

Stage 03: Draft Modification Report

0385:

Inclusion of DNOs as Users in User Pays Arrangements

What stage is this document in the process?

01 Proposal

02 Workgroup Report

03 Draft Modification Report

Final Modification Report

This Proposal would enable an apportionment of User Pays implementation costs amongst all UNC parties to be included within User Pays Modification Proposals.



Responses invited by 16 November 2011.



High Impact: Insert name(s) of impact



Medium Impact: DNO Users



Low Impact: Insert name(s) of impact

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 15

Contents

- **1** Summary
- 2 Why Change?
- **3** Solution
- 4 Relevant Objectives
- 5 Impacts and Costs
- **6** Implementation
- **7** The Case for Change
- 8 Recommendation

About this document:

This document is a Draft Modification Report, which was issued for consultation responses, at the request of the Panel. The close-out date for responses is 16 November 2011. The Panel will consider the responses and agree whether or not this self-governance modification should be made.



Any questions?

Contact:

4

6

7

8

12

15

Joint Office





Proposer:

13 Phil Lucas





Transporter:
National Grid
Transmission

Xoserve:



commercial.enquiries

@xoserve.com



0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 15

1 Summary

Is this a Self Governance Modification

The Modification Panel determined that this is a self-governance modification.

Why Change?

Due to the definition of "User" in the UNC Modification Rules, it is not possible at present for a UNC Party to propose an apportionment of implementation costs in a User Pays Modification Proposal specifically to DNO Users (they can be allocated to DNOs as transporters, but not as Users).

Solution

It is proposed to amend the UNC Modification Rules such that a DNO User may be regarded as a "User" for the purposes of a User Pays Modification Proposal.

Impacts & Costs

In the event of implementation of this modification, UNC parties will be able to propose an apportionment of, and subsequently allocate, implementation costs specifically to a greater range of UNC Parties in a User Pays Modification Proposal.

There are no systems or ongoing costs associated with the implementation of this modification.

Payment of any resultant User Pays charges by DNO Users (pursuant to the implementation of a User Pays Modification) would be managed in accordance with the existing agreement between the Transporters in respect of the Transporter Agency as opposed to such charges being levied in accordance with Section S of the UNC Transportation Principal Document.

Implementation

As self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be 16 business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement.

The Case for Change

By supporting a greater range of cost allocations, implementation could increase cost reflectivity and help to avoid inappropriate cross-subsidies.

Recommendations

All parties are invited to consider whether they wish to submit views regarding this self-governance modification.

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 3 of 15

2 Why Change?

Section 6.2.5(c) of the UNC Modification Rules requires that where a User Pays Modification Proposal proposes that Users pay a proportion of the implementation costs, the Proposal should contain:

- (i) an apportionment of the implementation costs between Users and Transporters; and
- (ii) an apportionment of the implementation costs between Users.

The definition of a User in the UNC Modification Rules is as follows:

""User": for the purposes of these Rules, references to a User in the context of an Individual Network Code Modification Proposal includes "Relevant Shipper" and in all contexts excludes DNO User."

Future modifications to the UNC would benefit from the ability to attribute cost apportionment between DNO Users and Shipper Users as one class of beneficiaries to a User Pays Proposal, or specifically to DNO Users, in order to demonstrate that subsequent User Pays costs are to be allocated on a non-discriminatory basis between all potential beneficiaries of such a modification.

In the event that a modification is expected to benefit DNO Users, Shipper Users and National Grid NTS to different degrees, it would be appropriate for the Modification Rules to facilitate an apportionment of the costs of its implementation among all these parties in accordance with the User Pays Guidance Document.

However, at present the definition of User in the Modification Rules restricts a Proposer's ability to describe and propose all possible cost allocations where modifications seek to identify DNO Users as a unique beneficiary or as a beneficiary arising through being part of a User group which shares the benefit equally.

Subsequent to the implementation of Modification 0195AV, DNOs have the ability to apply for, and be registered as holding, NTS Exit Capacity to the same extent as Shipper Users and accordingly the UNC Modification Rules may need to recognise that there is potential for User Pays Modification Proposals (i.e. those aimed at changing the NTS Exit Capacity Arrangements) to enable an apportionment of implementation costs between DNO Users as well as Shippers Users.

