

Record of Determinations: Panel Meeting, 15 September 2011

Modification	Vote Outcome	Shipper Voting Members					Transporter Voting Members					Consumer Representative	Determination Sought
		AB (RS)	CWr	PB (SL)	RF	SL	CWa	JF	JM	RHe	ST	RHa	
0397 - Amendments to Section I of the OAD to reflect Offtake Profile Notice rules & recognition of different NTS/LDZ Offtake sensitivities	Not related to the Significant Code Review - unanimous vote against	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Modification is related to Significant Code Review
	Is a Self-Governance Modification - 7 votes in favour, 2 against	X	✓	✓	NV	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	Modification should not follow Self-Governance Procedures
	Proposed Self-governance Determination Date is 19 January 2012 - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Proposed Self-governance Determination Date to be 19 January 2011
	Issued to Workgroup 0397 - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Issue to Workgroup for Assessment
	Workgroup to report by 15 December - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Report by December Panel
0398 - Limitation on Retrospective Invoicing and Invoice Correction (3 to 4 year solution)	Not related to the Significant Code Review - unanimous vote against	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Modification is related to Significant Code Review
	Is not a Self-Governance Modification - unanimous vote against	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Modification should follow Self-Governance Procedures
	Issued to Workgroup 0398 - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Issue to Workgroup for Assessment
	Workgroup to report by 15 December - 8 votes in favour, 3 against	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	Report by December Panel
0399 – Transparency of Theft Detection Performance	Not related to the Significant Code Review - unanimous vote against	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Modification is related to Significant Code Review
	Is not a Self-Governance Modification - 3 votes in favour, 8 against	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	Modification should follow Self-Governance Procedures
	Issued to Workgroup 0399 for assessment - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Issue to Workgroup for Assessment
	Workgroup to report by 15 December - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Report by the December Panel
0400 – Removal of obligation to install duplicate Telemetry Equipment	Not related to the Significant Code Review - unanimous vote against	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Modification is related to Significant Code Review
	Is a Self-Governance Modification - 10 votes in favour	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Modification should not follow Self-Governance Procedures
	Proposed Self-governance Determination Date to be 19 January 2012 - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Proposed Self-governance Determination Date to be 19 January 2012
	Issued to Workgroup 0400 for assessment - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Issue to Workgroup for Assessment

	Workgroup to Report by 19 January 2012 - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Report by the 19 January Panel	
0401 - Amendments to the provisions for agreeing pressures at the Offtakes from the National Transmission System to Distribution Networks	Consider Modification at short notice - 5 votes in favour, 4 against	NV	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	X	Consider at short notice	
	Not related to the Significant Code Review - unanimous vote against	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Modification is related to Significant Code Review	
	Is a Self-Governance Modification - 5 votes in favour, 4 against	NV	X	✓	X	NV	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	Modification should follow Self-Governance Procedures	
	Self Governance Determination date to be 19 January 2012 - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Self Governance Determination Date	
	Issued to Workgroup 0401 for assessment - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Issue to Workgroup for Assessment	
	Workgroup to Report by 19 January 2012 - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Report by the January Panel	
0372 - Code Governance Review Licence Compliance Changes	Variation is not immaterial - 1 vote in favour (unanimous vote required to be immaterial)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	Variation is immaterial
0372V - Code Governance Review Licence Compliance Changes	Proceed to consultation - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Variation Material, issue to consultation
	Legal Text required - 1 vote in favour of not being provided	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	Legal Text not required
	Cost estimate not required - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Cost estimate not required
0363 - Commercial Arrangements for NTS Commingling Facilities	Consider modification at short notice - 4 votes in favour, 2 against	✓	✓	NV	X	✓	NV	NV	NV	✓	NV	X	Consider at short notice	
	Variation is not immaterial - 1 vote in favour (unanimous vote required to be immaterial)	X	X	X	NV	X	X	X	X	X	✓	NV	Variation is immaterial	
0363V - Commercial Arrangements for NTS Commingling Facilities	Proceed to consultation - 8 votes in favour	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	NV	Issue to Consultation	
	Legal Text required - 4 votes in favour and 4 votes against (casting vote by Panel Chair against not providing Legal Text)	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	NV	X	✓	NV	NV	Legal Text not required	
	Cost estimate not required - 10 votes in favour	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	Cost Estimate not required	
0335 - Offtake Metering Error - Payment Timescales	Proceed to consultation - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Issue to Consultation	
	Legal text required - 8 votes in favour	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Legal Text required for consultation	
0338 - Remove the UNC requirement for a 'gas trader' User to hold a Gas Shipper Licence	Proceed to consultation - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Issue to Consultation	
	Legal text required - 10 votes in favour	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Legal text required for inclusion in DMR	

