

Stage 01: Proposal

0356 Demand Data for the NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Charging Methodology

Defines the demand flow data, used within the NTS Charging Transportation Model for calculating NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity charges from 1st October 2012, as the forecast undiversified 1-in-20 peak day demand.



The Proposer recommends
This [amended modification](#) is **to be considered by Workgroup 0356**



Medium Impact:
All participants holding NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity affected



Low Impact:
UNC Panel, the Authority, and Joint Office

What stage is this document in the process?

- 01 Proposal
- 02 Workstream Report
- 03 Draft Modification Report
- 04 Final Modification Report

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 1 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

Contents

1	Summary	3
2	Why Change?	5
3	Solution	7
4	Relevant Objectives	11
5	Impacts and Costs	13
6	Implementation	16
7	The Case for Change	17
8	Legal Text	18
9	Recommendation	21
10	Further Information	22

About this document:

This document is an [amended modification](#), which will be presented by the Proposer to the UNC Modification 0356 Workgroup.



Any questions?

Contact:

Richard Hounslea



**Richard.hounslea@uk.n
grid.com**



01926 65 5518

Proposer:

National Grid NTS



**Eddie.j.blackburn@uk.n
grid.com**



01926 65 6022

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version **3.0**

Page 2 of **26**

© 2011 all rights reserved

1 Summary

Why Change?

A supply and demand match is required within the NTS charging Transportation Model, which is used for NTS capacity charge setting purposes. The data used for NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity charge setting purposes from 1st October 2012 in accordance with the NTS charging methodology, is as follows;

- The modelled demand flow is the obligated (baseline plus incremental) level of NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity, other than at bi-directional sites with physical entry capability (Storage, IUK, and BBL) where the modelled demand flow is zero.
- The modelled supply flow is derived from the supply/demand data set out in the most recently published [National Grid](#) Ten Year Statement for each year for which prices are being set.

Increases in the obligated level of NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity and reductions in the level of NTS available supplies have resulted in an unworkable charging methodology as the aggregate obligated NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity level (at non bi-directional sites) is greater than the available NTS Supplies.

Solution

It is proposed that for the setting of prices [the inputs to the NTS Transportation Model will be as follows](#);

- For bi-directional sites the modelled demand will be the undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand¹.
- For bi-directional sites with physical entry capability (storage, IUK, and BBL) the forecast [demand](#) is zero.
- For bi-directional sites with no physical entry capability (Moffat) the forecast [will be the undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand](#).
- For [NTS/LDZ](#) offtakes, the modelled demand will be the undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand for the [relevant LDZ](#), and [this demand](#) will be prorated [between](#) the relevant [NTS/LDZ](#) offtakes based on the booked NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity.
- For other directly connected (DC) [NTS](#) offtakes (Power Generation & Industrials) the modelled demand will be the undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand.

[For inputs into the NTS Transportation Model](#), the undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand for the DNs, Moffat, and other directly connected (DC) offtakes will be capped at the obligated (baseline + incremental) capacity level.

For the purposes of [calculating](#) indicative prices the modelled demand flow will be as outlined above but with no capping [of the forecast to](#) the obligated level. This is due to that fact that at the time of setting indicative prices the obligated level may not be relevant as it may change as a result of subsequent [exit capacity](#) applications.



What Supply Data is used for NTS Capacity Charge setting purposes?

The nodal supply data for the Transport Model is derived from the supply data set out in the most recent Ten Year Statement for each year for which prices are being set. The aggregate storage and Interconnector flows will be adjusted such that a supply and demand balance is achieved. This initial supply and demand match is achieved by reducing supplies in a merit order to match the forecast demand.



"Forecast" & "Estimate"

UNC TPD Section O, 4.1.2b (ii) refers to "estimates" of "1-in-20 peak day demand".

UNC TPD Section O, 4.1.3 states that the estimates under paragraph 4.1.2b (ii) "will be given in respect of each NTS Exit Point on an individual basis..."

For the purposes of this modification "forecast" and "estimate" are interchangeable terms.

1-in-20 peak day demand at the aggregate level is by definition "diversified" but at the exit point level becomes "undiversified". Therefore, "undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand" is appropriate when applied to individual exit points.

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 3 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

¹ National Grid's "Gas Demand Forecasting Methodology", Chapter 2.9

[It is further proposed that](#) estimates of 1-in-20 peak day demand data [will](#) be produced and published on an individual NTS Exit Point basis, in accordance with UNC TPD Section O, for years “0 to 4”.

This [will](#) ensure that a supply and demand match [can](#) be achieved for charge setting purposes. It is anticipated that National Grid’s undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand, consistent with this [modification](#), will be published in the [National Grid](#) Ten Year Statement [going forward](#).

Impacts and Costs

The proposal represents a change to NTS internal manual processes [only](#) and hence there are no systems impacts and no costs have been identified for Users.

Implementation

Implementation is required in time for prices to be set by 1st May 2012 such that they become applicable from 1st October 2012. [It is therefore proposed that;](#)

- [Subject to an Authority decision published by 30th April 2012 then this proposal will be implemented on 1st May 2012.](#)
- [Subject to an Authority decision published after 30th April 2012, and before 31st July, then it is proposed that this proposal is implemented on 1st August 2012.](#)
- [Subject to an Authority decision published after 31st July 2012 then it is proposed that this proposal is implemented as soon as reasonably practical.](#)

National Grid is also required to produce indicative prices ahead of the annual NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity application windows and the next relevant window, in regard to indicative price setting, will be July 2012. National Grid NTS believes that ideally, indicative charges should be based on an approved NTS Charging Methodology, and should at least be based on a proposed Charging Methodology.

The Case for Change

The Proposal would result in a workable Charging Methodology for the derivation of NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Prices.

Using demand flow data within the NTS charge setting Transportation Model would better reflect connected NTS load at peak conditions and would better reflect the data used within the NTS planning and investment processes. As a consequence of using this data, charges [will](#) better reflect the cost incurred in making NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity available.

Recommendations

The Proposer invites the [Workgroup](#) to:

- [Recommend](#) that Modification 0356 [is sufficiently developed to proceed to consultation](#).

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 4 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved



GCM05

The enduring NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity charge setting arrangements were introduced via NTS Gas Charging Methodology proposal GCM05.

For more information see; http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Gas/Charges/consultations/archive_consultation_papers/

2 Why Change?

A supply and demand match is required within the NTS charging Transportation Model, which is used for NTS capacity charge setting purposes. The data used for NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity charge setting purposes from 1st October 2012 in accordance with the prevailing NTS charging methodology, is as follows;

- The modelled demand flow is the obligated (baseline plus incremental) level of NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity, other than at bi-directional sites with physical entry capability (storage, IUK, and BBL) where the modelled demand flow is zero. This equates to a level of 7800 GWh/day for 2012/13.
- The modelled supply flow is derived from the supply/demand data set out in the most recent Ten Year Statement for each year for which prices are being set.

