

### 0600:

Mod Title: Amend obligation for the acceptance of EPDQD revisions made after D+5

Proposer: Angharad Williams, National Grid NTS

Panel Date: 20th October 2016

# Why change?

- UNC states no revisions to the EPDQD will be made after D+5
- National Grid NTS accepting late revisions to ensure accurate shipper allocations
- If late revisions no longer accepted, will have adverse impact on shipper allocations
- Therefore UNC needs to be aligned to reflect current practices
- Propose revised obligation, so EPDQD amendments can be accepted after D+5, but inclusion of new reporting obligation for late amendments

## **Options**

- Feedback from sub-terminals and shippers shown majority support continuation of current practices
- National Grid cannot continue to accept late revisions without amending UNC
- 2 other options rejected:
  - Enforce D+5
    - Not supported by sub-terminals or shippers
    - Exposes shippers to inaccurate allocations
  - Extend D+5
    - Would impact CVA shipper allocation process at D+7
    - No clear evidence for an appropriate alternative timescale
    - Would not drive behaviour for sites to submit data by D+5

### Solution

#### UNC Change:

- Amend E 1.4.2 of UNC, so EPDQD amendments submitted between D+5 & M+15 can continue to be accepted
- But any post-D+5 amendment will be reported on the National Grid website
- Report will contain following details: site name, relevant gas day, reason for late amendment

#### Non-UNC Change:

- Update generic NEA template
- When existing contracts opened for amendments include EPDQD revisions
- Write to all system entry points to inform them of change to code, and requirements upon them which will ensure acceptance of their late revisions

## Recommended Steps

- The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:
  - Subject to self-governance
  - Workgroup assessment to develop the modification for 1 month
- Self-governance: likely to formally enable only a small subset of overall EPDQD revisions received
- Assessed by Workgroup: questions raised at Transmission Workgroup which need to be addressed
- Only one Workgroup required because main parties already been engaged through questionnaire or telephone interview
- Has been discussed at Transmission Workgroup over several months and views have been taken on board.