

16<sup>th</sup> August 2022

PAFA



# 2A.1 Estimated & Check Reads - Product Classes 1 & 2



Report measures the average percentage across all shippers portfolio in each market, where estimated reads were provided. Count of each Shippers portfolio where check reads were not provided

## PC1

### Industry movement:

↑ 0.01% - Monthly change  
 ↓ 16.83% - Annual change

### Monthly changes:

↑ 1.92% Papeete      ↓ 2.46% Thimphu  
 ↑ 1.18% Rome        ↓ 2.23% Manama  
 ↑ 1.11% Washington ↓ 1.71% Brazzaville

## PC2


### Industry movement:

↑ 1.81% Monthly change  
 ↓ 6.72% Annual change


### Monthly changes:

↑ 7.37% Philipsburg      ↓ 9.25% Thimphu  
 ↑ 6.67% Washington     ↓ 6.57% Saipan  
 ↑ 6.08% Reykjavík       ↓ 0.63% Lisbon

2A.1 Percentage of Estimated Reads for PC1 & PC2



2A.1 Count of Check Reads not completed for PC1 and PC2




### Observations:

- In the year, use of estimated reads for PC1 and PC2 have been declining
- PC2 performance in recent months has decreased. This has been driven by a few Shippers who have seen sharp declines in performance.
- The number of uncompleted check reads in PC1 have remained the same over the course of the year whilst the uncompleted check reads in PC2 have increased over the few months


# 2A.1 Estimated & Check Reads - Product Classes 1 & 2




2A.1 PC1 Estimated Read Totals




2A.1 PC2 Estimated Read Totals



2A.1 PC1 Check Reads Total




2A.1 PC2 Check Read Totals




# 2A.1 Estimated & Check Reads - Product Classes 1 & 2



2A.1- 12 Month comparison (Average of PC1 Estimated Reads)



2A.1- 12 month comparison (Average of PC2 Estimated Reads)



# 2A.2 – No Meter Recorded



Report measures the percentage of each shippers portfolio where no meter recorded in the supply point register

### PC1

0% for all shippers

### PC2

0% for all shippers

### PC3

#### Highest shippers:


Praia **0.38%**  
Mogadishu **0.14%**

### PC4

#### Highest shippers:

Reykjavik **32.69%**  
Luxembourg **46.43%**  
Djibouti **30.64%**

2A.2 Percentage of No Meter recorded by Product Class



### Observations:

- The percentage of no meter recorded in PC4 continues to decline from the highs seen in 2020.
- The PAC, PAFA and CDSP at Xoserve are working with the relevant Shippers in this area who are driving the increase in the number of no meters recorded.

# 2A.3 No Meter Recorded and data flows received



Report measures the percentage of each shippers portfolio where no meter recorded in the supply point register and data flows received

## PC1

0% for all shippers

## PC2

0% for all shippers


## PC3

Highest shippers:  
Praia 0.19%

## PC4

Highest shippers:  
Luxembourg 3.57%  
Reykjavík 3.85%  
Saipan 2.12%

2A.3 No Meter recorded by Product Class and data flows received



# 2A.4 - Shipper Transfer Read Performance


Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio of opening meters reads provided following confirmation

**Industry movement:**


↑ 2.16% Monthly change

↓ 5.25% Annual change

2A.4 Percentage of opening meter reads provided by industry total



2A.4- 12 Month Comparison of Shipper Transfer Read Performance



**Observations:**


- The number of transfer reads being submitted within the relevant window are still well below the requirements of the UNC.
- The previous two months have seen increases with the majority of Supplier of Last Resort (SoLR) movements having materialised through the data which was driving down performance across industry.
- The PAFA will continue to monitor this area.

# 2A.5 - Read Performance


Report measures the average percentage of Shipper portfolio submitting reads in May 2022

PC4 Monthly and Annually read measures the average percentage of Shipper portfolio submitting reads in April 2022.

