

## Stage 01: Proposal

# 0384:

UNC Modification Rules;  
housekeeping, clarity and minor  
drafting changes.

This proposal aims to implement a number of housekeeping, minor drafting changes and points of clarity to the Uniform Network Code (UNC) Modification Rules.



The Proposer has amended this modification and requests the Workgroup to recommend it is sufficiently developed to proceed to consultation



High Impact:  
N/A



Medium Impact:  
Joint Office



Low Impact:  
UNC Panel, Shipper Users, Gas Transporters and the Authority

What stage is this document in the process?

01

Proposal

02

Workgroup Report

03

Draft Modification Report

04

Final Modification Report

00384

Modification

09 February 2012

Version 5.1

Page 1 of 11

© 2012 all rights reserved

## Contents

- 1 Summary
- 2 Why Change?
- 3 Solution
- 4 Relevant Objectives
- 5 Impacts and Costs
- 6 Implementation
- 7 The Case for Change
- 8 Recommendation

## About this document:

This document is a proposal, which has been amended by the Proposer who requests the Workgroup to recommend it is sufficiently developed to proceed to consultation



3 **Any questions?**

4 Contact:

**Joint Office**

5



7 [enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk](mailto:enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk)

8



11 **0121 623 2115**

11 Proposer:

**Chris Shanley**

11



[Chris.shanley@uk.ngrid.com](mailto:Chris.shanley@uk.ngrid.com)



**01926 656251**

Transporter:

**National Grid NTS**

Xoserve:



[commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com](mailto:commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com)

00384

Modification

09 February 2012

Version 5.1

Page 2 of 11

© 2012 all rights reserved

# 1 Summary

## Is this a Self Governance Modification

The Modification Panel determined that this modification should follow the Self-Governance procedures.

## Why Change?

Following the implementation of the Code Governance Review (CGR) Modification Proposals (0318 – 0325V), some housekeeping changes have been identified by the Code Administrator (CA). National Grid has also taken the opportunity to conduct a post implementation review of the effectiveness of the Modification Rules relating to Workgroups, alternative Modification Proposals and Legal text provision. This internal review has identified that the Modification Rules would, in our opinion, benefit from additional clarity in certain areas and/or further changes are required to update aspects of the previous version of the Modification Rules that were not changed by the suite of CGR Modification Proposals.

## Solution

National Grid has liaised with the Code Administrator to gain an understanding of the areas that they believe require additional clarity or correction is required within the current Modification Rules. National Grid NTS proposes that the Modification rules are changed to address these issues identified by the Code Administrator along with some minor drafting changes that need to be made to provide some additional clarity to the rules, including those related to legal text provision and the Panel Majority definition.

## Impacts & Costs

No major impacts or costs have been identified.

## Implementation

No view on implementation timescales is proposed.

## The Case for Change

The Proposal will provide a number of administrative efficiencies as the changes will improve consistency between industry codes and the effectiveness of the UNC governance processes.

## Recommendations

The Proposer invites the Panel to DETERMINE that this Modification Proposal progress to Workgroup Assessment.

00384

Modification

---

09 February 2012

---

Version 5.1

---

Page 3 of 11

---

© 2012 all rights reserved

## 2 Why Change?

### Code Governance Review

The following Modification Proposals were implemented on the 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010:

- 0318 - Code Governance Review: The approach to be taken when raising alternative Modification Proposals
- 0319V - Code Governance Review: Role of Code Administrators and Code Administration Code of Practice
- 0320V - Code Governance Review: Appointment and Voting Rights for a Consumer Representative and Independent Panel Chair
- 0321V - Code Governance Review: Approach to environmental assessments within the UNC
- 0322V - Code Governance Review: Inclusion of the NTS Transportation and Connection Charging Methodologies within the UNC
- 0323V - Code Governance Review: Self Governance
- 0324V – Code Governance Review: Significant Code Reviews
- 0325V - Code Governance Review: DN Transportation Charging Methodology and Change Governance

Following the implementation of these Modification Proposals, some housekeeping changes have been identified by the Code Administrator. National Grid has also taken the opportunity to conduct a post implementation review of the effectiveness of the Modification Rules relating to Workgroups, alternative Modification Proposals and Legal text provision. This internal review has identified that the Modification Rules would, in our opinion, benefit from additional clarity in certain areas and/or further changes are required to update aspects of the previous version of the Modification Rules that were not changed by the suite of CGR Modification Proposals.

