



Modification 0819


Establishing/Amending a Gas Vacant Site Process

Vacant Site Exit Process – AQ Scenarios


DWG February 2023

Scenario 1 & 2:

AQ correction raised by Shipper within timescales OR
CDSP reinstate pre-vacant AQ values.



Scenario 3: CDSP reinstate pre-vacant AQ values & Shipper raises AQ correction outside timescales.



Key:


- Shipper Action
- CDSP Action

2023 calendar year

Scenario 4:

Change of Shipper during Exit Process.

Shipper A carries out AQ correction prior to change in ownership to Shipper B.




- Key:**
- Shipper A Action
 - Shipper B Action
 - CDSP Action

2023 calendar year

Scenario 5:

Change of Shipper during Exit Process.

Shipper B carries out AQ correction within original exit process timescales.



2023 calendar year

Questions from January 2023 Workgroup

Q1) How would the CDSP reinstate the pre-vacant AQ?

A1) We are anticipating that functionality will be developed to reinstate the pre-vacant AQ values. A CDSP generated AQ amendment process is not currently being proposed.

Q2) If the CDSP re-instate the pre-vacant AQ values between Jan-Mar, a requirement has been included that the FY AQ should be derived from the pre-vacant values and not the vacant AQ of 1. Why is this logic not being applied to Shipper AQ corrections?

A2) If a Shipper raises an AQ amendment via the existing process, the original snapshot position is automatically updated, so this requirement is not applicable for Shipper raised AQ amendments. Where the CDSP have to reinstate the pre-vacant AQ, we are not anticipating this is via an AQ amendment therefore this requirement is needed.