Examples of where such a specific allocation of costs may be, or may have been necessary are as follows:

Modification Proposal 0351 'Enduring Exit Overruns – Deemed Applications'

Although this Proposal was withdrawn, it advocated the introduction of terms related to the booking of Enduring Annual NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity at NTS Exit Points. Accordingly, the proposer believed the Proposal would have potentially provided a clear benefit for all relevant parties; DNO Users, Shipper Users (at relevant NTS Exit Points) and National Grid NTS. Therefore, specific allocation of these costs between respective parties may have been required to reflect the level

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 4 of 15

of benefit each party (or group of parties) would potentially have obtained.

• Modification Proposal 0381 'Removal of the NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity "deemed application" process'.

Subsequent to the withdrawal of Modification Proposal 0351, Wales & West Utilities has raised this Proposal which seeks to remove the automatic (deemed) application for Enduring NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity in Year+4 that is triggered from a Chargeable NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Overrun. Within the description of the Proposal it is proposed that User Pays costs are apportioned as follows:

"As this Modification Proposal relates to NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity and UNC TPD Section B, for the purposes of User Pays, DNOs are classified here as Users and National Grid NTS are the only relevant Gas Transporter.

In accordance with the principles set out in the User Pays Guidance the Proposer suggests that, if there are any central system costs, the proposed split of implementation costs is 50:50 between Users (Shippers & DNOs) and NG NTS."

While this suggests that the desired outcome can be achieved within the existing UNC obligations, amending the definition of User would remove any element of doubt and provide clarity regarding coverage.

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 5 of 15

3 Solution

It is proposed to amend the UNC Modification Rules such that DNO Users may be regarded as a "User" where a User Pays Modification Proposal proposes to apportion costs specifically to them.

All Transporters have agreed that in the event of implementation, a change to paragraph 1.3 of the Agency Charging Statement will be pursued to provide that User Pays costs incurred by DNO Users will be payable pursuant to the existing agreement between the Transporters in respect of the Transporter Agency.

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 6 of 15

4 Relevant Objectives

Implementation will better facilitate the achievement of **Relevant Objectives c, d, and f.**

Prop	oser's view of the benefits against the Code Relevant Objectiv	es	
Desc	Description of Relevant Objective Identified impac		
a)	Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None	
b)	Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of	None	
(i)	the combined pipe-line system, and/ or		
(ii)	the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas		
trans	porters.		
c)	Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	See below	
d)	Securing of effective competition:	See below	
(i)	between relevant shippers;		
(ii)	between relevant suppliers; and/or		
(iii)	between DN operators (who have entered into		
trans	transportation arrangements with other relevant gas		
trans	porters) and relevant shippers.		
stand	Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant liers to secure that the domestic customer supply security dards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to domestic customers.	None	
f) admi	Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and nistration of the Code	See below	

Relevant objective (c)

This modification would more effectively demonstrate that charges for User Pays Services do not unduly discriminate or unduly prefer any person or class of persons as required by Licence Condition A15(11) of the DN and NTS Licences. From this perspective this licence condition would be more efficiently (and transparently) discharged.

Relevant objective d(iii)

This modification will enhance effective competition between DNOs and between DNOs and relevant shippers by enabling cost apportionment proposals for User Pays charges to be applied to DNO Users as well as Shipper Users, thus creating visibility for the industry that such apportionment mechanisms are being applied on a non-discriminatory and, potentially, more cost reflective basis. Ensuring appropriate allocations of costs between parties is consistent with securing effective competition.

Relevant Objective (f)

This modification will promote efficiency in the implementation and administration of the UNC by removing a potential barrier to the transparent apportionment of costs within a User Pays Modification Proposal to all Users who benefit from such a modification.

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 7 of 15

5 Impacts and Costs

Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

The Workgroup does not consider the modification has any wider industry impacts as it only impacts the UNC.

Costs

Indicative industry costs – User Pays

Classification of the proposal as User Pays or not and justification for classification

This is not a User Pays Modification Proposal since no central system changes are involved.

Identification of Users, proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and justification

Not applicable

Proposed charge(s) for application of Users Pays charges to Shippers

Not applicable

Proposed charge for inclusion in ACS – to be completed upon receipt of cost estimate from Xoserve

Not applicable

Impacts

Impact on Transporters' Systems and Process	
Transporters' System/Process	Potential impact
UK Link	• None
Operational Processes	• None
User Pays implications	It will be possible for UNC parties to propose apportionment of implementation costs of a User Pays Modification Proposal specifically to, and among, DNO Users as well as Shipper Users.