Following recent discussions at the Governance Workgroup it was agreed that further clarity was required regarding the definition of Panel Majority, to clarify the intent of Modification Proposal 0320V [and also to consider how changes to Final Modification Reports should be brought to the Panel's attention.](#)

## 3 Solution

### Nature for the proposal

National Grid NTS has liaised with the Code Administrator to gain an understanding of the areas that they believe require additional clarity or correction is required within the amended Modification Rules. National Grid NTS proposes that the Modification rules are changed to address these issues identified by the Code Administrator along with some minor drafting changes that need to be made to provide some additional clarity to the rules, including those related to legal text provision.

A summary of the housekeeping changes proposed to the Modification Rules are as follows:

- Correction of a small number of typographical errors
- A number of changes to clarify the appropriate use of the role of Secretary, Code Administrator and Transporter
- Clarification with regards to the Code Administrators role in establishing a new Workgroup and its associated terms of Reference
- Amend Section 8 to allow any person who attended and participated in the Workgroup to express views at the Panel when the report is being discussed
- Clarify that where an alternative Modification Proposal is referred to a Workgroup by the Panel and the next meeting of such Workgroup is in less than 5 Business Days time, then the proposal will be carried forward for assessment at the following meeting of the Workgroup.

The above proposed changes are reflected in the Suggested Text included with this Modification (it is included as a separate document due to its size).

#### Legal Text provision for Modification Proposals

National Grid NTS has evaluated a number of options to address the issue of providing legal text for inclusion in the Final Modification Report for example (not exhaustive) where the Panel has not requested legal text when it determined that the modification should proceed to consultation or where, during the Consultation Phase, representations have suggested that changes to the legal text be made to better reflect the nature of the Proposal being consulted upon. In consequence this proposal seeks to ensure, for all modifications, that legal text will always be available at the point of a Panel determination. by proposing to introduce the ability for the Modification Panel to request (by way of a Panel Majority vote) legal text for a Modification at any time prior to making its recommendation as to whether or not the Modification should be implemented, or in the case of a Self-Governance Modification, its decision. For the avoidance of doubt, such a request may be made on more than one occasion.

The existing provision for the Authority to request that the Transporters provide legal text will continue.

The current UNC rules in regard to provision and timing of such provision, by Transporters, of legal text following a Panel request will continue to apply.

00384

Modification

---

09 February 2012

---

Version 5.1

---

Page 5 of 11

---

© 2012 all rights reserved

The existing provision for a request for legal text in support of a User Pays Modification to be deemed to also be a request for an Agency Charging Statement amendment will continue.

If at any time after providing legal text in response to a Panel request, and before the Modification Panel makes a determination on whether or not to recommend implementation of the Modification or, in respect of a Self-Governance Modification, makes a determination as to whether or not to implement the Modification, the Transporters wish to provide revised legal text, they may do so and should provide this revised legal text to the Code Administrator.

The Code Administrator will include the latest version of legal text provided, or the reasons for not providing legal text, in all subsequently produced reports relating to the Modification that require legal text in order to be complete – i.e. Workgroup Report, Draft Modification Report, Final Modification Report etc.

This Proposal also seeks to introduce a further provision relating to changes to the Final Modification Report (FMR). It is proposed that where the FMR is amended then the Modification Proposal shall be placed on the agenda for the following Modification Panel meeting. At this meeting the Panel members shall be asked to consider whether or not, in its reasonable opinion, as expressed through a majority vote, whether or not the Proposal has materially changed as a result of the amendments to the FMR. If the Panel vote in favour, then the Panel shall either:

- 1) Request the transporters to send the proposal for a further consultation (in a manner similar to that current stated in Modification Rules 9.5.2 (b)), or
- 2) Determine that the proposal shall continue through the process from its current position.

If the Panel vote against the change to the FMR being material then the Proposal shall continue through the process from its current position.

None of the above would prevent the Authority from making a determination based on the prevailing FMR.

#### Panel Majority Vote Clarification

It is also proposed that the Modification Rules be amended to clarify the rules relating to the definition of Panel Majority to better reflect the intent of Modification Proposal 0320V.

Modification Proposal 0320V sought to do the following aspects:

- In the case of a Panel recommendation made pursuant to section 9.3.3(a) of the Modification Rules, the proposal stated that the Panel Chairman's Casting Vote would not apply and the Panel Majority definition under the then existing rules would continue to apply.
- In all other cases where a Panel Chairman's Casting Vote may apply, it was proposed that the defined term for Panel Majority be changed to: a majority (in number) of the votes in favour of such matter over the votes not in favour of such matter from the total number of votes exercisable by the Voting Members present at that meeting.