Through the Gas TCMF, issues associated with the NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity price setting methodology, to be used from 1st October 2012, were raised;

Issue One - Demands vs. Supplies

The first issue identified was that using baseline plus incremental capacity, for the demand flow data, could create a demand level so high that the modelled supplies would not be able to achieve the required supply/demand balance, resulting in an unworkable methodology. This has proved to be the case as a consequence of the updated 2010 Ten Year Statement supply data. The modelled demand flow - the obligated (baseline plus incremental) level of NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity, other than at bi-directional sites with physical entry capability (storage, IUK, and BBL) where the modelled demand flow is zero, equates to a level of 7800 GWh/day for 2012/13. The Ten Year Statement available supplies for 2012/13, taking into account IUK capability, are 7718 GWh/day.

Issue Two - Price Variability

The second issue identified was the variability of NTS Exit Capacity prices at the southern Scottish and Northern DN NTS Exit Points, and the Moffat NTS Exit Point.

This variability occurs when the modelled supplies at St. Fergus are insufficient to meet the higher Scottish and Northern DN, and Moffat modelled demand flows. This is a consequence of reduced St Fergus supplies and baselines plus incremental NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity being used to model demand flows. As a result, a greater proportion of supply flows are required from the south of the network to meet the demand further north, leading to higher NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity prices.

Issue Three - Baseline may no longer be reflective of "connected load"

In developing the enduring NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity charge setting arrangements, the intention was to better reflect the "connected load", recognising that the concepts of Firm and Interruptible capacity were no longer applicable. The resultant move towards the use of the baseline plus incremental capacity as the demand flow level may now no longer be reflective of the "connected load".

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 5 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

In respect of the DNs, some offtakes are not booked up to the baseline level but other offtake bookings have triggered incremental capacity, with the aggregate baseline plus incremental level being in excess of the forecasted 1-in-20 peak day demand.

For Moffat, the aggregate booking level has triggered a significant amount of incremental capacity despite the capability of the downstream infrastructure being far less than the amount of capacity booked.

Offtake Data (GWh/day) for 2012/13		
Offtake	Obligated Capacity	Forecast Demand
Moffat	529	273
DN	5466	4344



Gas TCMF Discussions and Options

Following discussions at the Gas Transmission Charging Methodologies Forum (TCMF) between May and September 2010, discussion paper GCD09 was issued to seek views on the merits of a number of alternative options for modelling supply and demand flows within National Grid's Transportation Model.

It is the modelled supply and demand flows, along with the network pipe distances, that drive the calculation of the long run marginal costs (LRMCs) and hence the price differences across the NTS.

For the avoidance of doubt, the options identified in GCD09 did not relate to the capacity data used in the Tariff section of the Transportation Model, which uses Baseline (TO) NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity data at all NTS Exit Points in order to ensure that prices are adjusted so that the implied revenue level (price multiplied by the baseline (TO) NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity quantity) equals National Grid's target TO exit revenue. This ensures that if baseline (TO) NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity is fully booked, the resulting capacity revenue would equal National Grid's target TO exit revenue. If baseline (TO) NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity is not fully booked, revenue associated with unsold baseline capacity will be collected from a TO Exit Commodity Charge.

In respect of demand flows, the options identified in GCD09 were;

- Baseline plus Incremental NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity
- Annual NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Bookings
- Forecast
- Maximum Supply Point Offtake Rate (MSPOR)
- Capability of the downstream facility
- Zero (currently used for physically bi-directional sites with physical entry capability: storage, IUK, and BBL)

It was anticipated that a combination of these options could be applied such that each different offtake type might be modelled by a separate data source.

In respect of beach/UKCS supply flows, the options identified were;

- Ten Year Statement forecast supplies (no change from current method)
- Baseline supply data
- Average of Ten Year Statement forecast data
- Ten Year Statement Forecast Supplies (Data from Ten Year Statement before the first Y+4 Enduring Annual NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity applications for the relevant Gas Year)

"1-in-20 peak day demand"

2.6.4 For the purposes of the Code, in relation to the Total System, any part of the Total System, a System or any part of a System, and in respect of any Gas Year:

"1-in-20 peak day demand" is the peak day demand that, in a long series of winters, with connected load being held at the levels appropriate to the winter in question, would be exceeded in one out of 20 winters, each winter being counted only once;

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 7 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

Respondents to NTS charging discussion paper GCD09 were asked for views on these options, for the purposes of developing charging methodology proposals.

Feasibility of Demand Flow Options	
Baseline plus Incremental NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity	This is the prevailing methodology applicable from 1 st October 2012 and is no longer workable if used for all NTS Exit Points.
Annual NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Bookings	Daily bookings and some annual bookings would not be available at the time of setting charges. This option may result in Users, relying on the daily and off-peak products, not appropriately contributing to TO costs.
Forecast	This option represents the most realistic expectation of maximum flow.
Maximum Supply Point Offtake Rate (MSPOR)	This option is not anticipated to result in a workable methodology due to the high aggregate level of MSPOR.
Capability of the downstream facility	No definitive value for capability, other than the obligated (baseline plus incremental) capacity level was identified for offtakes other than interconnectors.
Zero (currently used for bi-directional sites with physical entry capability: storage, BBL, and IUUK)	This option represents the prevailing peak forecast for storage offtakes, BBL and IUUK.

Respondents to GCD09 suggested that there may be some benefit in waiting for the 2011 NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity reduction window before raising a charging methodology proposal; however, the level of obligated (baseline plus incremental) capacity would not be reduced as a result of capacity reductions.

Respondents to GCD09 suggested that there may be some benefit in waiting for the impact of the application of NTS exit capacity substitution following the 2011 NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity application window before raising a charging methodology proposal. The level of obligated (baseline plus incremental) NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity would only reduce as a result of unsold NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity being substituted for incremental NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity with a high exchange rate. This seems unlikely to have a significant impact on the level of obligated NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity given the level of unsold capacity and the potential for incremental NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity. It should be noted that if NTS exit capacity substitution occurred with a one to one ratio then there would be no change in the obligated NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity level as a result of incremental capacity being met through substitution.

Based on responses to the GCD09 discussion paper and from analysis of the options, National Grid has concluded that modelling the demand flows as forecast demand would be the most appropriate solution.

