

## Stage 01: Proposal

# 0401:

## Amendments to the provisions for agreeing pressures at the Offtakes from the National Transmission System to Distribution Networks.

This Proposal seeks to make amendments to the annual process for agreeing the pressures at the Offtakes from the National Transmission System to the Distribution Networks and to amend the daily process for revising these pressures.



The Proposer recommends that this self-governance modification should proceed to consultation.



High Impact:  
Insert name(s) of impact



Medium Impact:  
Insert name(s) of impact



Low Impact:  
This proposal has some impact on NTS and the DNOs.

What stage is this document in the process?

01

Proposal

02

Workgroup Report

03

Draft Modification Report

04

Final Modification Report

### Author

**Deleted:** This Proposal seeks to make amendments to the annual process for agreeing the pressures at the Offtakes from the National Transmission System to the Distribution Networks and to amend the daily process for revising these pressures. It also seeks to address any consequential impacts of accommodating revised pressures. .

0xxx

Modification

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved

## Contents

- 1 Summary
- 2 Why Change?
- 3 Solution
- 4 Relevant Objectives
- 5 Impacts and Costs
- 6 Implementation
- 7 The Case for Change
- 8 Legal Text
- 9 Recommendation

## About this document:

This document is a proposal, which will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on [XX XXXX 200X](#). The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation, and agree whether this [self-governance] modification should proceed to consultation or be referred to a Workgroup for assessment.



3 **Any questions?**

5 Contact:

**Joint Office**



7 [enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk](mailto:enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk)



8 **0121 623 2115**

12 Proposer:  
Alison Chamberlain



14 [alison.chamberlain@uk.ngrid.com](mailto:alison.chamberlain@uk.ngrid.com)



15 **01926 653994**

Transporter:  
**National Grid  
Distribution**



...@...



**01926 653000**

xoserve:  
n/a



[commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com](mailto:commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com)



**0000 000 000**

[0xxx](#)

Modification

[Day Month Year](#)

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved

# 1 Summary

## Is this a Self-Governance Modification

National Grid Distribution (NGD) believes that this Proposal is a candidate for self governance since implementation would be unlikely to have a material effect on either:  
existing or future gas consumers; or

competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes; or

the operation of one or more pipe-line system(s); or

matters relating to sustainable development, safety or security of supply, or the management of market or network emergencies; or

the uniform network code governance procedures or the network code modification procedures;

NGD also believes implementation would not discriminate between different classes of parties to the uniform network code/relevant gas transporters, gas shippers or DN operators.

Consequently, NGD requests that this Proposal is treated as a Self Governance Modification Proposal.

## Why Change?

The existing rules for agreeing and revising pressures at the National Transmission System (NTS) Offtakes into the Distribution Networks (Oftakes) do not necessarily meet the requirements of the affected parties. This has been considered as part of Review Group 0316: Review of Section I of the Offtake Arrangements Document (OAD): NTS Operational Flows. This Modification Proposal is aimed at amending the relevant UNC provisions associated with existing annual and daily processes.

Currently the process for agreeing pressures annually and daily does not recognise that some Offtakes are more "significant" than others. This Proposal would look to address this fact and in doing so seek to implement measures which reduce some of the administrative burden associated with the current practices.

## Solution

It is proposed that in relation to all Offtakes it should be agreed between NTS and each DNO which Offtakes ("significant") will be subject to the daily "Agreed 0600 Pressure" process.

It is also proposed that a DNO or NTS may request to add an Offtake to the list of the significant Offtakes, giving as much notice as possible. Such an Offtake would then become subject to the daily "Agreed Pressure" process for a period to be **notified**. Where NTS and the DNO do not agree whether an Offtake should be added **to the list**, the default position **will be** that the Offtake will be treated as a Significant Offtake.

It is proposed that for the non-significant oftakes the default Applicable Offtake Pressure will be a minimum pressure of the lesser of either 38 barg or the Assured Pressure, for 06:00 and 22:00 and the associated tolerances between those hours will apply in line with OAD 14.3.

For instances where the DNO has accommodated a request from NTS for a revised pressure (Agreed Pressure) and where NTS has **delivered a pressure below or above** the Agreed Pressure it is assumed that NTS would accommodate any associated flow swap **(which comes into effect via a revised OPN in accordance with OAD I 2.4 & 2.5) and the associated capacity.**

Author

**Deleted:** and addressing some of the consequences of accommodating revised pressure requests

Author

**Deleted:** As a result of agreeing to revised pressures at some of the Offtakes a Distribution Network Operator (DNO) may find it difficult to be fully compliant with certain other UNC provisions. It is important to ensure that the DNO is not adversely penalised either as a result of facilitating a request by NTS, or as a result of NTS delivering lower than Agreed pressures.

