

# Emergency Cashout Arrangements

---

Transmission Workstream  
Thursday 5 June 2008  
Chris Logue

# Emergency Cashout Arrangements - Background

---

- ◆ MP0149 and Ofgem decision letter
  - ◆ Further work required:
    - ◆ To incentivise Shippers to avoid emergency
    - ◆ To encourage non UKCS gas into the UK during an emergency
    - ◆ Provide greater assurance that spiralling costs are appropriately managed.
- ◆ Conflict between objectives
  - ◆ Prices needed to attract Non UKCS Gas into UK versus the impact of spiralling Emergency cashout prices

# Key principles to consider

---

- ◆ Incentivise Shippers to avoid emergency
- ◆ Encourage Non UKCS gas into the UK / further demand-side reduction
- ◆ Emergency cashout price to reflect the cost of gas on the day
- ◆ Mitigate market manipulation and spiralling prices
- ◆ Reduce risk of shipper defaults resulting from extreme cashout prices

# Type of emergency – under prevailing arrangements

---

- ◆ Progressive
  - ◆ With the market having time to react prior to emergency Cashout price is likely to be *high* and is frozen at this level throughout the duration of the emergency.
- ◆ Rapid
  - ◆ Market unable to significantly react in the work up to the emergency. Cashout price is likely to be *low* and is frozen for the duration of the emergency.

# Frozen Vs Dynamic price

---

- ◆ Prevailing arrangements apply frozen emergency cashout price.
  - ◆ If emergency is prolonged but stable, cashout price may not reflect cost of gas on the day.
- ◆ Dynamic, may respond to the price of gas on the day.
  - ◆ More likely to elicit the responses required to limit the extent of the duration of the emergency.

# Objectives we are seeking to address

---

- ◆ Remain compliant with GS(M)R obligations
- ◆ Introduction of a dynamic price able to react to the changing state of the emergency
- ◆ Encourage non UKCS gas into UK
- ◆ Incentivise demand side turndown
- ◆ Improved price discovery
- ◆ Transparency of trade activity
- ◆ Reduction of trade 'churn factor' during a GDE
- ◆ Mitigate the potential for market manipulation and spiralling prices.
- ◆ Mitigate Shipper credit risk from extreme prices on the day

# Shipper Transportation Activities

---

- ◆ Split in Shipper transportation activity on the system (entry/exit split) approx:
  - ◆ Entry shippers 76% entry to 24% exit split
  - ◆ Exit shippers 23% entry to 77% exit split

# Options

---

1. Chunky Marginal - Dynamic Emergency Cashout Price dampened by taking the top [5] GWh Volume traded
2. Physical only OCM during emergency
3. Administered Cashout price on D+1 of GDE stage 2 - the greater of closing SMP Cashout price and Emergency Cashout price on Day 1 of a GDE Stage 2+.
4. Panic periods - Where Shippers maybe unable to respond, applying a short term replacement price during the initial [day] of emergency.
5. Introduction of Emergency cashout replacement price at GDE Stage 4.

# Comparison - Objectives / Options

	Dynamic price (dampened)	Dynamic price OCM Physical during GDE 2+	First days GDE 2+ /administered price	Panic period	Price frozen at GDE Stage 4
React to changing status of GDE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Up to Stage 4)
Encourage non-UKCS supplies into UK	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Up to Stage 4)
Encourage further demand-side reduction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Improve price discovery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mitigate shipper credit risk	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mitigate spiralling prices	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (after Stage 4)
Transparency of trade activity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Next Steps

---

- ◆ Further develop options at Transmission Workstream – July / September 2008
- ◆ Submit Proposal to UNC Mod Panel 18th October 2008
- ◆ Final report to 20th November UNC Mod Panel
- ◆ Implementation January 2009
- ◆ *Please feel free to contact National Grid to discuss options prior to July Transmission Workstream: Steve Pownall ([steve.pownall@uk.ngrid.com](mailto:steve.pownall@uk.ngrid.com) or 07721 866251) or Claire Thorneywork ([Claire.I.Thorneywork@uk.ngrid.com](mailto:Claire.I.Thorneywork@uk.ngrid.com))*