Feasibility of Supply Flow Options	
Ten Year Statement forecast supplies (no change from current method)	This is the prevailing methodology applicable from 1 st October 2012 and would remain viable should demands be modelled at the forecast level. This option represents the most realistic expectation of maximum flow.
Baseline supply data	This option may allow for a supply demand balance should demand flows continue to be modelled as the obligated (baseline plus incremental) capacity. This option does not represent a realistic expectation of maximum flow.
Average of Ten Year Statement forecast data	This option may have the potential to reduce price volatility but further analysis has been requested.
Ten Year Statement Forecast Supplies (Data from Ten Year Statement before the first Y+4 Enduring Annual NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity applications for the relevant gas year)	This option may have the potential to reduce price volatility but further analysis has been requested. Using the data from the time of the relevant investment decisions relating to NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity may be more cost reflective.

Based on responses to the GCD09 discussion paper and from analysis of the options, National Grid has concluded that making changes to the modelled supply flows would not be appropriate at this time. National Grid will carry out further analysis, as requested, and will consider the consistency of the data used for both NTS Entry and Exit capacity charge setting purposes before bringing forward further proposals.

The Proposal

It is proposed that for the setting of prices [the inputs to the NTS Transportation Model will be as follows](#);

- For bi-directional sites the modelled demand will be the undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand.
- For bi-directional sites with physical entry capability (storage, IUK, and BBL) the forecast [demand](#) is zero.
- For bi-directional sites with no physical entry capability (Moffat) the forecast [will be the undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand](#).
- For [NTS/LDZ](#) offtakes, the modelled demand will be the undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand for the [relevant LDZ](#), and [this demand](#) will be prorated [between](#) the relevant [NTS/LDZ](#) offtakes based on the booked NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity.
- For other directly connected (DC) [NTS](#) offtakes (Power Generation & Industrials) the modelled demand will be the undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand.

[For inputs into the NTS Transportation Model](#), the undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand for the DNs, Moffat, and other directly connected (DC) offtakes will be capped at the obligated (baseline + incremental) capacity level.

For the purposes of [calculating](#) indicative prices the modelled demand flow will be as outlined above but with no capping [of the forecast to](#) the obligated level. This is due to

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 9 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

that fact that at the time of setting indicative prices the obligated level may not be relevant as it may change as a result of subsequent [exit capacity](#) applications.

[It is further proposed that](#) estimates of 1-in-20 peak day demand data [will](#) be produced and published on an individual NTS Exit Point basis, in accordance with UNC TPD Section O, for years "0 to 4".

This [will](#) ensure that a supply and demand match [can](#) be achieved for charge setting purposes. [It is anticipated that](#) National Grid's undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand, [consistent with this modification, will be published](#) in the [National Grid](#) Ten Year Statement [going forward](#).

[It is also proposed that the following paragraphs are modified with a view to increasing their clarity from a legal perspective;](#)

[UNC Section Y – Charging Methodologies, Part A, Appendix C, Chapter 2, 2.5.1 The Transport Model – “Model Input Data” & “Model Inputs”.](#)

[This will not change the underlying obligations but only the way in which they are expressed, as set out in the Suggested Legal Text that has been provided as part of this modification.](#)

4 Relevant Objectives



The Proposer believes that 0356 will better facilitate the achievement of **Relevant Objectives a, b and c**

Charging Methodology Relevant Objectives

"relevant objectives" means, in respect of the UNC charging methodologies, only; in relation to the charging methodology regulated by Standard Special Condition A5 the "relevant methodology objectives" listed in paragraph 5 of that condition,

Proposer's view of the benefits of 0356 against the Code Relevant Objectives	
Description of Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(a) save in so far as paragraphs (aa) or (d) apply, that compliance with the charging methodology results in charges which reflect the costs incurred by the licensee in its transportation business;	See explanation below
(aa) that, in so far as prices in respect of transportation arrangements are established by auction, either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) no reserve price is applied, or (ii) that reserve price is set at a level - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (I) best calculated to promote efficiency and avoid undue preference in the supply of transportation services; and (II) best calculated to promote competition between gas suppliers and between gas shippers; 	None
(b) that, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraph (a), the charging methodology properly takes account of developments in the transportation business;	See explanation below
(c) that, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), compliance with the charging methodology facilitates effective competition between gas shippers and between gas suppliers; and	See explanation below
(d) that the charging methodology reflects any alternative arrangements put in place in accordance with a determination made by the Secretary of State under paragraph 2A(a) of Standard Special Condition A27 (Disposal of Assets).	None

Implementation would be expected to better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Objectives on the basis of Standard Special Condition A5 of the National Grid NTS Licence:

Reflecting the costs incurred by the licensee in its transportation business

Using [forecast](#) demand flow data within the NTS charge setting Transportation Model would better reflect connected NTS load at peak conditions and [be consistent with](#) the data used within the NTS planning and investment processes [which drive the costs incurred in making NTS Exit \(Flat\) Capacity available](#). As a consequence of using [forecast demand flow](#) data, charges should better reflect the cost incurred in making NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity available.

Modification 0356

Taking account of developments in the transportation business

The prevailing methodology for setting NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity charges from 1st October 2012 uses baseline plus incremental capacity (obligated capacity), for the demand flow data. It was highlighted that this could create a demand level so high that the modelled

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 11 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

supplies would not be able to achieve the required supply/demand balance, resulting in an unworkable NTS charging methodology. This has proved to be the case as a consequence of the level of obligated capacity triggered and the updated 2010 Ten Year Statement supply data. [Updating the charging methodology to reflect this changed position would therefore be consistent with taking account of developments in the transportation business.](#)

Facilitating effective competition between gas shippers and between gas suppliers

Basing the NTS charging methodology on data that will be published in the Ten Year Statement from 2011 should ensure a transparent charging methodology such that Users can replicate the [charge](#) setting process and forecast future charge levels. National Grid believes that promoting transparency of the charging methodology is consistent with the facilitation of competition between gas shippers. National Grid NTS will produce a version of the charge setting Transportation Model which allows the application of the proposed methodology in terms of the calculation of offtake demands to be replicated more easily.

Ensuring that the charging methodology is cost reflective should ensure that shippers face the costs resulting from their connection decisions and hence cross subsidies are avoided. National Grid believes that avoiding cross subsidies is consistent with the facilitation of competition between gas shippers.

Licence Compliance

[The](#) Modification does not conflict with paragraphs 2, 2A and 3 of Standard Special Condition A4 of the Transporter's Licence as the [modification](#) is consistent with setting NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity charges from 1st October 2012 and from the 1st October in each subsequent year.

5 Impacts and Costs

This proposal represents a change to internal NTS manual processes.