to hold a Gas Shipper Licence	Cost estimate not required - 3 votes in favour	✓	✓	✓									Cost estimate required for inclusion in DMR	
0385 - Inclusion of DNOs as Users in User Pays Arrangements	Proceed to consultation - unanimous vote	✓	NP	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Issue to Consultation	
	Cost estimate not required - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Cost estimate not required for inclusion in the Draft Modification Report	
	Legal text required - 1 vote against	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	Legal text not required for inclusion in the Draft Modification Report
0389 - Simplification of points of telemetry	Proceed to consultation - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Issue to Consultation	
	Cost estimate not required - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Cost estimate not required for inclusion in the Draft Modification Report	
	Legal text required - 1 vote against	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	Legal text not required for inclusion in the Draft Modification Report
0377 - Use of Daily Meter Reads	Extension to December Panel agreed - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Extension to December requested	
0378 - Greater Transparency over AQ Appeal Performance	Extension to November Panel agreed - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Extension to November requested	
0330 - Delivery of additional analysis and derivation of Seasonal normal weather	Defer consideration - 10 votes in favour	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	Defer consideration
0345 - Removal of Daily Metered voluntary regime	Defer consideration - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Defer consideration
0331 - Demand Estimation Section H Changes to Processes and Responsibilities	No new issues identified - no votes in favour													Did consultation raise new issues
	Implementation recommended - 8 votes in favour	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Whether to recommend implementation
0352 - The Introduction of an Interruptible Reverse Flow service at Moffat Interconnector	No new issues identified - no votes in favour													Did consultation raise new issues
	Implementation recommended - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Whether to recommend implementation
0386 - Extending rights to Protected Information provisions for Meter Asset Managers / Registered Metering Applicants – unpopulated MAM id records	No new issues identified - no votes in favour	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Did consultation raise new issues
	Panel determined to implement Self-Governance Modification 0386 - unanimous vote	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Determine whether to implement Self Governance Modification

KEY	In favour	Not in Favour	No Vote Cast	
------------	-----------	---------------	--------------	--

	✓	X	NV	
--	---	---	----	--

Attendees

Voting Members:

Shipper Representatives	Transporter Representatives	Consumer Representative
C Wright (CWr), British Gas R Fairholme (RF), E.ON UK R Street (RS), Corona Energy S Leedham (SL), EDF Energy also alternate for P Broom	C Warner (CWa), National Grid Distribution J Ferguson (JF), Northern Gas Networks J Martin (JM), Scotia Gas Networks R Hewitt (RHe), National Grid NTS S Trivella (ST), Wales & West Utilities	R Hall (RHa), Consumer Focus

Non-Voting Members:

Independent Suppliers' Representative	Ofgem Representative	Chairman
		T Davis (TD), Joint Office

Also in Attendance:

A Miller (AM), Xoserve, A Raper (National Grid Distribution), D Ianora (DI), Ofgem and R Fletcher (RF), Panel Secretary

Record of Discussions

116.1 Note of any alternates attending meeting

J Martin for A Gibson (Scotia Gas Networks), R Street for A Bal (Shell) and S Leedham for P Broom (GDF Suez)

116.2 Record of Apologies for absence

A Bal, A Gibson, C Hill, J Dixon and P Broom

116.3 Consider New, Non-Urgent Modifications

- a) Modification 0397 - Amendments to Section I of the OAD to reflect Offtake Profile Notice rules & recognition of different NTS/LDZ Offtake sensitivities

ST introduced the modification and its aims.

SL felt the modification did not meet the requirements of Self-Governance since it materially impacts NTS demand forecasts and consequently operation of the system. RHe did not think it was appropriate to look at a specific set of NTS customers and therefore the modification should go to Ofgem for a decision rather than being subject to Self-Governance.

RHa asked if the Workgroup should be asked for their view on whether the modification was Self-Governance. TD advised the Offtakes Arrangements Workgroup had reviewed a draft and raised no concerns regarding Self-Governance.

Members determined that Modification 0397:

- is not related to the Significant Code Review as it is not a related subject;
- meets the criteria for Self-Governance, as it was felt that the modification would codify existing best practice and hence not have a material impact on competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes;
- should be issued to a Workgroup for assessment, with a report presented to Panel by 15 December 2011;
- should have a Proposed Self-Governance Determination date of 19 January 2012.

