

**Performance Assurance Report Register**

**UNC Modification 520A Reports**

# Contents

<u>Contents .....</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>General .....</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Version History .....</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Publication Requirements .....</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>The Performance Assurance Report Register.....</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Report Examples.....</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Change Control.....</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Modifications.....</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Approved Modifications.....</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Performance Assurance Report Registers.....</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Schedule 1A – Industry Peer Comparison View .....</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>Schedule 1B – Performance Assurance Committee View .....</u>	<u>1413</u>
<u>Schedule 2A – Industry Peer Comparison View .....</u>	<u>1817</u>
<u>Schedule 2B – Performance Assurance Committee View .....</u>	<u>3229</u>
<u>General.....</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Version History.....</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Publication Requirements.....</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Change Control.....</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Modifications.....</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Approved Modifications.....</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Performance Assurance Report Register .....</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Schedule 1 .....</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Schedule 2 .....</u>	<u>7</u>

## General

The Performance Assurance Framework is limited to activity downstream of the Local Distribution Zone. Gas transported through the National Transmission System (NTS) and supply points connected to the NTS are excluded from the arrangements created by this Guidelines document.

## Version History

<b>Version</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Reason for update</b>
0.1	1 <sup>st</sup> October	First draft
<a href="#">0.2</a>	<a href="#">4<sup>th</sup> November</a>	<a href="#">Revisions to clarify publishing requirements &amp; report specifications, including peer comparison reports and fully disclosed versions for use by the Performance Assurance Committee (when constituted).</a>

## Publication Requirements

### Each The Performance Assurance Report Register

This document shall be kept up to date and published by the Transporters on the Joint Office of Gas Transporters Website to UNC Parties and the Performance Assurance Committee. For Clarity, the reports will not be published on the internet available on a publicly viewable website unless password protected.

### Report Examples

Each report Specification includes a suggested report example, however the Transporter Agency may vary the style of the information presented, provided that the inputs and outputs of each report remains unchanged and the information presented still accords the expected interpretation of the report results.

## **Change Control**

### **Modifications**

Should a User or Transporter wish to propose modifications to any of the Documents, such proposed modifications shall be raised in accordance with the Modification rules.

## **Approved Modifications**

In the event that a proposed modification is approved by the relevant UNC Panel or relevant Authority, the modification shall be implemented.

Each revised version of a Document shall be version controlled and retained by the Transporters. It shall be made available on the Joint Office of Gas Transporters website.

# Performance Assurance Report Registers

## Schedule 1A – Industry Peer Comparison View

1. Standard Correction Factors for sites with AQ > 732, MWH
2. No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register
3. Shipper Transfer Read Performance
4. No Reads received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years (excludes estimated transfer readings)

## Schedule 1B – Performance Assurance Committee View

1. Standard Correction Factors for sites with AQ > 732, MWH
2. No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register
3. Shipper Transfer Read Performance
4. No Reads received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years (excludes estimated transfer readings)

## Schedule 2A – Industry Peer Comparison View

1. Estimated & Check Reads used for Gas Allocation, and consumption adjustments for Products 1 & 2
2. No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register
3. No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register and data flows received by Xoserve
4. Shipper Transfer Read Performance
5. Read Performance
6. Meter Read Validity Monitoring
7. No Reads received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years (excludes estimated transfer readings)
8. AQ Corrections
9. Standard Correction Factors for sites with AQ > 732, MWH
10. Replaced Meter Reads

## Schedule 2B – Performance Assurance Committee View

1. Estimated & Check Reads used for Gas Allocation, and consumption adjustments for Products 1 & 2
2. No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register
3. No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register and data flows received by Xoserve
4. Shipper Transfer Read Performance
5. Read Performance
6. Meter Read Validity Monitoring
7. No Reads received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years (excludes estimated transfer readings)
8. AQ Corrections
9. Standard Correction Factors for sites with AQ > 732, MWH
10. Replaced Meter Reads