Costs

Indicative industry costs

No industry implementation costs have been identified

Impacts

Impact on Transporters' Systems and Process

Transporters' System/Process	Potential impact
UK Link	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Operational Processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Internal changes to manual price setting processes
User Pays implications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None

Impact on Users

Area of Users' business	Potential impact
Administrative and operational	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Development, capital and operating costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Contractual risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of this proposal would impact on the setting of NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity charges which Users will attract as a result of previous applications for NTS.
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None

Impact on Transporters

Area of Transporters' business	Potential impact
System operation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Development, capital and operating costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Recovery of costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The modification would allow NTS to recover transportation costs associated with providing NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity
Price regulation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The modification would allow NTS to recover transportation costs associated with providing NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity
Contractual risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of this modification would facilitate a cost reflective NTS pricing Methodology in regard to NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Prices as required by the NTS Licence.

Panel paper number

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 13 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

Impact on Transporters	
Standards of service	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The modification would allow NTS to provide timely notice of indicative and actual NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Prices

Impact on Code Administration	
Area of Code Administration	Potential impact
Modification Rules	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
UNC Committees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
General administration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None

Impact on Code	
Code section	Potential impact
UNC TPD Section Y	For NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity charge setting from 1 st October 2012, the definition of nodal demand flow data, used within the NTS charging Transportation Model, would be redefined.
UNC TPD Section O, 4.1.2b(ii)	In respect of the requirement to produce estimates of 1-in-20 peak day demand data on an individual exit point basis, the definition would be redefined to include years "0 to 4".

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Related Document	Potential impact
Network Entry Agreement (TPD I1.3)	None
Network Exit Agreement (Including Connected System Exit Points) (TPD J1.5.4)	None
Storage Connection Agreement (TPD R1.3.1)	None
UK Link Manual (TPD U1.4)	None
Network Code Operations Reporting Manual (TPD V12)	None
Network Code Validation Rules (TPD V12)	None
ECQ Methodology (TPD V12)	None
Measurement Error Notification Guidelines (TPD V12)	None
Energy Balancing Credit Rules (TPD X2.1)	None
Uniform Network Code Standards of Service (Various)	None

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 14 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

Impact on Core Industry Documents and other documents	
Document	Potential impact

Impact on Core Industry Documents and other documents	
Safety Case or other document under Gas Safety (Management) Regulations	None
Gas Transporter Licence	Implementation of this proposal would facilitate a cost reflective NTS pricing Methodology in regard to NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Prices.
Transportation Pricing Methodology Statement	Implementation of this proposal would facilitate a workable NTS pricing Methodology in regard to NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Prices.

Other Impacts	
Item impacted	Potential impact
Security of Supply	None
Operation of the Total System	
Industry fragmentation	None
Terminal operators, consumers, connected system operators, suppliers, producers and other non code parties	None

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 15 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

6 Implementation

Actual Charges

Implementation is required in time for prices to be set and notified by 1st May 2012 such that they become applicable from 1st October 2012.

Indicative Charges

National Grid is required to produce indicative prices ahead of the annual NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity application windows and the next window will be July 2011. Indicative charges form part of the Enduring NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity User commitment process as Users are committed to holding and paying for four years of capacity, or holding and paying for capacity for a sufficient duration such that charges that will be paid are greater than or equal to the User commitment value. The User commitment quantity is defined as an amount equal to four years of charges based on the indicative charges applicable at the time of user commitment.

National Grid has published indicative NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity charges two months ahead of each of the 2009, 2010 & 2011 applications windows, and intends to do so before the 2012 application window. If an approved workable methodology is not available, National Grid will consider either delaying the publication of indicative charges or publishing indicative charges based on the proposed methodology, based on a view that this might be the best estimate of charges given available information. National Grid will seek industry views on the appropriate method of setting indicative charges, should an approved methodology not be available, by 1st May 2012.

7 The Case for Change

In addition to that identified the above, the Proposer has identified the following:

Advantages

- The Proposal will lead to a workable methodology for calculating NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity prices as supplies are forecast to be sufficient to meet forecast demand
- The modelled demand flows will more accurately reflect peak connected load. The undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand should reflect peak load for storage, offtakes to connected systems, DNs and NTS direct connects.
- The Proposal will achieve the relevant charging methodology relevant objectives, primarily generating prices which reflect the costs incurred
- The Proposal is based on information which will be openly published in the Ten Year Statement from 2011.
- Calculation of the charges will be replicable through publication of the Transportation Model
- Charges will be less dependent on User capacity booking strategies at Moffat and DNO offtakes.
- Subject to the approval of this Modification Proposal, National Grid would publish an undiversified NTS forecast 1-in-20 peak day demand in the Ten Year Statement from 2011, consistent with this proposal, to match the Charging Methodology.

Disadvantages

- It might be perceived that forecast demand levels will be more variable compared to the obligated (baseline plus incremental) NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity level of NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity; however, it is the changes in this level of capacity that have resulted in this proposal being brought forward.



GCD09

National Grid has consulted on the options for the source of demand flow data within the NTS Charging Transportation Model via Gas Charging Discussion paper GCD09.

Information can be found at;

<http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Gas/Charges/consultations/CurrentPapers/>

Legal Text 0356

Amend paragraph 4.1.2(b)(ii) of TPD Section O to read:

- (ii) for each of years 0 to 4, of 1-in-20 peak day demand in accordance with paragraph 4.1.3;

Amend paragraph 2.5.1 of Appendix C to TPD Section Y Part A to read:

2.5.1 The Transport Model

Model Input Data

(a) ~~The transport model~~Transport Model calculates the marginal costs of investment in ~~the transmission system that would be required~~ in the National Transmission System as a consequence of an increase in demand for gas or supply of gas at each ~~connection point~~System Point or node on the ~~transmission system~~, National Transmission System. Such calculation is based ~~upon~~ analysis of peak conditions on the ~~transmission system~~. ~~The measure of National Transmission System and the costs of investment costs is which are expressed in terms of £/GWhkm, a concept used to calculate marginal costs, hence.~~ Where there is an increase in demand for gas or supply of gas at a System Point the marginal changes in flow distances ~~based on increases at entry and exit points are~~ (measured in GWhkm) for a small energy injection to the system (measured in GWh) shall be estimated initially ~~in terms of~~ by reference to the increases or decreases in units of kilometres of the ~~transmission system for a small energy injection to the system~~National Transmission System.