0xxx

Modification

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 3 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved

In reviewing UNC to identify potential consequences where a DNO User complies with an NG NTS pressure request a typo was identified in respect of paragraph 3.4.7. The provision incorrectly disappplies itself when instead it is the obligations to make payment in respect of non-compliant gas which are disapplied in the circumstances described in paragraph TPD J 3.4.7.

## Impacts & Costs

This Proposal would revise the annual Assured Pressure process and the daily Agreed Pressure process.

## Implementation

In line with the Self Governance rules implementation could be 16 days after a Modification Panel decision to implement.

## The Case for Change

To amend the arrangements for managing pressures to the satisfaction of both the upstream and downstream Transporters is consistent with the achievement of the following Relevant Objectives:-

A11.1

- a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system;
- b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of
  - (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or
  - (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters; &

(f) so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (e), the promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the network code and/or the uniform network code.

## Recommendations

This Proposal has been developed as part of the Section I Review Group 0316. The proposer invites the UNC Modification Panel to recommend that this Proposal proceed to consultation.

Author

**Deleted:** It would also impact the generation of any invoices which may have resulted from a DNO being forced into a position where it is unable to comply with existing requirements.

Author

**Deleted:** .

... [1]

0xxx

Modification

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 4 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved

## 2 Why Change?

The existing rules are prescriptive in respect of pressure requests and amendments and the requirements within which all parties must operate. NGD believes that they do not necessarily meet the requirements of the affected parties. Within the remit of Review Proposal 0316 the processes for agreeing and revising pressures have been considered with the aim of providing the certainty required for the relevant Transporters when operating their systems. The consequences of DNO compliance with NTS requests for amended pressures have also been considered. This Modification Proposal is aimed at revising the annual and daily process and making provisions for operational requirements e.g. maintenance where these operations affect certain Offtakes.

Currently the process for agreeing pressures annually and on the Day, pay little attention to the fact that some Offtakes are more "significant" than others. Some Offtakes may either be in a similar location on the NTS to a large Offtake and therefore likely to be subject to the same pressure provision by association, or they may require much lower pressures than some of the larger Offtakes and therefore do not (in the absence of a particular operational issue) require the same focus. This Proposal would look to address this fact and in doing so reduce some of the administrative burden associated with the current practices.

As a result of agreeing to revised pressures at some of the Offtakes a DNO may currently be caused to compromise certain other UNC provisions. The DNO can only reject such a request from NTS where the request is not consistent with the safe and efficient operation of the LDZ (OAD Section I4.2.6). It is important to ensure that the DNO is not adversely penalised either as a result of facilitating a request by NTS, or as a result of NTS delivering lower than Agreed pressures. These adverse impacts do little to assist either NTS or the DNO in achieving their objectives of operating their respective networks efficiently. For the purposes of this Proposal it is assumed that for instances where the DNO has accommodated a request from NTS for a revised pressure (Agreed Pressure) and where NTS has delivered a pressure below or above the Agreed Pressure it is assumed that NTS would accommodate any associated flow swap (which comes into effect via a revised OPN in accordance with OAD I 2.4 & 2.5) and the associated Capacity.

## 3 Solution

As part of the annual process, which leads to the creation of the Offtake Pressure Statement, it is proposed that in relation to all Offtakes NTS and each DNO will create a combined list of Offtakes ("Significant") which will be subject to the daily "Agreed Pressure" process. This would potentially reduce administration for all parties to this process as well as helping to provide the focus where it is required.

It is also proposed that for any operations (e.g. maintenance) where it is necessary to request specific pressures at any Offtakes (which may or may not be on the Significant Offtake list) the DNO or NTS must use reasonable endeavours to give as much notice as possible to request and agree the required pressures. Such Offtakes will be added to the list of "Significant" Offtakes for a period to be notified and this can take place up to midnight on the Day in question. Where NTS and the DNO do not agree whether an Offtake should be added to the list, the default position will be that the Offtake will be treated as a Significant Offtake.

It is proposed that for the remaining Offtakes, which are not included on the list of significant Offtakes, the default Applicable Offtake Pressure will be a minimum pressure of the lesser of 38 barg or the Agreed Pressure, for 06:00 and 22:00 and the associated tolerances between those hours will apply in line with OAD I4.3.



Insert heading here

Use this column in a Q and A style for explanations, in order to preserve the flow of the main text.

