UNC Modification 0602 "Implementation of Non Effective Days and Variant Non-Business Days for Project Nexus Implementation (Project Nexus transitional modification)" – Paper for consideration in support of a Transition Progress Group (TPG) Recommendation

Executive Summary

This paper describes options leading to the proposal for modification 0602 to define 9 Non Effective Days in advance of Project Nexus Implementation on 1st June 2017, and for 2 Variant Non Business Days of 1st and 2nd June 2017.

An updated modification has been provided to reflect this for consideration by the UNC Workgroup.

Purpose of the Paper

This paper is intended to assist participants of TPG (and other stakeholders) to assess the proposed options for UNC Modification 0602, and to enable wider communication within their organisations to assess impacts. Following a short period for assessment parties are requested to provide any matters for clarification or concerns so that the UNC 0602 workgroup can consider views from TPG members. It was indicated at TPG on 6th December that in the absence of any views submitted to the contrary, Option 1 described within this document would be described as the 'TPG Recommendation'.

Background

Modification 0602 has been raised to provide sufficient time to undertake a controlled transition to the new UK Link System that incorporates the Project Nexus Requirements. This modification requests a series of Non Effective Days and Variant Non Business Days. Definition of these days and further detail is available in the modification: <u>http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0602</u>.

In selecting the number of NEDs and VNBDs, it is assumed that we should take the lowest number of such days whilst effectively mitigating the risk that we are unable to perform the necessary transitional and catch up activities without impacting 'business as usual' transactions.

This modification was originally raised, based on initial transition planning, with 7 Non Effective Days (NEDs) and 2 Variant Non Business Days (VNBDs) of 1st and 2nd June 2017.

Timings for these activities have been assessed through the first Implementation Dress Rehearsal (IDR1) which took place in November 2016. Results of IDR1 highlighted that the transition of iGT Data overran beyond the target timescale within the plan of 2.5 days. Actual performance was 4 days.

Following conclusion of IDR1 the results were analysed and a number of logistical and technical improvements were identified to try and achieve the target timing.

A further iGT data load testing cycle was undertaken between 28th November and 5th December 2016 to assess the efficacy of the logistical improvements and technical enhancements. A preliminary view of the findings were presented at TPG:

- Extraction activities within ETL were completed to target, representing a significant improvement to timings as technology improvements put in place were realised.
- Transformation and Load within ETL were considerably longer than 7 NED target timings. Whilst timings realised for load were slightly better than IDR1 this did not perform at the requisite levels for the 7 NED window.

Results from this test cycle suggest that the net time of this activity remains approximately two days greater than requisite levels to maintain the 7 NED option.

Conclusions from this further cycle were limited as it was not conducted on a 'production like' environment, as these environments are being used for Bulk Load and MT activities. Whilst some estimation of potential performance gains from a production environment could be undertaken, such gains would need to be offset against degradation of the production environment in the transition window due to other activities such as delta loads. The next planned opportunity to obtain representative timings will be in IDR2 and IDR3, in March and April 2017 respectively.

Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri
18 May	19 May	20 May	21 May	22 May	23 May	24 May	25 May	26 May	27 May	28 May	29 May	30 May	31 May	01 Jun	02 Jur
IDR1 Plan>							Receive Data	ISFER İGT&	DM CSEPs E	TL <mark>IGT </mark> VAL					
IDR1 Performance>						g TRANSFER IGT & DM CSEPS ETL			igt VAL						
IDR2/3/GL Target Performance>				Receive Data	iGT & DM C	SEPs ETL	iGT VAL								
iGT Test Cycle 4 Performance>				GT& DM CSEPs ETL				iGT VAL							
Projectio	n>				Receive Data a L	GT & DM C	SEPs ETL		hindrake	iGT VAL					
Week 1 [Pre NED]					Week 2 [NED]						Week 3	[Post N			
				< iGT DB Closeout			< MDS / AAQ Gen Deadline for Load								

At TPG we indicated that further assessment of this testing cycle would be conducted to identify further enhancements that could be implemented. A further testing cycle is planned to start at the beginning of January.

Risks

During the discussion at TPG members requested that a risk be raised to reflect that uncertainty exists with respect to the timings of the activity. In particular the group focussed upon the risk that even with an extended NED period of 9 days that this may not provide sufficient time to achieve the transition objectives. We support this approach as this will enable parties to get assurance and enable us to document mitigations that are currently being undertaken and provide further feedback upon iGT data load cycles and IDR timings.

Options considered:

1. Proceed with Amended Modification – 9 NEDs + 2 VNBDs - Recommended

Pros	Cons				
Provides earliest decision date – approval	Further testing may realise transition				
targeted for end of January	performance benefits, therefore unnecessary				
	NED day(s) have been taken				
Provides certainty to industry participants for	Potentially adds complexity to the minimum				
transition planning and IDR scheduling	Objection window solution in Legacy				
Provides certainty to industry participants for	Other options result in probable deferral of				
broader planning activities	decision which may allow consideration of				
	subsequent test cycle outcomes - further				
	performance information may become available				
	to refine modification				
Impacts to Consumer of this option: Number of Supply Point Registration Dates days which cannot					
be completed in 21 days ⁱ : 3 (12 th – 14 th June 2017)					

2. Defer decision:

Pros	Cons			
Further performance information may become	Delay to modification progression – approval			
available to refine modification	unlikely prior to end February 2017			
	Does not provide certainty to industry			
	participants for planning for transition, including			
	IDR scheduling			
	Does not provide certainty to industry			
	participants for broader planning activities			
	Requires production of multiple products for			
	industry parties in support of multiple options			
Impacts to Consumer of this option: Number of Supply Point Registration Dates days which cannot				
be completed in 21 days: 7+2 if achieved – 1 (14 th June 2017) / otherwise as per other options.				

3. Assess further options - e.g. [10] NEDs + [2] VNBDs

Pros	Cons			
Further performance information may become	Risks earliest decision date – consensus on			
available to refine modification	alternative approach unlikely to support			
	approach targeted for end of January			
Reduces risk of insufficient time in transitional	Further testing may realise transition			
period	performance benefits, therefore unnecessary			
	NED day(s) have been taken			
	Further testing may realise transition			
	performance benefits, therefore unnecessary			
	additional NED day(s) have been taken			
	Potentially adds material number of elapsed			
	days to plans to accommodate extended timings			
	of transition and IDRs			
	Potentially adds complexity to the minimum			
	Objection window solution in Legacy			
Impacts to Consumer of this option: Number of Supply Point Registration Dates days which cannot be completed in 21 days: 6 (9 th – 14 th June 2017)				

Summary:

Participants of Regulatory and 'Technical' groups have placed great emphasis upon the need to achieve the earliest confirmation of the planned NED and VNBDs. It was indicated at TPG that in the absence of any views to the contrary, the option described within this document would be described as the 'TPG Recommendation'. As a consequence of this, the current UNC modification proposal (version 2.0) requests 9 NEDs from 23rd May to 31st May 2017 and 2 VNBDs of 1st and 2nd June 2017.

ⁱ timescales set out in Supplier's Standard Licence Condition 14A: 'Customer Transfer'