Impact on Users	
Area of Users' business	Potential impact
Administrative and operational	• None

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 8 of 15

Impact on Users	
Development, capital and operating costs	None
Contractual risks	None. The level of Shipper User contributions to User Pays Modification Proposals would be unchanged by this Proposal.
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	• None

Impact on Transporters	
Area of Transporters' business	Potential impact
System operation	• None
Development, capital and operating costs	Where DNOs Users are identified as beneficiaries of a User Pays Proposal, the aggregate level of DNO contributions will be unchanged; however there will be greater transparency within a Modification Proposal regarding DNO's contributions.
Recovery of costs	• None
Price regulation	• None
Contractual risks	• None
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	• None
Standards of service	• None

Impact on Code Administration	
Area of Code Administration	Potential impact
Modification Rules	Amendment to the definition of "User" to include DNO Users where a Proposal proposes to apportion User Pays charges specifically to DNOs as a separate class of User or as a group of Users which include Shipper Users.
UNC Committees	• None
General administration	• None

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 9 of 15

Impact on Code	
Code section	Potential impact
Modification Rules	As stated under 'Impact on Code Administration' above.

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents		
Related Document	Potential impact	
Network Entry Agreement (TPD I1.3)	None	
Network Exit Agreement (Including Connected System Exit Points) (TPD J1.5.4)	None	
Storage Connection Agreement (TPD R1.3.1)	None	
UK Link Manual (TPD U1.4)	None	
Network Code Operations Reporting Manual (TPD V12)	None	
Network Code Validation Rules (TPD V12)	None	
ECQ Methodology (TPD V12)	None	
Measurement Error Notification Guidelines (TPD V12)	None	
Energy Balancing Credit Rules (TPD X2.1)	None	
Uniform Network Code Standards of Service (Various)	None	
Agency Charging Statement (TPD B1.7.11)	All Transporters have agreed that, in the event of implementation, changes to this document will be required to reflect the preferred approach to the settlement of DNO User Pays charges as described in this Proposal.	

Impact on Core Industry Documents and other documents	
Document	Potential impact
Safety Case or other document under Gas Safety (Management) Regulations	None

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 10 of 15

Impact on Core Industry Documents and other documents	
Gas Transporter Licence	This proposal will more effectively demonstrate that charges for User Pays Services do not unduly discriminate or unduly prefer any person or class of persons as required by Licence Condition A15(11) of the DN and NTS Licences.

Other Impacts		
Item impacted	Potential impact	
Security of Supply	None	
Operation of the Total System	None	
Industry fragmentation	None	
Terminal operators, consumers, connected system operators, suppliers, producers and other non code parties	None	

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 11 of 15



6 Implementation

As self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be 16 business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement.

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 12 of 15



7 The Case for Change

None in addition to that identified above.

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 13 of 15



8 Legal Text

National Grid NTS has provided the following text:

UNIFORM NETWORK CODE - MODIFICATION RULES

Paragraph 2.1 Defined Terms

Amend the definition of User to read as follows:

- " "User": for the purposes of these Rules references to a User:
- (a) in the context of an Individual Network Code Modification Proposal, includes
 - (i) a Relevant Shipper;
 - (ii) in the context of the definition of Relevant Transporter, sub paragraph (b), a DNO User;
- (b) in the context of a User Pays Modification Proposal that includes a proposal for payment of the User Pays Implementation Costs, or a proportion of them, to be made by a DNO User, includes a DNO User; and
- (c) in all other contexts excludes a DNO User;"

UNIFORM NETWORK CODE – TRANSPORTATION PRINCIPAL DOCUMENT Section B

Amend paragraph 1.7.14 to read as follows:

"Where any User Pays Modification is not implemented but it is determined that a portion of the Implementation Costs should be paid by Users in accordance with the Users Pays Charge set out in the Agency Charging Statement, such User Pays Charge shall be invoiced in accordance with Section S. payable as set out in the Agency Charging Statement."

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 14 of 15



9 Recommendation



All parties are invited to consider whether they wish to submit views regarding this self-governance modification. The close-out date for responses is 16 November 2011, which should be sent to enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk. A response template which you may wish to use is at www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0385.

Consultation Ends

On 16 November 2011

0385

Draft Modification Report

26 October 2011

Version 1.0

Page 15 of 15