Modification 0398 - Limitation on Retrospective Invoicing and Invoice Correction (3 to 4 year solution)

ST introduced the modification and its aims. CWa felt there might be issues for producing legal text as a result of modifying the same section of

the UNC if Modifications 0395 and 0398 are both directed for implementation. ST disagreed. He felt both could be implemented with 0398 operating initially and the text being overwritten by subsequent implementation of 0395.

ST's aspiration was that the timetable for progressing the modification should support implementation on 1 April 2012. RS expressed concern that this would not provide sufficient time for an adequate assessment of the modification. Sufficient time should be allowed to enable smaller parties to participate in the Workgroup process. He felt that the timeline is very challenging given that there could be material impacts on parties should the modification be implemented, with some scenarios potentially putting parties out of business.

Following a further discussion of the timetable, Members determined that Modification 0398:

- is not related to the Significant Code Review as it is not a related subject;
- does not meet the criteria for Self-Governance, as it was felt that the modification is likely to have a material impact on shippers and billing arrangements;
- should be issued to a Workgroup for assessment, with a report presented to Panel by 15 December 2011. The Workgroup should meet on the same day as Workgroup 0395.

b) Modification 0399 – Transparency of Theft Detection Performance

CWr introduced the modification and its aims.

RS did not believe Self-Governance is applicable to any issue concerning theft given that an Ofgem Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA) on Theft of Gas related topics has been issued. DI advised that Ofgem would hope to see the modification in the context of other theft initiatives as set out in the RIA. CWr felt the modification should be considered on its own merits and would be applicable regardless of the outcomes of the RIA – in his view, Self-Governance would be appropriate since the modification requires no change to Shipper or Transporter behaviour. RHa did not consider the modification was suitable for Self-Governance as experience in other industries has shown the removal of anonymity to be material.

Members determined that Modification 0399:

- is not related to the Significant Code Review as it is not a related subject;
- does not meet the criteria for Self-Governance;
- should be issued to a Workgroup for assessment, with a report presented by the 15 December Panel.

d) Modification 0400 – Removal of obligation to install duplicate Telemetry Equipment

RHe introduced the modification and its aims, and clarified that the change applies solely to the boundary between the NTS and distribution networks.

Members determined that Modification 0400:

- is not related to the Significant Code Review as it is not a related subject;
- meets the criteria for Self-Governance, as it was felt that it would maintain the status quo and hence not have a material impact on competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes;
- should be issued to a Workgroup for assessment, with a report presented by the 19 January Panel.
- should have a Proposed Self-Governance Determination date of 19 January 2012

e) Modification 0401 - Amendments to the provisions for agreeing pressures at the Offtakes from the National Transmission System to Distribution Networks

Members discussed whether to consider the modification at short notice. RS asked whether there was a timing issue that made the case for it to be considered. AR explained that the modification had been submitted ahead of the deadline but had not been accepted by the Joint Office due to a number of errors in the modification as submitted. However, these were technical in nature and it would help to get the modification formally recognised within the modification process. The Panel then determined to consider the modification at short notice.

AR introduced the modification and its aims. CWr asked if implementation might impact NTS connected customers, such as through consequential impacts when providing agreed pressures at a DNO offtake. AR did not believe there would be any such impact. However, some Members remained concerned that the modification may have wider impacts and so does not meet the criteria for Self-Governance.

Members determined that Modification 0401:

- is not related to the Significant Code Review as it is not a related subject;
- meets the criteria for Self-Governance as it seeks to amend the provisions for agreeing pressures (rather than the pressures eventually agreed) and hence is not likely to impact competition in the supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes;

- should be issued to a Workgroup for assessment, with a report presented by the 19 January 2012 Panel;
- should have a Proposed Self-Governance Determination date of 19 January 2012

The Workgroup is requested to:

Identify whether this modification is likely to impact NTS flexibility and/or pressures available to NTS connected customers.

116.4 Consider Variation Requests

- a) Modification 0372 - Code Governance Review Licence Compliance Changes

RHe explained the variation request and that it aims to correct a number of minor errors in the legal text. Following discussion, Members did not determine that the variation to Modification 0372 was immaterial.

Members then determined for the varied Modification 0372V:

- that it should be issued to consultation;
- that legal text is required for inclusion in the Draft Modification Report; and
- that a cost estimate is not required for inclusion in the Draft Modification Report.