2A.5 Industry average percentage of Product Class read submissions



2A.5 Percentage of Product Class read submissions



**Poorest performing Shippers:**

**PC1**  
 86.48% Thimphu  
 87.25% Valletta  
 88.30% Rome

**PC2**  
 56.43% Thimphu  
 66.67% Lisbon  
 62.55% Saipan



**PC3**  
 0% Philipsburg  
 0% Paramaribo  
 0% Oranjestad

**PC4 (Monthly)**  
 0% Apia  
 0% Bern  
 0% Luxembourg  
 0% Gaborone  
 0% Berlin

**PC4 (Annual)**  
 0% Apia  
 0% Bern  
 0% Quito  
 0% Bamako  
 0% Luxembourg  
 0% Sarajevo




# 2A.5 - Read Performance (PC1)




# 2A.5 - Read Performance (PC2)



Read Performance - PC2




2A.5 Distribution of percentage of PC2 sites providing meter reads




# 2A.5 - Read Performance (PC3)




Read Performance - PC3




2A.5 Distribution of percentage of PC3 sites providing meter reads




# 2A.5 - Read Performance (PC4)



2A.5 Distribution of read performance for PC4 Monthly sites



2A.5 Distribution of percentage of PC4 Annual sites providing meter reads




# 2A.6 Meter Read Validity Monitoring



Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio where reads submitted failed validation

2A.6 Industry total percentage of meter read validity failure by Product Class - June 2022



Product Class	Reads where logic check failed as a % of submitted readings	MRE01030	MRE01026	MRE01027	MRE01028	MRE01029
1	Kigali – 48.33%					
2	Lisbon – 38.16%	Thimphu – 2.76%	Lisbon – 5.26%	Gitega – 0.49%		Reykjavik – 8.89%
3	Monaco – 52.17%	Khartoum – 14.80%	Roseau – 0.02%	Khartoum – 16.40%		Khartoum – 19.20%
4	Thimphu – 76%	Yerevan – 13.05%	Apia – 7.14%	Sarajevo – 7.69%		Khartoum – 25%

## 2A.7 No Reads Received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years – Product Class 1

---

Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio in the specified AQ band without a meter reading for the specified period

EUC01 – EUC09 have no meters which have not been unread for a period less than one year in recent months


# 2A.7 No Reads Received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years – Product Class 2




Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio in the specified AQ band without a meter reading for the specified period

EUC01, EUC02, EUC03, EUC05 & EUC09 have no meters which have not been unread for a period less than one year in the last three months.



PC2 - EUC04



PC2 - EUC06



# 2A.7 No Reads Received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years – Product Class 2









# 2A.7 No Reads Received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years – Product Class 3




Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio in the specified AQ band without a meter reading for the specified period.




# 2A.7 No Reads Received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years – Product Class 3




PC3 - EUC05




PC3 - EUC06



PC3 - EUC07




PC3 - EUC08




# 2A.7 No Reads Received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years – Product Class 4




PC4 - EUC01




PC4 - EUC02



PC4 - EUC03




PC4 - EUC04




# 2A.7 No Reads Received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years – Product Class 4




PC4 - EUC05




PC4 - EUC06




PC4 - EUC07



PC4 - EUC08



# 2A.7 No Reads Received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years – Product Class 4



# 2A.8 AQ Correction by Reason Code

Report measures the count of Shipper Portfolio of MPRNs where AQ Correction process used

Changes in total number of AQ corrections used

**Reason Code 01- Confirmed Theft**

No Monthly or Annual Change

**Reason Code 02- Change in Consumer Plant**

↓ 249 Monthly Change  
 ↑ 1,280 Annual Change

**Reason Code 03- Commencement of New Business**

↓ 5 Monthly Change  
 ↓ 20 Annual Change


**Reason Code 04- Tolerance Change**

↓ 123 Monthly Change  
 ↓ 179 Annual Change

**Observations:**

- The AQ corrections under “change in consumer plant” have been reducing over recent months, though a seasonal increase in April had occurred, in line with expectations.
- The PAC will continue to closely monitor this area, particularly with the development of modification of “Modification 0783R – Review of the AQ correction process”.

2A.8 Count of AQ Corrections used by reason code



# 2A.9 Standard CF AQ > 732,000 kWh

Report measures the count of sites with an AQ>732,000 kWh, but having a standard correct factor

## EUC04

↓ 147 Monthly Change  
↓ 246 Annual Change

## EUC07

No Monthly Change  
↑ 3 Annual Change

## EUC05

↑ 16 Monthly Change  
↑ 31 Annual Change

## EUC08


No Monthly Change  
↓ 3 Annual Change

## EUC06

↑ 2 Monthly Change  
↑ 3 Annual Change

## EUC09

↓ 9 Annual Change



### Observations:

- EUC04 continues to have a significantly higher number of standard correction factors incorrectly used compared to other EUC bands.
- Work with the CDSP continues in the area, but PAC are aware of the implementation of UNC681s and the potential impacts on the reports.
- Monitoring will continue.

