

**NR700MB - UKL13829 - DN Interruption Reform. Communication 2 Of 7
Summary of Shipper Comments**

Shipper	Name	Date	Accept/Reject	Publish	Shipper Comments	xoserve Response
Eon Energy	Brian Durber	26/01/07	?	Yes	<p>At this stage we intend to remain in the interruptible market sector. However, until the tender process is complete we have no real feel for how big this sector will be.</p> <p>We have spoken to a selection of our customers and a general feeling is that until more certainly is know about implementation, processes levels of interruption etc. they are unable to give a clear indication of their intentions. I would say that on the whole customers are hoping that it doesn't get implemented.</p> <p>There are exceptions, these tend to be customers who are already regularly interrupted, obvious example being NSL's and also those that are used to both commercial and transporter interruption.</p> <p>Customers are going to have to value interruption using their own criteria such as future oil price, whereas transporters will of course use completely different criteria, therefore it seems likely that the ability of the customer to value accurately is key to matching transporter requirements.</p> <p>If smaller sites under value compared with larger users then presumably transporters will be obliged to accept their offer which will mean relatively high site numbers, however if they perceive increased costs in general, which is reasonable to assume given more complex tendering and contracting procedures etc., plus the need to build in sufficient</p>	 <p>Y:\Shared\ NGSRV51H003\Team1</p>

**NR700MB - UKL13829 - DN Interruption Reform. Communication 2 Of 7
Summary of Shipper Comments**

Shipper	Name	Date	Accept/Reject	Publish	Shipper Comments	xoserve Response
					<p>hedging against future risk then they may conclude that the cost benefit doesn't add up and will opt to go firm.</p> <p>In any event we feel that the only sensible approach initially would be to adopt the manual email process. Once the level of take up is know then a proper evaluation of the cost benefit of an automated solution can be made.</p>	
EDF Energy	Dan Simons	26/01/07	Accept	Yes	Our preference is for an automated solution (option 1) with a minimum of 6 months notice for implementation.	
Scottish & Southern Energy	Cher Harris	26/01/07	Reject	Yes	<p>We do not think it is appropriate to vote on this change proposal at this time as the related Mod has yet to receive Authority approval.</p> <p>If the Mod were to eventually be approved by Ofgem, we would require a minimum of 6 months notice to implement an automated solution and four months notice to implement a manual solution (as per Mod 10). However, we would question the cost justification for implementing an automated solution to manage just 2000 sites.</p>	
NPower	David Mayne	26/01/07	Accept	Yes	No Comments	

NR700MB - UKL13829 - DN Interruption Reform. Communication 2 Of 7
Summary of Shipper Comments

Shipper	Name	Date	Accept/Reject	Publish	Shipper Comments	xoserve Response
British Gas Trading	Mitch Donnelly	31/01/07			 UKL DN Interruption Reform - Centrica Re:	