Insert text here

Author

**Deleted:** current rules and collectively all parties feel that it is appropriate to introduce rules which are more consistent with achieving the actual requirements without creating adverse impacts. ... [2]

Author

**Deleted:** accommodating the revised pr ... [3]

Author

**Deleted:** been increased to compensate for the reduced flow at the Offtake

Author

**Deleted:** is not available. The alternative Offtake/s may then exceed the maximum flat capacity which is detailed in TDP B3.13.3 ... [4]

Author

**Deleted:** remove this Deemed Application provision <http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0381>. ... [5]

Author

**Deleted:** Offtake. ... [6]

Author

**Deleted:** I2.3 Offtake Profile Notice revision tolerances & OAD I3 & TPD J4.6.

Author

**Deleted:** Offtake tolerances. A revision to pressure at one Offtake may cause the DNO to breach the tolerances set out in these paragraph. This would only apply where the pressure is not made available on the day.



Pressure

Assured

Pressure

in acco

Section

each ye

Pressure

Agreed

OAD I4

revision

Pressure

decided

Modificat

on the

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 5 of 15



© 2012 all rights reserved

If the DNO is the party requesting the revision (i.e. a variation from the Assured Pressure) then it can reasonably be expected to undertake whatever actions are required to ensure that it does not breach the provisions of the UNC. Where NTS is the party requesting the revision and where the DNO has accommodated a request, NTS can reasonably be expected to give consideration to the actions which may be required by the DNO and to facilitate these actions.

In certain circumstances (for example an unforeseen constraint/plant failure) either the DNO or NTS may request that an Offtake be added to the list of significant Offtakes at short notice and after the deadline for the submission of initial pressure requests has passed. In this instance where it is the DNO that submits the nomination it will make an initial pressure request at the same time as making the nomination. Where it is NTS that makes the nomination the DNO will submit an initial pressure request (specifying a requested 0600 pressure that is the lower of 38 barg or the Assured Pressure) as soon as reasonably practicable after receiving NTS's nomination. In both instances NTS will agree to extend the deadline for revising such initial pressure request beyond 24.00 hours on the Day as is reasonable in the circumstances and the usual processes in I4.2.3 to 4.2.6 (inclusive) for agreeing revisions will apply.

In reviewing UNC to identify potential consequences where a DNO User complies with an NG NTS pressure request a typo was identified in respect of paragraph 3.4.7. The provision incorrectly disapplies itself when instead it is the obligations to make payment in respect of non-compliant gas which are disapplied in the circumstances described in paragraph TPD J 3.4.7. The legal text provided addresses this error.

What happens on the Day?

- Author  
**Deleted:** set out in Section 2 (above)
- Author  
**Deleted:** Where NTS fails to provide
- Author  
**Deleted:** Agreed Pressure then
- Author  
**Deleted:** shall not be liable for any associated breaches
- Author  
**Deleted:** tolerance restrictions this
- Author  
**Deleted:** give rise to. - ... [7]
- Author  
**Deleted:** when this is necessary,
- Author  
**Deleted:** capacity associated
- Author  
**Deleted:** that flow (
- Author  
**Deleted:** comes into effect via a revised OPN in accordance with OAD I 2.4 & 2.5) -

0xxx

Modification

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 6 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved

## 4 Relevant Objectives

Implementation is expected to better facilitate the achievement of **Relevant Objectives a, b, c, d, e and f.**



**Insert heading here**

*Use this column in a Q and A style for explanations, in order to preserve the flow of the main text.*

Insert text here

Proposer's view of the benefits against the Code Relevant Objectives	
Description of Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	Yes
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	Yes
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	No
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	No
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	No
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Yes

To amend the arrangements for managing pressures to the satisfaction of both the upstream and downstream Transporters is consistent with the achievement of the following Relevant Objectives:-

A11.1

- a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system;
- b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of
  - (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or
  - (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters; &
- (f) so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (e), the promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the network code and/or the uniform network code.

Author

**Deleted:** NGD believes that it is appropriate to

Author

**Deleted:** UNC to ensure that the party facilitating a request in line with the requirements of the contract should not be adversely impacted as a result. Currently the consequences of compliance with a revised pressure request may not incentivise compliance with that request. As a consequence to amend rules/processes to the satisfaction of affected parties ensures that

Author

**Deleted:** can be done

Author

**Deleted:** . This

0xxx

Modification

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 7 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved

## 5 Impacts and Costs

**Insert subheading here**

Insert text here

### Costs

*Include here any proposal for the apportionment of implementation costs amongst parties.*

Indicative industry costs – User Pays
Classification of the proposal as User Pays or not and justification for classification
Not User Pays
Identification of Users, proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and justification
N/A
Proposed charge(s) for application of Users Pays charges to Shippers
N/A
Proposed charge for inclusion in ACS – to be completed upon receipt of cost estimate from xoserve
N/A

### Impacts

Impact on Transporters' Systems and Process	
Transporters' System/Process	Potential impact.
UK Link	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>
Operational Processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some changes would be introduced to the relevant Transporters daily and annual processes. ▼</li> </ul>
User Pays implications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>

Impact on Users	
Area of Users' business	Potential impact
Administrative and operational	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>
Development, capital and operating costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>
Contractual risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>



**Insert heading here**

*Use this column in a Q and A style for explanations, in order to preserve the flow of the main text.*

Insert text here

Author

**Deleted:** There may be some adjustments required to the current billing systems to suppress invoices which would be generated for non compliance under the current arrangements.