# 2A.10 Replaced Meter Read

Report measures the count of meter reading replacements which results in reconciliation adjustments

## EUC01

↑ 3086 Monthly Change  
↓ 22854 Annual Change

## EUC02

↑ 54 Monthly Change  
↑ 102 Annual Change

## EUC03

↓ 14 Monthly Change  
↑ 2 Annual Change

## EUC04

No Monthly Change  
↓ 1 Monthly Change  
↑ 2 Annual Change

## EUC05

↓ 2 Monthly Change  
↓ 3 Annual Change

## EUC06

↑ 7 Monthly Change  
↑ 4 Annual Change

## EUC07

↑ 5 Monthly Change  
↑ 3 Annual Change


## EUC08

↓ 8 Annual Change

## EUC09

No Monthly or Annual Change

2A.10 Count of meter reading replaced by EUC



### Observations:

- Work with the CDSP has enabled the PAC to identify that in general, the spikes are due to Shipper's cleansing their portfolio.







## 2A.11 Sites above Class 1 threshold not in Class 1

The report measures the number of sites meeting or approaching or have reached the criteria for re-confirmation as Class 1 as set out in UNC G2.3.15b

Supply points above the Class 1 threshold



Total AQ (gWh) of supply points above Class 1 threshold



### Observations:


- Number of sites above the class 1 threshold has increased over the past few months, with the majority of these sitting in Product Class 4.




# 2A.12a AQ Read performance – PC4 Monthly no SMART

The report measures the percentage of PC4 monthly read AQ for sites without a SMART meter with an AQ $\geq$ 293000 kWh.

2A.12 AQ at Risk - Monthly no SMART industry average



2A.12a Distribution of AQ read performance for PC4 Monthly sites no SMART - 12 month average





## Observations:

- Industry average remains below target of 90% - a number of Shippers are operating below target (based on 12 month average).
- The step change decline in performance from November 2021 onwards is due to the correct logic being applied on the AQ Read Performance reports on the Data Discovery Platform (DDP).
- With the correct logic being deployed, the PAFA will work with Shippers on improving their performance in this area.
  - A number of Shippers remain on performance improvement plans.
  - Performance expected to decline as sites in the market increase due to implementation of Modification 0692.



# 2A.12b AQ Read performance – PC4 Monthly SMART

The report measures the percentage of monthly read AQ for sites <293,000 with SMART/AMR



## Observations:


- The step change decline in performance from November 2021 onwards is due to the correct logic being applied on the AQ Read Performance reports on the Data Discovery Platform (DDP).
- With the correct logic being deployed, the PAFA will work with Shippers on improving their performance in this area.
  - A number of Shippers remain on performance improvement plans.
  - Performance expected to decline as sites in the market increase due to implementation of Modification 0692.




# 2A.12c AQ Read performance – PC4 Annual

The report measures the percentage of annually read AQ for sites <293,000 with no SMART/AMR

2A.12 AQ at Risk - Annual read industry average



2A.12c Distribution of AQ read performance for PC4 Annual sites -12 month average




## Observations:

- Performance remains relatively unchanged following the updated logic being applied to the reports.
- 77% of Shippers are performing below UNC target of 90% for June 2022.

# 2A.13 AQ at Risk

The report measures the percentage of Annual Quantity within each product class without a meter reading for the required duration as set out in the UNC.

2A.13 AQ at Risk - Product Class split



**Observations:**

- The majority of the AQ at risk sits within PC4.
  - There has been an increase in recent months to the SMART monthly category, accounting for one of the two top PC containing the largest volume of risk.
- PAFA continue to work with poorly performing Shippers to submit meter readings and reduce the volume of AQ at Risk.

## Shippers with the highest percentage of AQ at Risk within their portfolio in May 2022:

**Product Class 1**

- Rome 1.58%
- Thimphu 2.35%
- Valletta 4.13%

**Product Class 2**

- Thimphu 15.57%
- Rome 25.78%
- Saipan 21.86%

**Product Class 3**

- Roseau 12.74%
- Monaco 20.10%
- Paramaribo 100%

**Product Class 4 – AQ>293000**

- Maputo 100%
- Berlin 100%
- Gaborone 100%
- Tallinn 100%
- Majuro 100%
- Monaco 100%

**Product Class 4 – Monthly SMART**

- Luxembourg 100%
- Berlin 100%
- Gaborone 100%
- Gibraltar 100%
- Tallinn 100%
- Apia 100%
- Ashgabat 100%
- Vienna 100%