It is therefore proposed that the Modification Rules be amended to better reflect the above points. Again the Suggest Text provided with this Proposal set out the proposed changes to the definition of Panel Majority.

00384

Modification

09 February 2012

Version 5.1

Page 6 of 11

© 2012 all rights reserved

## 4 Relevant Objectives

The Proposer believes that implementation will better facilitate the achievement of

### Relevant Objective f.

Proposer's view of the benefits against the Code Relevant Objectives	
Description of Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	None
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Yes – see explanation below.

### f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code

The proposer believes that this Modification will better facilitate this relevant objective by providing a number of administrative efficiencies as the changes will improve consistency between industry codes (providing clarity to smaller parties and consumer representatives who may otherwise be restricted in their ability to fully participate in the UNC processes) and the effectiveness of the UNC governance processes.

00384

Modification

09 February 2012

Version 5.1

Page 7 of 11

© 2012 all rights reserved

## 5 Impacts and Costs

### Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

None identified.

### Costs

Indicative industry costs – User Pays	
Classification of the proposal as User Pays or not and justification for classification	
The proposal is not Users Pays as there are no additional costs or changes to the services provided by Xoserve.	
Identification of Users, proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and justification	
N/A	
Proposed charge(s) for application of Users Pays charges to Shippers	
N/A	
Proposed charge for inclusion in ACS – to be completed upon receipt of cost estimate from xoserve	
N/A	

### Impacts

Impact on Transporters' Systems and Process	
Transporters' System/Process	Potential impact
UK Link	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>
Operational Processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>
User Pays implications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>

Impact on Users	
Area of Users' business	Potential impact
Administrative and operational	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>
Development, capital and operating costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>
Contractual risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>

00384

Modification

09 February 2012

Version 5.1

Page 8 of 11

© 2012 all rights reserved



## Where can I find details of the UNC Standards of Service?

In the Revised FMR for Transco's Network Code Modification **0565 Transco Proposal for Revision of Network Code Standards of Service** at the following location:  
<http://www.gasgovernance.com/networkcodearchive/551-575/>

Impact on Transporters	
Area of Transporters' business	Potential impact
System operation	• None
Development, capital and operating costs	• None
Recovery of costs	• None
Price regulation	• None
Contractual risks	• None
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	• None
Standards of service	• None

Impact on Code Administration	
Area of Code Administration	Potential impact
Modification Rules	• Some changes are required and are detailed in the suggested text for this proposal.
UNC Committees	• None
General administration	• The Joint Office would be required to ensure that processes reflect the changes to the Modification Rules

Impact on Code	
Code section	Potential impact
Uniform Network Code – Modification Rules	Medium

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Related Document	Potential impact
Network Entry Agreement (TPD I1.3)	None
Network Exit Agreement (Including Connected System Exit Points) (TPD J1.5.4)	None
Storage Connection Agreement (TPD R1.3.1)	None
UK Link Manual (TPD U1.4)	None

00384

Modification

09 February 2012

Version 5.1

Page 9 of 11

© 2012 all rights reserved

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Network Code Operations Reporting Manual (TPD V12)	None
Network Code Validation Rules (TPD V12)	None
ECQ Methodology (TPD V12)	None
Measurement Error Notification Guidelines (TPD V12)	None
Energy Balancing Credit Rules (TPD X2.1)	None
Uniform Network Code Standards of Service (Various)	None

Impact on Core Industry Documents and other documents	
Document	Potential impact
Safety Case or other document under Gas Safety (Management) Regulations	None
Gas Transporter Licence	None

Other Impacts	
Item impacted	Potential impact
Security of Supply	None
Operation of the Total System	None
Industry fragmentation	None
Terminal operators, consumers, connected system operators, suppliers, producers and other non code parties	None

## 6 Implementation

### Implementation Date

No view on implementation timescales is proposed.

## 7 The Case for Change

### Advantages

The Proposal will provide a number of administrative efficiencies as the changes will improve consistency between industry codes and the effectiveness of the UNC governance processes.

### Disadvantages

None identified.

## 8 Recommendation

The Proposer invites the Workgroup to:

- DETERMINE that Modification0384 is sufficiently developed to proceed to consultation.