Report Title	<b>No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register</b>
Report Reference	PARR Schedule <a href="#">1A.2</a>
Report Purpose	To provide a view of where there is no meter asset attached within the supply point register, but the site is confirmed by the Shipper. The expected time period for the asset to be attached is outside the industry's expectation. It should also provide a view of industry benchmarking.
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The report should identify meter points where either the asset should have been attached, or the supply point withdrawal hasn't been completed - this would be considered outside of the normally expected timetable. It should also provide a view of the industry total.
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly non-cumulative report Shipper Short Code MPRN Count by EUC Band Industry Total
Data inputs to the report	Shipper Short Code <a href="#">by User report, followed by Peer comparison identifier</a> Count of MPRNs by EUC Bands where no meter asset attached after a 6 month period following confirmation. Exclude sites where the meter has been removed in the previous 6 months, but the site remains confirmed. Industry Total <a href="#">Peer Ranking [x of xx]</a>
Number rounding convention	whole number only
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12 month view, provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)	Exclude sites where it is less than six months since the confirmation effective date and/or it is at least six months after the meter removal date.
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Shipper Short Code Alphabetically
History/background	Currently provided in Shipper Monthly Performance packs. Engage Risk R7. <a href="#">GTs are providing reports on sites where meters removed</a>
Additional comments	
Estimated development costs	
Estimated on-going costs	

<b>No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register</b>	<b>EUC Band [X]</b>			<a href="#">Rank [x of xx]</a>
Shipper Short Code	Jan	Feb	Mar	[X]
<a href="#">ABCSSC</a>	0	0	0	0
<a href="#">DEFShipper A</a>	0	0	0	0
<a href="#">Shipper B GH</a>	0	0	0	0
Industry Total	0	0	0	0

Report Title	<b>Shipper Transfer Read Performance</b>
Report Reference	PARR Schedule <a href="#">1A.3</a>
Report Purpose	To identify the shipper performance of the submission of opening meter readings. The failure to provide an opening meter reading will result in the use of an estimated transfer reading.
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The report should identify performance across all market participants.
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly non-cumulative report Shipper Short Code <a href="#">by User, followed by Peer Comparison Identifier</a> % of opening meter reads provided following confirmation. Industry Total
Data inputs to the report	Shipper Short Code/ <a href="#">peer comparison identifier</a> Count of MPRNs being confirmed. Count of accepted opening reads provided by shippers Industry Total
Number rounding convention	% to 2 decimal places
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12 month view, provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)	The portfolio size is measured as at the last day of the relevant month. Reconfirmations are to be excluded.
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	<a href="#">Peer comparison ranking highest to lowest, after SSC User performance. Shipper Short Code Alphabetically</a>
History/background	Currently provided to the Regulator and anonymised to the Data Quality Working Group. Engage Risk R8
Additional comments	
Estimated development costs	None – already built and provided to Ofgem.
Estimated on-going costs	None – existing service

<b>Shipper Transfer Read Performance</b>				
Shipper Short Code	Jan	Feb	Mar	<a href="#">[Rank X of XX]</a>
<a href="#">ABCSSC</a>	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	<a href="#">0.00% x</a>
<a href="#">DEFShipper A</a>	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	<a href="#">0.00% x</a>
<a href="#">GHShipper B</a>	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	<a href="#">0.00% x</a>
Industry Total	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	<a href="#">0.00% -</a>





<u>Report Title</u>	<b>No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register</b>
<u>Report Reference</u>	PARR Schedule 1B.2
<u>Report Purpose</u>	To provide a view of where there is no meter asset attached within the supply point register, but the site is confirmed by the Shipper. The expected time period for the asset to be attached is outside the industry's expectation. It should also provide a view of industry benchmarking.
<u>Expected Interpretation of the report results</u>	The report should identify meter points where either the asset should have been attached, or the supply point withdrawal hasn't been completed - this would be considered outside of the normally expected timetable. It should also provide a view of the industry total.
<u>Report Structure (actual report headings &amp; description of each heading)</u>	Monthly non-cumulative report Shipper Short Code MPRN Count by EUC Band Industry Total
<u>Data inputs to the report</u>	Shipper Short Code Count of MPRNs by EUC Bands where no meter asset attached after a 6 month period following confirmation. Exclude sites where the meter has been removed in the previous 6 months, but the site remains confirmed. Industry Total Peer Ranking [x of xx]
<u>Number rounding convention</u>	whole number only
<u>History (e.g. report builds month on month)</u>	A Rolling 12 month view, provided monthly
<u>Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)</u>	Exclude sites where it is less than six months since the confirmation effective date and/or it is at least six months after the meter removal date.
<u>Frequency of the report</u>	Monthly
<u>Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)</u>	Shipper Short Code Alphabetically
<u>History/background</u>	Currently provided in Shipper Monthly Performance packs. Engage Risk R7
<u>Additional comments</u>	
<u>Estimated development costs</u>	
<u>Estimated on-going costs</u>	

