UNC Workgroup 0421 (0379A) Minutes Provision for an AQ Review Audit Wednesday 06 June 2012 via teleconference

Attendees

(BF)	Joint Office
(LD)	Joint Office
(AM)	British Gas
(CC)	Ofgem
(CW)	National Grid Distribution
(DA)	Xoserve
(EH)	RWE npower
(EC)	ScottishPower
(MC)	Scottish Power
(TD)	Joint Office
	(LD) (AM) (CC) (CW) (DA) (EH) (EC)

Copies of all papers are available at: http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0421/060612

1. Review of Minutes and Actions

1.1 Minutes

The minutes of the previous meeting were accepted.

1.2 Actions

No actions remained outstanding.

2. Workgroup Report

At the previous meeting those present had agreed to consider an amended Modification, legal text and Workgroup Report at short notice.

MC explained the subsequent changes made to the Modification, the Reporting Suite and the Guidelines.

In response to a question from AM, BF confirmed that amended legal text had not been received following the last meeting. The legal text provider (Wales & West Utilities) was not present at this meeting.

The draft Workgroup Report was reviewed.

DA reported that Xoserve had carried out some preliminary analysis in terms of the Solution, though it did not have a formal ROM request/response available yet, and had based it on the indicative costs/matrix of a similar modification (0334) for consideration. Looking at this, the analysts believed it would take between 6-12 months to implement, and advocated not splitting the two phases, because of the complexities identified at the front end. DA asked if a full detailed ROM was required (he indicated that this would take 4-6 weeks to complete) or if high level costs (somewhere in the range of £500k to £1m) were sufficient to inform Consultation responses. BF reminded that the Workgroup must report to the UNC Modification Panel on 21 June 2012 so there was no time to wait for a ROM to be carried out for inclusion in this Report; however, the Panel may request that a formal cost estimate be produced prior to Consultation. Following questions from various parties, it was

believed that the estimated costs would be related to potential system developments/changes.

The Workgroup then reviewed the impact of the modification on the relevant objectives.

The views of the Workgroup were encapsulated within the Report as the discussions progressed.

The Workgroup considered that the Modification was sufficiently developed to proceed to Consultation and recommended that the Panel request the provision of formal legal text and a cost estimate prior to its being formally issued for Consultation.

At the appropriate point in the process any associated/related documents will need to be approved by UNC Committee.

The Workgroup Report was completed and will be submitted to the June UNC Modification Panel for its consideration.

3. Any Other Business

None raised.

4. Diary Planning for Workgroup

No further meetings were required.