- b) Modification 0363 - Commercial Arrangements for NTS Commingling Facilities

Following discussion, Members determined to consider this Variation Request at short notice.

RHe explained the variation request and that it aims to extend legal text in line with the intent of the modification, and to correct some minor errors. Following discussion, Members did not determine that the variation to Modification 0363 was immaterial.

Members then determined for the varied Modification 0363:

- that it should be issued to consultation;
- that legal text is required for inclusion in the Draft Modification Report; and
- that a cost estimate is not required for inclusion in the Draft Modification Report.

116.5 Consider Workgroup Issues

Workgroup Reports for Consideration

a) Modification 0335 - Offtake Metering Error - Payment Timescales

Following discussion, Members determined for Modification 0335:

- that it should proceed to consultation; and
- that legal text is required for inclusion in the draft Modification Report.

b) Modification 0338 - Remove the UNC requirement for a 'gas trader' User to hold a Gas Shipper Licence

DI advised that Ofgem intend to consult with the industry as to the requirements for traders to hold a Shipper Licence. She suggested that it might be beneficial to delay consultation for this modification by one month so that the consultations coincide. ST did not think a delay was needed given the requirements currently in the UNC.

RHa suggested specifically inviting views, as part of the consultation, on the removal of regulatory oversight – what is being removed and what are the implications? CWr agreed this should be asked, believing the change could mean that behaviors could only be investigated in a number of circumstances and not across the whole market.

AM explained the impacts on Xoserve systems would be minimal and very low cost.

Following discussion, Members determined for Modification 0338:

- that it should proceed to consultation;
- that the consultation response template should include a question seeking views on the removal of regulatory oversight by not requiring traders to hold a Shipper Licence – what is being removed and what are the implications;
- that a cost estimate is not required for inclusion in the draft Modification Report; and
- that legal text is required for inclusion in the draft Modification Report.

c) Modification 0385 - Inclusion of DNOs as Users in User Pays Arrangements

Following discussion, Members determined for Modification 0385:

- that it should proceed to consultation;
- that a cost estimate is not required for inclusion in the draft Modification Report; and
- that legal text is required for inclusion in the draft Modification Report.

d) Modification 0389 - Simplification of points of telemetry

ST advised that the table set out in the legal text was intended for site specific options, and suggested the Proposer may want to consider amending the table to fit a broader definition.

Following discussion, Members determined for Modification 0389:

- that it should proceed to consultation;
- that a cost estimate is not required for inclusion in the draft Modification Report; and
- that legal text is required for inclusion in the draft Modification Report

Extensions Requested

The Panel reviewed the workplan for modifications currently under assessment and unanimously agreed to extend the following Workgroup reporting dates:

- a) Modification 0377 - Use of Daily Meter Reads: report by December Panel
- b) Modification 0378 - Greater Transparency over AQ Appeal Performance: Report by November Panel

116.6 Existing Modification Proposals for Reconsideration

- a) Modification 0330 - Delivery of additional analysis and derivation of Seasonal normal weather

ST advised that legal text had been provided for the Final Modification Report. However, it is not possible to put an implementation date since this is dependent on when an implementation decision is made. However, Ofgem cannot implement text with an unknown date, as any post decision amendment to the date would amend the text Ofgem have approved. The modification had therefore been put on the agenda to ascertain if there were any concerns if a date was provided to Ofgem and the text updated before they gave their decision. Following discussion, Members agreed that this would be appropriate.

Members did not believe further consultation was justified and determined that consideration of the Modification should be deferred.

- b) Modification 0345 - Removal of Daily Metered voluntary regime

TD explained that the further consultation had concluded and invited comments on the supplementary report. DI asked if the Panel had a view on which date to recommend of the three possible implementation dates set out in the consultation. Members supported adopting the consensus view indicated in consultation responses, October 2013.

Members did not believe further consultation was justified and determined that consideration of the Modification should be deferred.

116.7 Consider Final Modification Reports

- a) Modification 0331 - Demand Estimation Section H Changes to Processes and Responsibilities

The Chair summarised that this modification seeks to change responsibilities for developing the demand estimation process. User involvement would be increased through changes to DESC (Demand Estimation Sub-Committee) and the approach to decision taking, together with creation of an Expert Group, which would facilitate those with specific expertise and knowledge having more direct influence over the demand estimation methodology.