Example Report:

<b>No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register</b>			<b>EUC Band [X]</b>		
<u>Shipper Short Code</u>	<u>Industry Ranking</u>	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>[X]</u>
SSC		<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
SSC		<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
SSC		<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Industry Total</u>		<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

Report Title	<b>Shipper Transfer Read Performance</b>
Report Reference	PARR Schedule 1B.3
Report Purpose	To identify the shipper performance of the submission of opening meter readings. The failure to provide an opening meter reading will result in the use of an estimated transfer reading.
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The report should identify performance across all market participants.
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly non-cumulative report Shipper Short Code by User, followed by Peer Comparison Identifier % of opening meter reads provided following confirmation. Industry Total
Data inputs to the report	Shipper Short Code Count of MPRNs being confirmed. Count of accepted opening reads provided by shippers Industry Total
Number rounding convention	% to 2 decimal places
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12 month view, provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)	The portfolio size is measured as at the last day of the relevant month. Reconfirmations are to be excluded.
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	SSC - alphabetically
History/background	Currently provided to the Regulator and anonymised to the Data Quality Working Group. Engage Risk R8
Additional comments	
Estimated development costs	None – already built and provided to Ofgem.
Estimated on-going costs	None – existing service

Example Report:

<b>Shipper Transfer Read Performance</b>				
Shipper Short Code	Jan	Feb	Mar	[Rank X of XX]
SSC	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	x
Shipper A	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	x
Shipper B	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	x
Industry Total	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-





## Schedule 2A – Industry Peer Comparison View

Report Title	<b>Estimated &amp; Check Reads used for Gas Allocation, and consumption adjustments for Products 1 &amp; 2</b>
Report Reference	PARR Schedule 2A.1
Report Purpose	Daily read estimates for product 1 and 2 are generated to repeat the consumption from a week ago (7 days previously) and where there is no consumption history an estimate of AQ/365 will be used. The use of estimated reads will only materially affect settlement if there is no replacement read within gas flow day+5. The report assesses the impact of estimated reads being used for daily-metered sites at initial allocation and evaluates where check reads are not completed. The report also captures the consumption adjustments post GFD+5
Expected Interpretation of the report results	MPRNs with significant usage can have volatile consumption. Only when an actual read is submitted or when a check read is completed will the correct consumption for a site be determined.
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Month PC1 & PC2 Shipper Short Code Percentage of Estimate Reads by product class Percentage of Check reads not completed by product class PC 1 Consumption Adjustments post GFD +5 PC 2 Consumption Adjustments post GFD +5 Industry Average
Data inputs to the report	Product Class Date Estimate Check Read Read Count Consumption Adjustments Product Classes 1 & 2 Total Read count per shipper
Number rounding convention	Round up to closest whole number
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	Monthly report
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)	A record where a D-7 estimate is used in Product Class 1 or 2 where the DMSP or Shipper fails to provide a read for the day. Only when an actual read is submitted or when a check read is completed will the correct consumption for a site be determined. PC 1 Consumption Adjustments post GFD +5 PC 2 Consumption Adjustments post GFD +5
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Shipper Short Code Alphabetically
History/background	Engage Recommendation Risk R5, R9
Additional comments	
Estimated development costs	
Estimated on-going costs	



Report Title	<b>No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register</b>
Report Reference	PARR Schedule <a href="#">2A.2</a>
Report Purpose	To provide a view of where no meter asset is attached
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The report should identify the number of meter points where no asset is attached. Sites newly connected or temporarily disconnected are excluded.
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly non-cumulative report Shipper Short Code <a href="#">by User report, followed by Peer comparison identifier</a> EUC Band MPRN Count by Product Class where no meter attached Industry Total <a href="#">Peer Ranking [x of xx]</a>
Data inputs to the report	MPRNs where no meter attached to the supply point, and the site has been confirmed for more than six months, or it is more than six months since the meter was removed, split by product class. Split report by EUC and Product Class
Number rounding convention	whole number only
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12 month view, provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)	Exclude sites where it is less than six months since the confirmation effective date and/or it is at least six months after the meter removal date.
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Shipper Short Code Alphabetically
History/background	Engage Recommendation Risk R7, building on Shipper performance packs. <a href="#">GTs have additional reporting on sites where meters removed</a>
Additional comments	
Estimated development costs	
Estimated on-going costs	