0xxx

Modification

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 8 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved





### Where can I find details of the UNC Standards of Service?

In the Revised FMR

for **Author**

**Deleted:** Some of the calculations associated with revising pressures or non compliance with the pressure rules, may be amended.

### Proposal for Revision of Network Code Standards of Service at the

following location:

<http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/0565.zip>

Impact on Transporters	
Area of Transporters' business	Potential impact
System operation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any processes associated with revising pressures would be likely to be affected.</li> </ul>
Development, capital and operating costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not significant</li> </ul>
Recovery of costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None proposed</li> </ul>
Price regulation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is not anticipated that these change proposals would have any affect on price regulation.</li> </ul>
Contractual risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This Proposal if implemented would reduce contractual risk for DNOs with no detrimental impact anticipated for NTS.</li> </ul>
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>
Standards of service	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>

Impact on Code Administration	
Area of Code Administration	Potential impact
Modification Rules	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>
UNC Committees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>
General administration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>

Impact on Code	
Code section	Potential impact
OAD Section I	Introducing the concept of <u>Significant</u> Offtakes and <u>minimum pressures for the remaining offtakes</u> . Addition of the process <u>for creating the Significant offtakes annually and daily</u> .
TPD Section J	The introduction of the concept of <u>Significant</u> Offtakes in relation to the Assured Pressure process. Correction of a typo in J3.4.7.

**Author**

**Deleted:** significant

**Author**

**Deleted:** providing suitable exclusions where

**Author**

**Deleted:** compliance with a revised pressure request would adversely impact the DNO.

**Author**

**Deleted:** significant

0xxx

Modification

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 9 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved

Impact on Code	

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Related Document	Potential impact
Network Entry Agreement (TPD I1.3)	None
Network Exit Agreement (Including Connected System Exit Points) (TPD J1.5.4)	None
Storage Connection Agreement (TPD R1.3.1)	None
UK Link Manual (TPD U1.4)	None
Network Code Operations Reporting Manual (TPD V12)	None
Network Code Validation Rules (TPD V12)	None
ECQ Methodology (TPD V12)	None
Measurement Error Notification Guidelines (TPD V12)	None
Energy Balancing Credit Rules (TPD X2.1)	None
Uniform Network Code Standards of Service (Various)	None

Impact on Core Industry Documents and other documents	
Document	Potential impact
Safety Case or other document under Gas Safety (Management) Regulations	None
Gas Transporter Licence	None

Other Impacts	
Item impacted	Potential impact
Security of Supply	None
Operation of the Total System	This Proposal, if implemented would facilitate better alignment of the upstream and downstream Transporters' <a href="#">Systems</a> .
Industry fragmentation	None

**Author**

**Deleted:** Excluding any additional quantities of gas which may be offtaken by a DNO, in compliance with a revised pressure request, from overrun charges.

0xxx

Modification

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 10 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved

Terminal operators, consumers, connected system operators, suppliers, producers and other non code parties	None
--	------

0xxx

Modification

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 11 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved

## 6 Implementation

*Suggested wording for Self-Governance Modifications:*

As self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be 16 business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement.



**Insert heading here**

*Use this column in a Q and A style for explanations, in order to preserve the flow of the main text.*

Insert text here

0xxx

Modification

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 12 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved

## 7 The Case for Change



*This section allows further development of the case than is included in the earlier summaries*

In addition to that identified the above, the Proposer has identified the following:

### Advantages

Provides recognition that in the pressure planning and implementation process some Offtakes are more significant than others.

Facilitates a reduction in the current administrative burden associated with pressure management and enforcement.

Provides more certainty for both parties with regard to dealing with revised pressures and aids contractual compliance.

### Disadvantages

None identified.

Insert heading here

*Use this column in a Q and A style for explanations, in order to preserve the flow of the main text.*

Insert text here

0xxx

Modification

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 13 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved

## 8 Legal Text

*Text, either suggested or formal, should be inserted at this point. The status of this text should also be stated.*

**Insert subheading here**

Insert text here

0xxx

Modification

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 14 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved

## 9 Recommendation

The Proposer invites the Panel to:

***DETERMINE that Modification XXXX progress to Consultation.***



**Insert heading here**

[Insert relevant text or delete box]

0xxx

Modification

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 15 of 15

© 2012 all rights reserved