**Product Class 4 - Annual**

- Bamako 99.17%
- Luxembourg 100%
- Berlin 90.82%



# Appendix – PARR report details

Report ID	Topic	Details	Split By	12 Rolling Months	Report Format	e.g. for Nov Report	Condition
2A.1	Estimated & Check Reads	Estimated Reads: The percentage of Shippers portfolio where actual reads were not provided. Excludes NTS and Telemetered sites Check Reads: The number of MPRNS which have not had a site visit read for <=13 months	Class	Annual	Percentage	October	M-1
2A.2	No Meter Recorded on the Supply Point Register	The percentage of a Shipper's portfolio where no meter is fitted at the supply point for more than 6 months.	Class	Annual	Percentage	October	M-1
2A.3	No Meter Recorded on the Supply Point Register and Data Flows Received	The percentage of a Shipper's portfolio where no meter is fitted at the supply point for more than 6 months but data flows are received	Class	Annual	Percentage	October	M-1
2A.4	Shipper Transfer Read Performance	Shipper provided an opening meter read within D+10 of transfer of ownership	Total	Annual	Percentage	October	M-1
2A.5	Read Performance	Shipper to provide read as per frequency for each Product Class. Class and Shipper transfer are excluded. 6 monthly are considered as annual sites.	Class	Monthly	Percentage	October/ September (PC4 only)	M-1/M-2 (PC4)
2A.6	Meter Read Validity Monitoring	Percentage of Shippers portfolio which failed meter read validation MRE01026: Reading Breached lower outer tolerance MRE01027: Reading Breached upper outer tolerance MRE01028: Reading Breached lower inner tolerance and no override flag provided MRE01029: Reading Breached upper outer tolerance and no override flag provided MRE01030: Override tolerance passed and no override flag provided	Class	Monthly	Percentage	October	M-1



# Appendix – PARR report details

Report ID	Topic	Details	Split By	12 Rolling Months	Report Format	e.g. for Nov Report	Condition
2A.7	No read for 1,2,3 or 4 years	Percentage of Shipper portfolio in the specified EUC band which has not received a read for the specified period. Estimates are not counted	EUC Band and Class	Annual	Percentage	October	M-1
2A.8	AQ Corrections by reason code	Count of MPRNs on each Shippers portfolio where the AQ correction process was used.	Reason code	Annual	Count	October	M-1
2A.9	Standard Correction Factors	Count of sites with an AQ>732,000 kWh which have used a standard correction factor instead of using a site specific correction factor as per the requirements	EUC Band	Annual	Count	October	M-1
2A.10	Replaced Meter Reads	Count of sites which have replaced a meter read (actual meter reading with another actual meter read), with an updated AQ for the MPRN	EUC Band	Annual	Count	October	M-1
2A.11a	Sites above the Class 1 threshold which are not in Class 1	Reports on all sites with an Annual Quantity over the mandatory Daily Metered threshold which are not in Class 1 as a count and as a total AQ. Separated between those that have fully met the UNC G2.3.15b criteria, and those that have not yet met them.	Current Class	Annual	Count and sum of AQ	Nov	M
2A.11b	Count of sites reclassified to Class 1 by the Shipper and CDSP	Compares the number of qualifying sites which have been moved to Class 1 by the Shipper and by the CDSP each calendar month.	Shipper v CDSP	Annual	Count and sum of AQ	Oct	M-1



# Appendix – PARR report details

Report ID	Topic	Details	Split By	12 Rolling Months	Report Format	e.g. for Nov Report	Condition
2A.12	Class 4 read submission performance	<p>Assesses performance against the Class 4 meter read performance, expressed as a percentage of total AQ in that Shipper's ownership. Targeting larger AQ sites would aid settlement by ensuring that more energy is reconciled more quickly.</p> <p>Sites are excluded if there was a change of Shipper or where an "operational" Smart or Advanced meter was fitted for the first time in the calendar month.</p> <p>Sub-divided by Meter reading obligations,            a = Monthly due to AQ,            b = Smart/AMR fitted            c = non-Monthly</p>	Meter reading obligation	Annual	Percentage Read	Oct	M-1
2A.13	Breakdown of AQ overdue for a Meter Reading	<p>Reports on the total AQ by Shipper which is overdue for a meter reading.</p> <p>"Overdue" for the purposes of this report is UNC obligation plus 2 or 3 months, i.e.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Class 1, 2, 3 - no read for <b>three</b> months</li> <li>- Class 4 monthly read sites - no read for <b>three</b> months</li> <li>- Class 4 non-monthly read sites - no read for 15 months</li> </ul>	Meter reading obligation	Current and prior month only	Percentage overdue	Oct	M-1



PAFA@Gemserv.com