No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register	Product Class [X]			<a href="#">EUC Band [X] Rank x of xx</a>
	Jan	Feb	Mar	X
<a href="#">ABCSSC</a>	0	0	0	0
<a href="#">DEFA</a>	0	0	0	0
<a href="#">GHIB</a>	0	0	0	0
Industry Total	0	0	0	0

Report Title	<b>No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register and data flows received by Xoserve</b>
Report Reference	PARR Schedule <a href="#">2A.3</a>
Report Purpose	To extend the view of report PARR 2.2 where no meter asset is attached but Xoserve are receiving data flows implying that a meter is present.
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The report should identify the number of meter points where no asset is attached but industry data flows suggest there is Shipper activity at the site.
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly non-cumulative report Shipper Short Code/ <a href="#">peer comparison identifier</a> MPRN Count by Product Class where data flows received but no meter attached Industry Total <a href="#">Peer ranking [x of xx]</a>
Data inputs to the report	MPRNs where data flows received, but no meter attached to the supply point.
Number rounding convention	whole number only
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12 month view, provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)	The portfolio size is measured as at the last day of the relevant month.
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Shipper Short Code <a href="#">followed by peer comparison ranking, highest to lowest</a> <a href="#">Alphabetically</a>
History/background	Engage Recommendation –Risk R7 , building on Shipper performance packs
Additional comments	
Estimated development costs	
Estimated on-going costs	

No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register	Product Class [X]			
	<del>Jan</del> Rank	<del>Feb</del> Jan	<del>Mar</del> Feb	X
<del>ABC</del> SSC	0	0	0	0
<del>DEF</del> Shipper A	0	0	0	0
<del>GHI</del> Shipper B	0	0	0	0
Industry Total	0	0	0	0

Report Title	<b>Shipper Transfer Read Performance</b>
Report Reference	PARR Schedule <a href="#">2A.4</a>
Report Purpose	To identify the shipper performance of the submission of opening meter readings. The failure to provide an opening meter reading will result in the use of an estimated transfer reading.
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The report should identify performance across all market participants.
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly non-cumulative report Shipper Short Code/ <a href="#">peer comparison identifier</a> % of opening meter reads provided following confirmation. Industry Total <a href="#">Rank x of xx</a>
Data inputs to the report	Shipper Short Code Count of MPRNs being confirmed. Count of accepted opening reads provided by shippers Industry Total
Number rounding convention	% to 2 decimal places
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12 month view, provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)	The portfolio size is measured as at the last day of the relevant month. Reconfirmations are to be excluded.
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Shipper Short Code <a href="#">Alphabetically/peer comparison highest to lowest</a>
History/background	Currently provided to the Regulator and anonymised to the Data Quality Working Group. Engage Risk R8
Additional comments	
Estimated development costs	None – already built and provided to Ofgem.
Estimated on-going costs	None – existing service

<b>Shipper Transfer Read Performance</b>				
Shipper Short Code	Jan	Feb	Mar	[X]
ABC	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
DEF	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
GHI	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Industry Total	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%



Report Title	<b>Meter Read Validity Monitoring</b>
Report Reference	PARR Schedule 2A.6
Report Purpose	To compare shipper meter reading submission performance
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The aim is to understanding whether required UNC requirements are being met. The report should identify performance across all market participants
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly report Shipper Short Code/ <a href="#">peer comparison identifier</a>
Data inputs to the report	Shipper Short Code <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PC2-4 % of reads rejected due to incorrect application of the market breaker/override flag as a % of reads submitted</li> <li>Reads where Logic Check failed as a % of reads submitted.</li> </ul> Industry Total
Number rounding convention	% to 2 decimal places
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12 month view, provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)	The portfolio size is measured as at the last day of the relevant month. The relevant months and targets are defined as:  The report is built based on read submission deadline having been passed by the end of the target reporting month. For example, reads due in January performance will be reported at the end of February.
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Shipper Short Code <a href="#">/peer comparison identifier</a> Alphabetically
History/background	Engage Identified risks regarding meter read validation.
Additional comments	Logic Check refers to the BRD term regarding the validation of data in the U01 Record prior to the validation of the reading itself.  There is no correlation between the different validation failure reasons.  When meter read validation failure occurs individual meter point reconciliation doesn't occur, and the historical AQ remains live. It is likely that as consumption trends are falling, this AQ will be on average higher than actual consumption. The responsible shipper may pay for more gas than the supply point consumes and this will adjust unidentified gas accordingly. A risk to other shippers is created when the shipper pays for less gas than their customers consumes.  The principle risk because of meter read failure is inaccurate AQs and delayed reconciliations. There is a corresponding impact of late reconciliation on the unidentified gas reconciliation energy. This risk affects products 4 only.
Estimated development costs	