(b) ~~The transport model~~Transport Model requires a set of inputs ~~representative of~~which are consistent with the ~~cost of providing capacity~~costs incurred by National Grid NTS in making NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity available on the ~~transmission system~~National Transmission System:

- (i) Nodal supply and demand data (GWh)

~~Distribution Network (DN) and Direct Connection (DC) baseline plus obligated incremental exit capacity levels by offtake other than bi-directional sites where the demand will be zero~~

(A) Demand data shall be derived in relation to each NTS Exit Point as the lesser of:

- (1) the National Grid NTS forecast undiversified 1-in-20 peak day demand at the relevant NTS Exit Point, provided that:

- (aa) for any NTS Connected Offtake System which is a Storage Facility or a pipeline interconnector and which has physical entry capability, demand at

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 18 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

the relevant NTS Connected System Exit Point shall be deemed to be zero;

(bb) for NTS/LDZ Offtakes, the National Grid NTS forecast undiversified 1-in-20 peak day demand in the relevant LDZ shall be prorated between the relevant NTS/LDZ Offtakes on the basis of the amount of NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity registered at each of the relevant NTS/LDZ Offtakes;

For the purposes of this paragraph, "National Grid NTS forecast undiversified 1-in-20 peak day demand" means the 1-in-20 peak day demand for the National Transmission System that is derived from the summation of the forecast peak demands and load duration curves for each NTS Supply Point, NTS CSEP and NTS/LDZ Offtake; and

(2) the aggregate of the Baseline NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity and incremental NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity in respect of the relevant NTS Exit Point,

provided that paragraph (2) above shall be ignored for the purposes of setting or determining any indicative NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Charges;

(2) Aggregate System Entry Point (ASEP)-supplies

(ii) Transmission pipelines between each node (measured in km) and calculated by reference to:

(1) Existing pipelines

(2) New pipelines expected to be operational at or before the beginningstart of the gas-yearGas Year under analysis

(iii) Identification of a reference node

Model Inputs

(c) The nodal supply data for the Transport Model willshall be derived from the supply/demand data set out in the most recent Ten Year Statement for each yearGas Year for which prices are being setdetermined. The aggregate storage and Interconnector-supply flows willshall be adjusted suchto ensure that a-supply and demand balance is achieved. This initial-the values for supply and demand match is achievedare equal. This adjustment shall be carried out by reducing supplies in a-merit-the following order to matchthe point at which supplies equal the forecast demand. Supplies are reduced, until a match is achieved, using the following sequence; :

(i) short range storage facilities (LNG)-Storage Facilities;

(ii) mid range storage facilities,-Storage Facilities;

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 19 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

- (iii) LNG Importation Facilities;
- (iv) long range storage facilities, Interconnectors, and Beach Terminals.
Storage Facilities;
- (v) pipeline interconnectors; and
- (vi) beach terminals.

The supply figures for Individual System Entry Points at Storage Facilities and Interconnector entry points therefore/or pipeline interconnectors may be set at a level that is less than or equal to the expected entry point capability.

Nodal demand data for the transport model will be the baseline plus obligated incremental exit flat capacity for DN offtakes and direct connections other than for bi-directional sites where the demand will be zero.

(d) Nodal demand data for the Transport Model shall be derived from a range of different data sources as more particularly described in paragraph 2.5.1(b)(i).

(e) National Transmission System network data for the charging year will be based on data taken from National Grid's Grid NTS's most recent Ten Year Statement.

9 Recommendation



The Proposer invites the Workgroup to:

- Recommend that Modification 0356 is sufficiently developed to proceed to consultation.

Suggested Timeline

November/December 2011	UNC Consultation initiated
December 2011/January 2012	UNC Consultation ends
December 2011/January 2012	UNC Panel recommendation
Winter 2011/2012	Possible Ofgem Impact Assessment
1 st May 2012	Indicative NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity charges set based on the NTS Charging Methodology.

NTS Charging Methodology Forum

The issues associated with this proposal have been discussed at the NTS Charging Methodology Forum. Information regarding the Charging Methodology Forum can be found at;

<http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Gas/Charges/TCMF/>

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 21 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

10 Further Information



The following tables shows [an estimate of the actual and indicative NTS Exit \(Flat\) Capacity Prices which would be generated by 1st May 2012 based on this modification proposal](#), compared with the indicative prices calculated in accordance with the prevailing Charging Methodology;

Indicative Charges

Indicative charges represent National Grid's best estimate of potential future charges based on information available at the time that charges are set; however, these charges are subject to change as updated data becomes available.

NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Prices (p/kWh/day)							
Exit Point	DC/DN	2012/13		2013/14		2014/15	
		Prevailing Indicative (May 2010)	0356 Proposed	Prevailing (May 2010)	0356 Proposed	Prevailing (May 2011)	0356 Proposed
AM PAPER	DC	0.0153	0.0183	0.0163	0.0192	0.0164	0.0216
AVONMOUTH LNG	DC	0.0090	0.0171	0.0140	0.0169	0.0140	0.0161
Bacton Interconnector	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
BACTON BAIRD	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
BAGLAN BAY PG	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
BARKING PG	DC	0.0101	0.0124	0.0109	0.0130	0.0107	0.0127
BARROW BAINS	DC	0.0059	0.0089	0.0064	0.0093	0.0061	0.0113
BARROW BS	DC	0.0059	0.0089	0.0064	0.0093	0.0061	0.0113
BARROW GATEWAY	DC	0.0059	0.0089	0.0064	0.0093	0.0061	0.0113
BARTON STACEY (MRS)	DC	0.0205	0.0227	0.0217	0.0238	0.0219	0.0240
BILLINGHAM ICI	DC	0.0032	0.0009	0.0058	0.0009	0.0054	0.0001
BP GRANGEMOUTH	DC	0.0082	0.0001	0.0110	0.0001	0.0109	0.0001
BP SALTEND HP	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
BRIDGEWATER PAPER	DC	0.0201	0.0251	0.0212	0.0263	0.0181	0.0267
BRIGG PG	DC	0.0029	0.0059	0.0033	0.0061	0.0027	0.0056
BRIMSDOWN PG	DC	0.0106	0.0129	0.0114	0.0135	0.0112	0.0133
BRINE FIELD PS	DC	0.0026	0.0002	0.0051	0.0002	0.0047	0.0001
BRUNNER MOND	DC	0.0171	0.0215	0.0180	0.0226	0.0147	0.0246
CARRINGTON PS	DC	0.0176	0.0210	0.0191	0.0220	0.0159	0.0246
CAYTHORPE (MRS)	DC	0.0001	0.0015	0.0001	0.0016	0.0001	0.0008
CENTRAX	DC	0.0216	0.0262	0.0236	0.0264	0.0240	0.0261
CHESHIRE (MRS)	DC	0.0164	0.0215	0.0173	0.0225	0.0140	0.0239
COCKENZIE	DC	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	0.0081	0.0001
CONNAHS QUAY PS	DC	0.0205	0.0255	0.0216	0.0267	0.0185	0.0271
CORBY PS	DC	0.0079	0.0109	0.0085	0.0114	0.0083	0.0111
CORYTON PG	DC	0.0104	0.0121	0.0111	0.0127	0.0110	0.0124
CORYTON PG 2	DC	0.0104	0.0121	0.0111	0.0127	0.0110	0.0124
COTTAM PG	DC	0.0019	0.0049	0.0023	0.0051	0.0017	0.0045
DAMHEAD CREEK	DC	0.0097	0.0102	0.0104	0.0106	0.0102	0.0103
DEESIDE PS	DC	0.0202	0.0255	0.0212	0.0268	0.0185	0.0271
DIDCOT PS	DC	0.0168	0.0190	0.0178	0.0199	0.0178	0.0199
DRAKELOW PS	DC	0.0129	0.0159	0.0138	0.0167	0.0138	0.0166
DYNEVOR ARMS LNG	DC	0.0001	0.0015	0.0001	0.0005	0.0001	0.0001
EASINGTON&ROUGH TERMINAL	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
ENRON (BILLINGHAM)	DC	0.0032	0.0009	0.0058	0.0009	0.0054	0.0001
GARTON (MRS)	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
GLENMAVIS LNG	DC	0.0107	0.0001	0.0137	0.0001	0.0137	0.0001
GOOLE GLASS	DC	0.0006	0.0036	0.0009	0.0038	0.0003	0.0031
GRAIN GAS	DC	0.0097	0.0102	0.0104	0.0106	0.0102	0.0103
GREAT YARMOUTH	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
HATFIELD MOOR (MRS)	DC	0.0011	0.0043	0.0014	0.0046	0.0008	0.0040
HATFIELD POWER STATION	DC	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	0.0001	0.0028
HAYS CHEMICALS	DC	0.0170	0.0221	0.0180	0.0232	0.0147	0.0234

