

## Stage 03: Draft Modification Report

# 0366:

## Clarification of legal text for UNC Modification 0229

This Modification seeks to remove the perceived ambiguity caused by the implementation of legal text for UNC Modification 0229



The Panel recommends  
That this modification is issued to consultation



High Impact:  
Shippers, Suppliers



Medium Impact:  
Customers



Low Impact:  
Transporters

What stage is this document in the process?



## Contents

- 1 Summary
- 2 Why Change?
- 3 Solution
- 4 Relevant Objectives
- 5 Impacts and Costs
- 6 Implementation
- 7 The Case for Change
- 8 Legal Text
- 9 Recommendation

## About this document:

This document is a Draft Modification Report. On 17 February 2011, the Modification Panel agreed that this should be issued for consultation. The close-out date for responses is 11 March 2011. The Panel will consider the responses and agree a recommendation on whether or not this change should be made.



### 3 Any questions?

4 Contact:  
Joint Office

5  [enquiries@gasgo](mailto:enquiries@gasgo)  
6 [vernance.co.uk](mailto:enquiries@gasgo)

8  0121 623 2115

11 Proposer:  
12 David Faragher (Total  
13 Gas and Power)

14  [david.faragher@total.com](mailto:david.faragher@total.com)

 020 7718 6071

Proposer's  
Representative:  
Gareth Evans  
(Waters Wye  
Associates)

 [gareth@waterswye.co.uk](mailto:gareth@waterswye.co.uk)

 01473 822 503

# 1 Summary

## Is this a Self Governance Modification

This modification will have a material impact on shippers and so is not suitable to be considered as a self-governance modification.

## Why Change?

The implementation of UNC Modification 0229 would not reflect the intention of the modification if it were to create the possibility of retrospective charges. Modifications 0339 and 0340, which purportedly seek to clarify the situation, will not do so appropriately as both modifications seek to create a fixed date to which charges may be back-dated. Implementation of either of these would therefore undermine the intent of UNC Modification 0229 which, if allowed to become retrospective, would not be implemented into the UNC as intended.

## Solution

The modification seeks to clarify the implementation of UNC Modification 0229 in line with the original intention. In order to remove the uncertainty which has been created around the implementation of Modification 0229, new legal text has been provided.

## Impacts and Costs

The modification will align the UNC with the intention of UNC Modification 0229, namely that any cost reallocation will be prospective in nature with no retrospective charges. We do not expect there to be any appreciable additional costs incurred as a result of this modification.

## Implementation

The modification can be implemented immediately upon direction.

## The Case for Change

This modification clarifies the existing legal text that was implemented as a result of UNC Modification 0229, so providing certainty of its effect. It also removes the possibility of retrospective charges, which would be an inappropriate cost allocation mechanism. By removing uncertainty and avoiding retrospectivity, implementation would facilitate effective competition and efficient administration of the UNC.

## Recommendations

All parties are invited to consider whether they wish to submit views regarding this modification. The close-out date for responses is 11 March 2011, which should be sent to [enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk](mailto:enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk). A response template which you may wish to use is at [www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0366](http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0366).

## 2 Why Change?

Uniform Network Code (UNC) Modification Proposal 0229 'Mechanism for correct apportionment of unidentified gas' was implemented with effect from 10<sup>th</sup> June 2010.

Throughout the development of UNC Modification 0229 the intent was clear that, to be consistent with accepted principles and avoid unfair cost allocation, all charges were to be levied prospectively. Unfortunately the legal text subsequently implemented into the UNC has created ambiguity regarding what the proposal sought to achieve, and has raised the possibility of retrospective charging.

In order to correct this error, National Grid Distribution raised two further changes; UNC Modification 0339 and UNC Modification 0340. During the course of their development, it has become clear that neither of these proposals will resolve the original issue caused by the ambiguous legal text for UNC Modification 0229 and are instead two separate solutions, looking to create fixed dates from which AUGE charges will be levied, irrespective of when the AUGS is adopted by the Committee, such that retrospective charging remains a possibility. At present therefore there is no change being actively pursued to correct the flawed implementation of UNC Modification 0229 while maintaining its original intent.

## 3 Solution

This Modification seeks to correct the flawed implementation of UNC Modification 0229 by providing absolute clarity on the legal text that relates to the levying of AUGÉ charges. For the avoidance of doubt, this modification proposes, that until the Committee adopts an AUG Table (as specified in TPD E10.4.3(h)) an interim AUG Table shall apply, using the same values as implemented by UNC Modification 0317.

## 4 Relevant Objectives

Implementation will better facilitate the achievement of **Relevant Objectives d and f.**

Proposer's view of the benefits against the Code Relevant Objectives	
Description of Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	Yes, see below
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Yes, see below

**Standard Special Condition A11.1 (d): so far as is consistent with subparagraphs (a) to (c) the securing of effective competition:**

**(i) between relevant shippers;**

**(ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or**

**(iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers;**

This modification looks to correctly implement UNC Modification 0229 and so is ensuring that the objectives of that modification are achieved, whilst removing the prospect of potential retrospective charges that would nullify its benefits. The prospect of retrospective charging creates uncertainty for Shippers and Suppliers, and the principle of avoiding retrospectivity in the interest of effective competition is well established. By clarifying that there will be no retrospective element, implementation of this proposal would remove the uncertainty that can lead to higher charges (with a risk premium otherwise potentially added to charges); can deter entrants to the energy market; and can deter competition among those already active in the market

**Standard Special Condition A11.1 (f): so far as is consistent with subparagraphs (a) to (e), the promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the network code and/or the uniform network code;**

Implementation of this Modification Proposal is necessary to ensure the requirements and terms of UNC Modification 0229 are accurately implemented within the UNC. Removing any perceived ambiguity would be consistent with efficient implementation of the UNC.