Estimated on-going costs	
--------------------------	--

	<a href="#">Peer Ranking-</a>	Reads where logic check* failed as a % of submitted readings.	<a href="#">Peer Ranking</a>	Products, 2, 3 and 4. Reads rejected due to incorrect application of market breaker or override flag as a % of submitted readings.
Shipper A				
Shipper B				
Shipper C				



7F												
EUC Band 8G	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
EUC Band 9H	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Report Title	<b>AQ Corrections</b>
Report Reference	PARR 2A.8
Report Purpose	To provide an overview of the effectiveness of the meter reading process.
Expected Interpretation of the report results	A high proportion of reads requiring the use of the AQ correction process would indicate that the meter reading validation tolerances may need to be reviewed.
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly Report Shipper Short Code/ <a href="#">peer comparison identifier</a> Count of MPRNs where AQ Correction process Used Reason Code for AQ Correction <a href="#">Ranking x of xx</a>
Data inputs to the report	Count of MPRNs where AQ Correction process employed Reason code for AQ Correction
Number rounding convention	Whole number
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	Monthly – non-cumulative
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)	
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	By Shipper short code <a href="#">/peer comparison identifier highest to lowest of current month alphabetically.</a>
History/background	Engage identified risk: Following a correction an updated AQ or SOQ would allow Xoserve to accept future meter reads and use them for individual meter point reconciliation. AQ corrections are likely to be required on increasing AQs as zero consumption is permitted within the Nexus rules. AQ corrections will only affect MPRNs in product 4. Engage Risk R12
Additional comments	
Estimated development costs	
Estimated on-going costs	

[Example Report:](#)

<b>Shipper use of AQ Correction</b>	<a href="#">Reason Code</a>			<a href="#">Ranking [x of xx]</a>
Shipper Short Code	Jan	Feb	Mar	[X]

<a href="#">ABCSSC</a>	0	0	0	0
<a href="#">DEFA</a>	0	0	0	0
<a href="#">GHIB</a>	0	0	0	0
Industry Total	0	0	0	0







## Schedule 2B – Performance Assurance Committee View

<u>Report Title</u>	<u>Estimated &amp; Check Reads used for Gas Allocation, and consumption adjustments for Products 1 &amp; 2</u>
<u>Report Reference</u>	<u>PARR Schedule 2B.1</u>
<u>Report Purpose</u>	<u>Daily read estimates for product 1 and 2 are generated to repeat the consumption from a week ago (7 days previously) and where there is no consumption history an estimate of AQ/365 will be used. The use of estimated reads will only materially affect settlement if there is no replacement read within gas flow day+5. The report assesses the impact of estimated reads being used for daily-metered sites at initial allocation and evaluates where check reads are not completed. The report also captures the consumption adjustments post GFD+5</u>
<u>Expected Interpretation of the report results</u>	<u>MPRNs with significant usage can have volatile consumption. Only when an actual read is submitted or when a check read is completed will the correct consumption for a site be determined.</u>
<u>Report Structure (actual report headings &amp; description of each heading)</u>	<u>Month PC1 &amp; PC2 Shipper Short Code Percentage of Estimate Reads by product class Percentage of Check reads not completed by product class PC 1 Consumption Adjustments post GFD +5 PC 2 Consumption Adjustments post GFD +5 Industry Average</u>
<u>Data inputs to the report</u>	<u>Product Class Date Estimate Check Read Read Count Consumption Adjustments Product Classes 1 &amp; 2 Total Read count per shipper</u>
<u>Number rounding convention</u>	<u>Round up to closest whole number</u>
<u>History (e.g. report builds month on month)</u>	<u>Monthly report</u>
<u>Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)</u>	<u>A record where a D-7 estimate is used in Product Class 1 or 2 where the DMSP or Shipper fails to provide a read for the day. Only when an actual read is submitted or when a check read is completed will the correct consumption for a site be determined. PC 1 Consumption Adjustments post GFD +5 PC 2 Consumption Adjustments post GFD +5</u>
<u>Frequency of the report</u>	<u>Monthly</u>
<u>Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)</u>	<u>Shipper Short Code Alphabetically</u>
<u>History/background</u>	<u>Engage Recommendation Risk R5, R9</u>
<u>Additional comments</u>	
<u>Estimated development costs</u>	
<u>Estimated on-going costs</u>	