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 22 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Prices
(p/kWh/day)

Exit Point	DC/DN	2012/13		2013/14		2014/15	
		Prevailing Indicative (May 2010)	0356 Proposed	Prevailing (May 2010)	0356 Proposed	Prevailing (May 2011)	0356 Proposed
HOLEHOUSE_FARM_(MRS)	DC	0.0172	0.0222	0.0182	0.0233	0.0149	0.0235
HORNSEA_(MRS)	DC	0.0001	0.0003	0.0001	0.0003	0.0001	0.0001
ICI_RUNCORN	DC	0.0202	0.0252	0.0213	0.0265	0.0182	0.0268
IMMINGHAM_PG	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
KEADBY_BS	DC	0.0018	0.0048	0.0021	0.0050	0.0015	0.0043
KEADBY_PS	DC	0.0018	0.0048	0.0021	0.0050	0.0015	0.0043
KEMIRAINCE_CHP	DC	0.0199	0.0249	0.0209	0.0261	0.0178	0.0264
KINGS_LYNN_PS	DC	0.0029	0.0052	0.0033	0.0054	0.0028	0.0049
LANGAGE_PG	DC	0.0246	0.0291	0.0267	0.0295	0.0272	0.0293
LITTLE_BARFORD_PS	DC	0.0094	0.0117	0.0101	0.0122	0.0099	0.0120
LONGANNET	DC	0.0075	0.0001	0.0103	0.0001	0.0101	0.0001
MARCHWOOD	DC	0.0216	0.0245	0.0236	0.0256	0.0239	0.0260
MEDWAY_PS	DC	0.0098	0.0103	0.0105	0.0107	0.0103	0.0104
MILFORD_HAVEN_REFINERY	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
MOFFAT	DC	0.0154	0.0021	0.0186	0.0022	0.0188	0.0039
PARTINGTON_LNG	DC	0.0176	0.0209	0.0191	0.0219	0.0158	0.0245
PEMBROKE_PG	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
PETERBOROUGH_PS	DC	0.0060	0.0082	0.0065	0.0086	0.0061	0.0082
PETERHEAD_PG	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
PHILLIPS_SEAL_SANDS	DC	0.0026	0.0002	0.0051	0.0002	0.0047	0.0001
ROCKSAVAGE_PG	DC	0.0202	0.0252	0.0213	0.0265	0.0182	0.0268
ROSECOTE_PS	DC	0.0059	0.0089	0.0064	0.0093	0.0061	0.0113
RYE_HOUSE_PS	DC	0.0111	0.0133	0.0118	0.0140	0.0117	0.0138
SALTEND	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
SAPPAPAPERMILLCHP	DC	0.0142	0.0171	0.0151	0.0180	0.0151	0.0179
SEABANK_POWER_phase_II	DC	0.0091	0.0171	0.0141	0.0169	0.0140	0.0162
SEABANK_POWER_phase1	DC	0.0108	0.0154	0.0122	0.0150	0.0121	0.0142
SELLAFIELD_PS	DC	0.0099	0.0129	0.0106	0.0135	0.0105	0.0157
SEVERNSIDE_ICI	DC	0.0091	0.0170	0.0140	0.0168	0.0139	0.0160
SHOTTON_PAPER	DC	0.0204	0.0254	0.0215	0.0266	0.0184	0.0270
SPALDING_PG	DC	0.0033	0.0063	0.0037	0.0066	0.0032	0.0061
SPALDING_PG_2	DC	0.0033	0.0063	0.0037	0.0066	0.0032	0.0061
ST_FERGUS_BS	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
STALLINGBOROUGH	DC	0.0001	0.0007	0.0001	0.0007	0.0001	0.0001
STAYTHORPE	DC	0.0049	0.0078	0.0053	0.0082	0.0049	0.0077
STUBLACH	DC	0.0164	0.0215	0.0173	0.0225	0.0140	0.0239
SUTTON_BRIDGE_PS	DC	0.0043	0.0066	0.0047	0.0069	0.0043	0.0063
TEESSIDE_BASF	DC	0.0026	0.0002	0.0051	0.0002	0.0047	0.0001
TEESSIDE_HYDROGEN	DC	0.0026	0.0002	0.0052	0.0002	0.0047	0.0001
THEDDLETHORPE&SALTF_TERMINAL	DC	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	0.0001	0.0001
THORNTON_CURTIS_(KILLINGHOLME)	DC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
TILBURY_PS	DC	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	0.0114	0.0120
WEST_BURTON_PS	DC	0.0019	0.0048	0.0022	0.0052	0.0016	0.0046
WILLINGTON_PS	DC	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	0.0153	0.0182
WYRE_PS	DC	0.0131	0.0160	0.0139	0.0168	0.0139	0.0192
ZENECA	DC	0.0032	0.0009	0.0058	0.0009	0.0054	0.0001
BURNEVIE	DN	#N/A	0.0001	#N/A	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
BACTON_OT	EA	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
BRISLEY	EA	0.0003	0.0026	0.0005	0.0027	0.0001	0.0020
EYE	EA	0.0056	0.0079	0.0061	0.0082	0.0057	0.0078
GREAT_WILBRAHAM	EA	0.0056	0.0079	0.0061	0.0082	0.0057	0.0078
MATCHING_GREEN	EA	0.0097	0.0120	0.0104	0.0125	0.0102	0.0123

