System Delivery			
System Build and Test	All parties are reminded that they should continue with ongoing build and test activities in anticipation of Level 2 testing in September 2015 and delivery of a tested system by October 2015.	ONGOING ACTION	
Start of Market T	rials – Data Issue		
June 2015 Data Cut	Requires data manipulation by Xoserve and all parties, simultaneously		
August 2015 Data Cut	AQ2015 values would be included. Less data manipulation than above		
October 2015 Data Cut	Low risk since same gas year values. Outputs more predictable	Steering Group preferred option	
Delivery Options Medium confidence of delivery Information			
Option 1 Implementation of Core, RA and US on 01 Sept 2016	Issues driving the confidence level: Re-planning is more complete May need an AQ2016 process, not currently envisaged Detailed design of Xoserve RA solution is incomplete Other Considerations: Deferred consumer benefits (which arise from Core implementation) Risk that later RA deferral might default programme to Option 2 but with later delivery of Core, or to a later-still Option 1 delivery. Periodic checkpoints at SG to assure delivery Ongoing industry resourcing for existing systems and project delivery.		
Option 2 Implementation of Core 01 July 2016. RA and US Jan 2017	For Core on 01 July, low confidence of delivery, but should be earlier than Option 1 (confidence should increase as more planning is done) Issues driving the confidence level: Detailed design of Xoserve RA solution is incomplete Assumes no contingency for RA capability Need to confirm that Core works if RA is decoupled Other Considerations: Earliest achievement of core consumer benefits Deferred RA might have	Information	

	significant impacts for shippers (can this be quantified?) Detailed re-planning of RA by Xoserve is likely to divert attention from existing delivery / cause further delays May impact existing planned implementations of European Code-related changes in May 2016 and IEP (iGMS replacement) in July 2016 For RA/US in Jan 2017, low confidence of delivery due to limited planning Issues driving the confidence level: RA not fully understood, little detailed planning done Other Considerations: Ongoing industry resourcing for existing systems and project delivery Extended stabilisation/support period post-Core delivery could lead to protracted gap to RA delivery	
Next Steps		
Aim is to make a recommendation on 01 July 2015	Covering revised Market Trials and Project Nexus implementation date/s	FOR ACTION: Industry views are sought on: 1. the MT data options, 2. length of MT (see slides), and; 3. the Nexus implementation replanning options.

To be fed back via PwC, who will summarise for the

SG.