<u>Report Title</u>	<b>No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register</b>
<u>Report Reference</u>	<u>PARR Schedule 2B.2</u>
<u>Report Purpose</u>	<u>To provide a view of where no meter asset is attached</u>
<u>Expected Interpretation of the report results</u>	<u>The report should identify the number of meter points where no asset is attached. Sites newly connected or temporarily disconnected are excluded.</u>
<u>Report Structure (actual report headings &amp; description of each heading)</u>	<u>Monthly non-cumulative report</u> <u>Shipper Short Code</u> <u>EUC Band</u> <u>MPRN Count by Product Class where no meter attached</u> <u>Industry Total</u>
<u>Data inputs to the report</u>	<u>MPRNs where no meter attached to the supply point, and the site has been confirmed for more than six months, or it is more than six months since the meter was removed, split by product class. Split report by EUC and Product Class</u>
<u>Number rounding convention</u>	<u>whole number only</u>
<u>History (e.g. report builds month on month)</u>	<u>A Rolling 12 month view, provided monthly</u>
<u>Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)</u>	<u>Exclude sites where it is less than six months since the confirmation effective date and/or it is at least six months after the meter removal date.</u>
<u>Frequency of the report</u>	<u>Monthly</u>
<u>Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)</u>	<u>Shipper Short Code Alphabetically</u>
<u>History/background</u>	<u>Engage Recommendation Risk R7, building on Shipper performance packs</u>
<u>Additional comments</u>	
<u>Estimated development costs</u>	
<u>Estimated on-going costs</u>	

<b>No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register</b>	<b>Product Class [X]</b>			<b>EUC Band [X]</b>
<u>Shipper Short Code</u>	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>X</u>
<u>ABC</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>DEF</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>GHI</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Industry Total</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

<u>Report Title</u>	<b><u>No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register and data flows received by Xoserve</u></b>
<u>Report Reference</u>	<u>PARR Schedule 2B.3</u>
<u>Report Purpose</u>	<u>To extend the view of report PARR 2.2 where no meter asset is attached but Xoserve are receiving data flows implying that a meter is present.</u>
<u>Expected Interpretation of the report results</u>	<u>The report should identify the number of meter points where no asset is attached but industry data flows suggest there is Shipper activity at the site.</u>
<u>Report Structure (actual report headings &amp; description of each heading)</u>	<u>Monthly non-cumulative report</u> <u>Shipper Short Code</u> <u>MPRN Count by Product Class where data flows received but no meter attached</u> <u>Industry Total</u>
<u>Data inputs to the report</u>	<u>MPRNs where data flows received, but no meter attached to the supply point.</u>
<u>Number rounding convention</u>	<u>whole number only</u>
<u>History (e.g. report builds month on month)</u>	<u>A Rolling 12 month view, provided monthly</u>
<u>Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)</u>	<u>The portfolio size is measured as at the last day of the relevant month.</u>
<u>Frequency of the report</u>	<u>Monthly</u>
<u>Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)</u>	<u>Shipper Short Code Alphabetically</u>
<u>History/background</u>	<u>Engage Recommendation –Risk R7 , building on Shipper performance packs</u>
<u>Additional comments</u>	
<u>Estimated development costs</u>	
<u>Estimated on-going costs</u>	

<b><u>No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register</u></b>	<b><u>Product Class [X]</u></b>			
<u>Shipper Short Code</u>	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>X</u>
<u>ABC</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>DEF</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>GHI</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Industry Total</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

Report Title	<b>Shipper Transfer Read Performance</b>
Report Reference	PARR Schedule 2B.4
Report Purpose	To identify the shipper performance of the submission of opening meter readings. The failure to provide an opening meter reading will result in the use of an estimated transfer reading.
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The report should identify performance across all market participants.
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly non-cumulative report Shipper Short Code % of opening meter reads provided following confirmation. Industry Total
Data inputs to the report	Shipper Short Code Count of MPRNs being confirmed. Count of accepted opening reads provided by shippers Industry Total
Number rounding convention	% to 2 decimal places
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12 month view, provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)	The portfolio size is measured as at the last day of the relevant month. Reconfirmations are to be excluded.
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Shipper Short Code Alphabetically
History/background	Currently provided to the Regulator and anonymised to the Data Quality Working Group. Engage Risk R8
Additional comments	
Estimated development costs	None – already built and provided to Ofgem.
Estimated on-going costs	None – existing service