## 5 Impacts and Costs

### Costs

Indicative industry costs – User Pays	
Classification of the proposal as User Pays or not and justification for classification	
No changes to User Pays Services are proposed. Consequently this modification is not classified as User Pays.	
Identification of Users, proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and justification	
No User Pays charges applicable.	
Proposed charge(s) for application of Users Pays charges to Shippers	
No User Pays charges applicable to Shippers.	
Proposed charge for inclusion in ACS – to be completed upon receipt of cost estimate from xoserve	
No charges applicable for inclusion in ACS.	

### Impacts

Impact on Transporters' Systems and Process	
Transporters' System/Process	Potential impact
UK Link	None
Operational Processes	None
User Pays implications	None

Impact on Users	
Area of Users' business	Potential impact
Administrative and operational	None
Development, capital and operating costs	None
Contractual risks	Provides certainty with regard to the AUGÉ process, so reducing contractual risk.
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	None

## Where can I find details of the UNC Standards of Service?

In the Revised FMR for Transco's Network Code Modification **0565 Transco Proposal for Revision of Network Code Standards of Service** at the following location:  
<http://www.gasgovernance.com/networkcodearchive/551-575/>

Impact on Transporters	
Area of Transporters' business	Potential impact
System operation	None
Development, capital and operating costs	None
Recovery of costs	None
Price regulation	None
Contractual risks	None
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	Provides certainty on the Transporter obligations under the code.
Standards of service	None

Impact on Code Administration	
Area of Code Administration	Potential impact
Modification Rules	None
UNC Committees	None
General administration	Provides clarity on the AUGE process.

Impact on Code	
Code section	Potential impact
Transition Document TPD Section E10	Removal of current provisions with new legal text.

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Related Document	Potential impact
Network Entry Agreement (TPD I1.3)	None
Network Exit Agreement (Including Connected System Exit Points) (TPD J1.5.4)	None
Storage Connection Agreement (TPD R1.3.1)	None
UK Link Manual (TPD U1.4)	None
Network Code Operations Reporting Manual (TPD V12)	None
Network Code Validation Rules (TPD V12)	None

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
ECQ Methodology (TPD V12)	None
Measurement Error Notification Guidelines (TPD V12)	None
Energy Balancing Credit Rules (TPD X2.1)	None
Uniform Network Code Standards of Service (Various)	None

Impact on Core Industry Documents and other documents	
Document	Potential impact
Safety Case or other document under Gas Safety (Management) Regulations	None
Gas Transporter Licence	None

Other Impacts	
Item impacted	Potential impact
Security of Supply	None
Operation of the Total System	None
Industry fragmentation	None
Terminal operators, consumers, connected system operators, suppliers, producers and other non code parties	Provides certainty as to the costs and benefits that would result from the AUGÉ process and remove the potential of retrospective charges.

## 6 Implementation

As the modification seeks to clarify an existing process, it can be implemented immediately upon direction.

## 7 The Case for Change

In addition to that identified the above, the Proposer has identified the following:

### Advantages

1. Clarifies the existing legal text that was implemented as a result of a UNC Modification 0229, so providing certainty of its effect.
2. Removes the possibility of retrospective charges, which would be an unfair cost allocation mechanism.

### Disadvantages

1. None identified.

## 8 Legal Text

There is no specific requirement in the UNC for the Gas Transporters to utilise the exact form of the legal text shown below however the proposer would expect, to avoid a repeat of the problems experienced with UNC Modification 0229, that any deviation from the suggested text is undertaken after consultation with the proposer.

### Suggested legal text

Transition Document TPD Section E10:

*"1. It is acknowledged that the steps (including appointment of AUG Expert, establishing AUG Methodology and establishing AUG Table) first required under TPD Sections E10.2 and E10.4 will not be completed by the start of the first AUG Year (1 April 2011).*

*2. Accordingly, for the purposes of TPD Section E10 and notwithstanding anything to the contrary in Section E10:*

*(a) the first AUG Table to be established and adopted by the Committee as provided in TPD Section E10.4.3(g) to (i) shall apply for the purposes of TPD Section E10.5 in relation to the AUG Year commencing 1 April 2011, or in the event that an AUG Table has not been adopted by the Committee before the 31 March 2011, the next AUG Year commencing 1 April following the adoption of such AUG Table, and*

*(b) for each AUG Year preceding the AUG Year which commences on 1 April following the adoption of the AUG Table to be established under TPD Section E10.4.3 (g) to (i) the following table will apply ;*

#### **INTERIM AUG Table**

<i>LSP Apportionment</i>	<i>£2.75m</i>
<i>DM</i>	<i>£0</i>
<i>NDM</i>	<i>£2.75m</i>

"

## 9 Recommendation

All parties are invited to consider whether they wish to submit views regarding this modification. The close-out date for responses is 11 March 2011, which should be sent to [enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk](mailto:enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk). A response template which you may wish to use is at [www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0366](http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0366).