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 23 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Prices
(p/kWh/day)

Exit Point	DC/DN	2012/13		2013/14		2014/15	
		Prevailing Indicative (May 2010)	0356 Proposed	Prevailing (May 2010)	0356 Proposed	Prevailing (May 2011)	0356 Proposed
ROUDHAM_HEATH	EA	0.0019	0.0042	0.0022	0.0044	0.0017	0.0037
ROYSTON	EA	0.0075	0.0097	0.0080	0.0102	0.0077	0.0098
WEST_WINCH	EA	0.0027	0.0049	0.0030	0.0051	0.0025	0.0045
WHITWELL	EA	0.0094	0.0116	0.0101	0.0122	0.0098	0.0119
YELVERTON	EA	0.0001	0.0021	0.0001	0.0021	0.0001	0.0014
ALREWAS_EM	EM	0.0134	0.0164	0.0143	0.0172	0.0143	0.0171
BLABY	EM	0.0099	0.0129	0.0106	0.0135	0.0104	0.0133
BLYBOROUGH	EM	0.0019	0.0049	0.0023	0.0051	0.0017	0.0045
CALDECOTT	EM	0.0076	0.0105	0.0081	0.0110	0.0079	0.0107
DROINTON_OT	EM	0.0145	0.0175	0.0155	0.0183	0.0155	0.0183
GOSBERTON	EM	0.0030	0.0060	0.0034	0.0062	0.0029	0.0057
KIRKSTEAD	EM	0.0010	0.0039	0.0012	0.0041	0.0006	0.0034
MARKET_HARBOROUGH	EM	0.0087	0.0117	0.0093	0.0122	0.0091	0.0119
SILK_WILLOUGHBY	EM	0.0022	0.0052	0.0025	0.0054	0.0020	0.0048
SUTTON_BRIDGE	EM	0.0044	0.0067	0.0049	0.0070	0.0044	0.0065
THORNTON_CURTIS_LDZ	EM	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
TUR_LANGTON	EM	0.0089	0.0118	0.0095	0.0124	0.0093	0.0121
WALESBY	EM	0.0001	0.0015	0.0001	0.0015	0.0001	0.0008
ASSELBY	NE	0.0001	0.0031	0.0003	0.0032	0.0001	0.0025
BALDERSBY	NE	0.0052	0.0045	0.0057	0.0047	0.0053	0.0041
BURLEY_BANK	NE	0.0045	0.0066	0.0049	0.0069	0.0045	0.0063
GANSTEAD	NE	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
PANNAL	NE	0.0040	0.0070	0.0044	0.0073	0.0040	0.0068
PAULL	NE	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
PICKERING	NE	0.0001	0.0042	0.0015	0.0044	0.0009	0.0037
RAWCLIFFE	NE	0.0003	0.0033	0.0005	0.0034	0.0001	0.0027
TOWTON	NE	0.0023	0.0053	0.0026	0.0055	0.0021	0.0049
BISHOP_AUCKLAND	NO	0.0050	0.0026	0.0076	0.0027	0.0073	0.0020
BISHOP_AUCKLAND_TEST_FACILITY	NO	0.0050	0.0026	0.0076	0.0027	0.0073	0.0020
COLDSTREAM	NO	0.0118	0.0001	0.0149	0.0001	0.0149	0.0001
CORBRIDGE	NO	0.0094	0.0032	0.0124	0.0033	0.0123	0.0051
COWPEN_BEWLEY	NO	0.0030	0.0007	0.0056	0.0006	0.0052	0.0001
ELTON	NO	0.0034	0.0018	0.0061	0.0018	0.0057	0.0011
GUYZANCE	NO	0.0120	0.0007	0.0150	0.0007	0.0150	0.0023
HUMBLETON	NO	0.0113	0.0001	0.0143	0.0001	0.0143	0.0001
KELD	NO	0.0120	0.0098	0.0129	0.0103	0.0128	0.0123
LITTLE_BURDON	NO	0.0039	0.0022	0.0065	0.0023	0.0061	0.0015
MELKINTHORPE	NO	0.0127	0.0091	0.0136	0.0095	0.0135	0.0115
SALTWICK_PC	NO	0.0152	0.0019	0.0184	0.0020	0.0186	0.0037
SALTWICK_VC	NO	0.0152	0.0019	0.0184	0.0020	0.0186	0.0037
THRINTOFT	NO	0.0055	0.0039	0.0077	0.0040	0.0074	0.0034
TOW_LAW	NO	0.0069	0.0046	0.0097	0.0048	0.0095	0.0041
WETHERAL	NO	0.0135	0.0066	0.0162	0.0069	0.0163	0.0088
HORNDON	NT	0.0101	0.0124	0.0109	0.0130	0.0107	0.0127
LUXBOROUGH_LANE	NT	0.0104	0.0126	0.0111	0.0132	0.0109	0.0130
PETERS_GREEN	NT	0.0098	0.0121	0.0105	0.0126	0.0103	0.0124
PETERS_GREEN_SOUTH_MIMMS	NT	0.0098	0.0121	0.0105	0.0126	0.0103	0.0124
WINKFIELD_NT	NT	0.0185	0.0207	0.0196	0.0217	0.0197	0.0218
AUDLEY_NW	NW	0.0180	0.0210	0.0190	0.0220	0.0158	0.0222
BLACKROD	NW	0.0152	0.0182	0.0162	0.0190	0.0162	0.0191
ECCLESTON	NW	0.0200	0.0242	0.0210	0.0254	0.0179	0.0257
HOLMES_CHAPEL	NW	0.0193	0.0222	0.0203	0.0233	0.0171	0.0235

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 24 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Prices
(p/kWh/day)