<b>Shipper Transfer Read Performance</b>				
Shipper Short Code	Jan	Feb	Mar	[X]
ABC	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
DEF	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
GHI	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Industry Total	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%



Report Title	<b>Meter Read Validity Monitoring</b>
Report Reference	PARR Schedule 2B.6
Report Purpose	To compare shipper meter reading submission performance
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The aim is to understanding whether required UNC requirements are being met. The report should identify performance across all market participants
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly report Shipper Short Code
Data inputs to the report	Shipper Short Code <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PC2-4 % of reads rejected due to incorrect application of the market breaker/override flag as a % of reads submitted</li> <li>Reads where Logic Check failed as a % of reads submitted.</li> </ul> Industry Total
Number rounding convention	% to 2 decimal places
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12 month view, provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)	The portfolio size is measured as at the last day of the relevant month. The relevant months and targets are defined as:  The report is built based on read submission deadline having been passed by the end of the target reporting month. For example, reads due in January performance will be reported at the end of February.
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Shipper Short Code Alphabetically
History/background	Engage Identified risks regarding meter read validation.
Additional comments	Logic Check refers to the BRD term regarding the validation of data in the U01 Record prior to the validation of the reading itself.  There is no correlation between the different validation failure reasons.  When meter read validation failure occurs individual meter point reconciliation doesn't occur, and the historical AQ remains live. It is likely that as consumption trends are falling, this AQ will be on average higher than actual consumption. The responsible shipper may pay for more gas than the supply point consumes and this will adjust unidentified gas accordingly. A risk to other shippers is created when the shipper pays for less gas than their customers consumes.  The principle risk because of meter read failure is inaccurate AQs and delayed reconciliations. There is a corresponding impact of late reconciliation on the unidentified gas reconciliation energy. This risk affects products 4 only.
Estimated development costs	
Estimated on-going costs	

	<u>Reads where logic check* failed as a % of submitted readings.</u>	<u>Products, 2, 3 and 4. Reads rejected due to incorrect application of market breaker or override flag as a % of submitted readings.</u>
<u>Shipper A</u>		
<u>Shipper B</u>		
<u>Shipper C</u>		





<u>Report Title</u>	<b>AQ Corrections</b>
<u>Report Reference</u>	<u>PARR 2B.8</u>
<u>Report Purpose</u>	<u>To provide an overview of the effectiveness of the meter reading process.</u>
<u>Expected Interpretation of the report results</u>	<u>A high proportion of reads requiring the use of the AQ correction process would indicate that the meter reading validation tolerances may need to be reviewed.</u>
<u>Report Structure (actual report headings &amp; description of each heading)</u>	<u>Monthly Report</u> <u>Shipper Short Code</u> <u>Count of MPRNs where AQ Correction process Used</u> <u>Reason Code for AQ Correction</u>
<u>Data inputs to the report</u>	<u>Count of MPRNs where AQ Correction process employed</u> <u>Reason code for AQ Correction</u>
<u>Number rounding convention</u>	<u>Whole number</u>
<u>History (e.g. report builds month on month)</u>	<u>Monthly – non-cumulative</u>
<u>Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)</u>	
<u>Frequency of the report</u>	<u>Monthly</u>
<u>Soft criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)</u>	<u>By Shipper short code alphabetically.</u>
<u>History/background</u>	<u>Engage identified risk: Following a correction an updated AQ or SOQ would allow Xoserve to accept future meter reads and use them for individual meter point reconciliation. AQ corrections are likely to be required on increasing AQs as zero consumption is permitted within the Nexus rules. AQ corrections will only affect MPRNs in product 4. Engage Risk R12</u>
<u>Additional comments</u>	
<u>Estimated development costs</u>	
<u>Estimated on-going costs</u>	

<b><u>Shipper use of AQ Correction</u></b>				
<u>Shipper Short Code</u>	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>[X]</u>
<u>ABC</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>DEF</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>GHI</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Industry Total</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>



