

UNC Workgroup 0533S Minutes
Corrections to Network Entry Provisions in the National Grid
NTS-BBL Interconnection Agreement

Thursday 02 April 2015

ENA, Dean Bradley House, 52 Horseferry Road, London SW1P 2AF

Attendees

Les Jenkins (Chair)	(LJ)	Joint Office
Mike Berrisford (Secretary)	(MB)	Joint Office
Andrew Pearce	(AP)	BP
Anna Shrigley	(AS)	Eni
Charles Ruffell	(CR)	RWEST
Colin Hamilton	(CH)	National Grid NTS
David McCrone	(DM)	Ofgem
David Reilly	(DR)	Ofgem
Debra Hawkin*	(DH)	TPA Consultants
Ethel Afrane	(EA)	National Grid NTS
Fergus Healy	(FH)	National Grid NTS
Francisco Gonçalves	(FG)	Gazprom
Gerry Hoggan	(GH)	ScottishPower
Graham Jack	(GJ)	Centrica
Jeff Chandler	(JC)	SSE
John McNamara	(JM)	National Grid NTS
Julie Cox*	(JCo)	Energy UK
Kirsten Elliott-Smith	(KES)	Cornwall Energy
Mark Lyndon	(ML)	National Grid NTS
Marshall Hall	(MH)	Oil & Gas UK
Natasha Ranatunga	(NR)	EDF Energy
Nick Wye	(NW)	Waters Wye Associates
Nigel Sisman	(NS)	sisman energy consulting
Phil Broom	(PB)	GdF Suez
Rachel Hinsley	(RH)	Xoserve
Richard Fairholme*	(RF)	E.ON
Ric Lea	(RL)	Deloitte
Rudi Streuper*	(RS)	BBL
Sayf Al-Hadi	(SA)	Centrica

*via teleconference

Copies of all papers are available at: <http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0533/020415>

The Workgroup Report is due to be presented at the UNC Modification Panel by 21 May 2015.

1.0 Outline of Modification

LJ introduced the modification and explained that, whilst it does not propose any changes to the Uniform Network Code because any amendments would be restricted to the Interconnection Agreement only, it does involve a set of quite technical changes.

2.0 Initial Discussion

2.1. Completion of Workgroup Report

The Workgroup undertook an onscreen review of the draft Workgroup Report (v0.1), during which the main discussions points were captured, as follows:

Section 3 – Solution

ML explained that the proposed changes within the table form what is in essence a tidy up exercise and even though the Gas Entry provisions are linked to Code, these proposed amendments do not change how parties operate.

LJ pointed out that as it is proposed that the Workgroup Report is completed at today's meeting for formal submission to the April Panel, and thereafter out to consultation, any questions should be raised now.

When asked, ML confirmed that COS (Carbonyl Sulphide) is already defined within the Interconnection Agreement.

LJ made some onscreen changes in response to a point around the use of the terms 'sulphur' and 'sulphide'.

When asked whether or not the modification would be expected to affect any sources of gas, RS responded and explained that in short the answer is no, as no changes are proposed to the existing gas operational and sourcing aspects (i.e. gas quality etc.).

Section 4 – Relevant Objectives

At the request of NR, relevant objective g) was added to the statement sitting after the table to read as '*.....either positive or negative, on relevant objectives (a) to (e) and (g)*'.

When asked, those in attendance approved the Workgroup Report, as amended.

3.0 Next Steps

Concluding discussions, LJ explained that the Workgroup Report would now be submitted to the April Panel with a recommendation that it is issued direct to consultation with a view to thereafter being considered at the June Panel for a decision.

4.0 Any Other Business

None.

5.0 Diary Planning

Further details of planned meetings are available at: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/Diary

There are no further meetings of the Workgroup planned at this time.