Exit Point	DC/DN	2012/13		2013/14		2014/15	
		Prevailing Indicative (May 2010)	0356 Proposed	Prevailing (May 2010)	0356 Proposed	Prevailing (May 2011)	0356 Proposed
LUPTON	NW	0.0094	0.0124	0.0101	0.0130	0.0099	0.0152
MALPAS	NW	0.0199	0.0229	0.0210	0.0240	0.0178	0.0242
MICKLE TRAFFORD	NW	0.0193	0.0243	0.0203	0.0255	0.0172	0.0258
PARTINGTON	NW	0.0176	0.0210	0.0191	0.0220	0.0159	0.0246
SAMLESBURY	NW	0.0138	0.0167	0.0147	0.0175	0.0147	0.0175
WARBURTON	NW	0.0178	0.0207	0.0189	0.0217	0.0156	0.0243
WESTON POINT	NW	0.0202	0.0252	0.0213	0.0265	0.0182	0.0268
ABERDEEN	SC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
ARMADALE	SC	0.0099	0.0001	0.0128	0.0001	0.0128	0.0001
BALGRAY	SC	0.0016	0.0001	0.0042	0.0001	0.0037	0.0001
BATHGATE	SC	0.0095	0.0001	0.0124	0.0001	0.0123	0.0001
BROXBURN	SC	0.0110	0.0001	0.0140	0.0001	0.0140	0.0001
CARESTON	SC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0020	0.0001	0.0015	0.0001
DRUM	SC	0.0067	0.0001	0.0095	0.0001	0.0093	0.0001
GLENMAVIS	SC	0.0107	0.0001	0.0137	0.0001	0.0137	0.0001
HUME	SC	0.0128	0.0001	0.0159	0.0001	0.0159	0.0011
KINKNOCKIE	SC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
LANGHOLM	SC	0.0134	0.0042	0.0165	0.0043	0.0166	0.0061
LAUDERHILL	SC	0.0144	0.0007	0.0176	0.0007	0.0177	0.0024
LOCKERBIE	SC	0.0144	0.0033	0.0176	0.0034	0.0177	0.0051
MOSSIDE	SC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
NETHER HOWCLEUGH	SC	0.0147	0.0014	0.0178	0.0014	0.0180	0.0031
PITCAIRNGREEN	SC	0.0039	0.0001	0.0066	0.0001	0.0062	0.0001
SOUTRA	SC	0.0145	0.0013	0.0177	0.0013	0.0178	0.0030
ST FERGUS OT	SC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
STRANRAER	SC	0.0154	0.0021	0.0186	0.0022	0.0188	0.0039
FARNINGHAM	SE	0.0120	0.0125	0.0128	0.0131	0.0127	0.0129
FARNINGHAM B	SE	0.0120	0.0125	0.0128	0.0131	0.0127	0.0129
SHORNE	SE	0.0110	0.0115	0.0118	0.0121	0.0116	0.0118
TATSFIELD	SE	0.0137	0.0142	0.0146	0.0149	0.0146	0.0147
WINKFIELD SE	SE	0.0185	0.0207	0.0196	0.0217	0.0197	0.0218
BRAISHFIELD A	SO	0.0220	0.0242	0.0233	0.0254	0.0236	0.0257
BRAISHFIELD B	SO	0.0220	0.0242	0.0233	0.0254	0.0236	0.0257
HARDWICK	SO	0.0133	0.0155	0.0141	0.0162	0.0140	0.0161
IPSDEN	SO	0.0165	0.0187	0.0175	0.0196	0.0175	0.0196
IPSDEN 2	SO	0.0165	0.0187	0.0175	0.0196	0.0175	0.0196
MAPPOWDER	SO	0.0170	0.0216	0.0188	0.0216	0.0189	0.0211
WINKFIELD SO	SO	0.0185	0.0207	0.0196	0.0217	0.0197	0.0218
AYLESBEARE	SW	0.0192	0.0238	0.0210	0.0239	0.0213	0.0235
CHOAKFORD	SW	0.0246	0.0291	0.0267	0.0295	0.0272	0.0293
CIRENCESTER	SW	0.0086	0.0132	0.0099	0.0127	0.0097	0.0118
COFFINSWELL	SW	0.0218	0.0264	0.0238	0.0266	0.0242	0.0263
EASTON GREY	SW	0.0091	0.0137	0.0105	0.0133	0.0102	0.0124
EVESHAM	SW	0.0056	0.0102	0.0068	0.0096	0.0064	0.0085
FIDDINGTON	SW	0.0044	0.0089	0.0054	0.0083	0.0050	0.0072
ILCHESTER	SW	0.0149	0.0195	0.0166	0.0194	0.0166	0.0188
KENN SOUTH	SW	0.0203	0.0249	0.0222	0.0250	0.0225	0.0246
LITTLETON DREW	SW	0.0099	0.0145	0.0113	0.0141	0.0111	0.0133
PUCKLECHURCH	SW	0.0108	0.0154	0.0122	0.0150	0.0120	0.0142
ROSS SW	SW	0.0016	0.0062	0.0025	0.0054	0.0020	0.0041
SEABANK LDZ	SW	0.0092	0.0173	0.0142	0.0170	0.0141	0.0163
ALREWAS WM	WM	0.0134	0.0164	0.0143	0.0172	0.0143	0.0171

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 25 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved

NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity Prices
(p/kWh/day)

Exit Point	DC/DN	2012/13		2013/14		2014/15	
		Prevailing Indicative (May 2010)	0356 Proposed	Prevailing (May 2010)	0356 Proposed	Prevailing (May 2011)	0356 Proposed
ASPLEY	WM	0.0164	0.0194	0.0174	0.0203	0.0176	0.0204
AUDLEY_WM	WM	0.0180	0.0210	0.0190	0.0220	0.0158	0.0222
AUSTREY	WM	0.0122	0.0157	0.0135	0.0165	0.0136	0.0157
LEAMINGTON_SPA	WM	0.0082	0.0128	0.0095	0.0123	0.0092	0.0114
LOWER_QUINTON	WM	0.0067	0.0113	0.0079	0.0108	0.0076	0.0098
MILWICH	WM	0.0152	0.0181	0.0161	0.0190	0.0162	0.0190
ROSS_WM	WM	0.0016	0.0062	0.0025	0.0054	0.0020	0.0041
RUGBY	WM	0.0093	0.0138	0.0106	0.0134	0.0104	0.0126
SHUSTOKE	WM	0.0134	0.0169	0.0148	0.0177	0.0149	0.0170
STRATFORD_UPON_AVON	WM	0.0068	0.0114	0.0081	0.0109	0.0077	0.0099
MAELOR	WN	0.0207	0.0237	0.0218	0.0248	0.0187	0.0251
DOWLAIS	WS	0.0001	0.0021	0.0001	0.0011	0.0001	0.0001
DYFFRYN_CLYDACH	WS	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
GILWERN	WS	0.0001	0.0032	0.0001	0.0023	0.0001	0.0009

Modification 0356

06 October 2011

Version 3.0

Page 26 of 26

© 2011 all rights reserved