Members recognised that clearly defined involvement by appropriate experts could be expected to lead to more robust approaches being implemented such that estimated demand is closer to actual demand. This would be expected to reduce the risk faced by Shippers through reconciliations when actual demand is known, and to support more accurate cost allocations. By reducing risk and improving cost allocations, implementation would be consistent with facilitating the securing of effective competition between Shippers.

Some Members felt that the existing process does not support effective User involvement in the demand estimation process, with the consultation process occurring too late for any significant changes to be made to the proposals put forward by the Transporters. As such, these Members believed that the revised process would promote efficiency in the administration and implementation of the Code.

With 8 votes cast in favour, Panel Members determined to recommend that Modification 0331 should be implemented.

Implementation could better facilitate the achievement of **Relevant Objectives d and f.**

The benefits against the Code Relevant Objectives

Description of Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	Yes

e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Yes

b) Modification 0352 - The Introduction of an Interruptible Reverse Flow service at Moffat Interconnector

The Chair summarised that this is a facilitating modification, involving no change to the UNC. Implementation would, however, enable inclusion of an Interruptible Reverse Flow service at the Moffat Interconnector within the Moffat Ancillary Agreement (MAA). The MAA will effectively become a combined Exit and Entry Ancillary agreement, allowing signatories to utilise Entry and/or Exit services at the Moffat Interconnector.

In addition, the modification enables amendments to be made to the Connected System Agreement (CSA), such that the CSA will include Network Entry Provisions that facilitate the Commercial Interruptible Reverse Flow service - no physical entry flow is anticipated.

Members considered that implementation would be expected to provide for greater competition between relevant Shippers and increase market liquidity by facilitating greater access to the GB market and potentially retaining supplies within the GB market. Providing for energy nominations and allocations into the NTS from Moffat interconnector also allows greater scope for energy nominations and allocations from the NTS into Northern Ireland and Eire in excess of the physical exit flow, which may increase and secure effective competition between relevant Shippers and therefore apply downward pressure on prices due to increased market activity. Implementation would therefore be expected to facilitate the relevant objective of securing effective competition between Shippers.

Some Members also recognised that, by facilitating contractual changes that establish a commercial interruptible reverse flow service, access to the NTS is provided that would otherwise be absent. This may allow for greater co-ordination between the NTS and the Northern Ireland and Eire pipe-line system(s) and the respective Users of the pipe-line systems. Implementation could, therefore, be regarded as facilitating efficient and economic system operation.

With 11 votes cast in favour, Panel Members unanimously determined to recommend that Modification 0352 should be implemented.

Implementation could better facilitate the achievement of **Relevant Objectives b and d.**

The benefits against the Code Relevant Objectives

Description of Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	Yes

b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	Yes
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	None

- c) Modification 0386 - Extending rights to Protected Information provisions for Meter Asset Managers / Registered Metering Applicants – unpopulated MAM id records

The Chair summarised that this is a facilitating modification – implementation would permit, but not require, the release of supplier id, meter serial number and meter model to an enquiring MAM where the MAM id is not populated on the central systems operated by Xoserve.

Members recognised that implementation would be consistent with efficient administration and implementation of the Code since it would facilitate the subsequent release of data without the need to approach all service providers. It was also recognised that this subsequent data release would be expected to enhance data quality and support the change of supplier process. Implementation would therefore be consistent with facilitating the securing of effective competition between Shippers and between Suppliers.

With 11 votes cast in favour, Panel Members unanimously determined that Self-Governance Modification 0386 be implemented.

Implementation could better facilitate the achievement of **Relevant Objectives d and f.**

The benefits against the Code Relevant Objectives	
Description of Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None

c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	Yes
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Yes

116.8 Consents to Modify

- a) C041 – Revision to the legal text associated with the implementation of 0320V Code Governance Review: Appointment and Voting Rights for a Consumer Representative and Independent Panel Chair

No progress to report.

- b) C042 – Corrections to UNC TPD Section Y, Part A – NTS Charging Methodologies

No progress to report.

- c) C044 - Revision to the legal text associated with the implementation of UNC Modification Proposal 0269 raised to provide Exit Information at all NTS Exit Points for the transitional exit period

No progress to report.

116.9 Any Other Business

- a) Request Procedure Proforma

Members approved the Request Proforma.

- b) Consideration to change meeting location

TD asked for views on the relocation of meetings, including the Panel meeting, away from London during the July 2012 Olympics. Members recognised this may be appropriate and agreed to provide views at the next meeting.

116.10 Conclude Meeting and Agree Date of Next Meeting

10:30 20 October 2011, at the ENA.