www.pwc.co.uk

# **Project Nexus**

31 March 2015 Implementation Steering Group



#### **Contents**

- 1. The PwC team supporting you
- 2. Plan on a page
- 3. High-level responsibilities
- 4. Key outputs

This document has been prepared only for Ofgem and solely for the purpose and on the terms agreed with Ofgem in our statement of work and under the framework agreement dated 11th August 2011. We accept no liability (including for negligence) to anyone else in connection with our work or this document.

© 2015 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. All rights reserved. In this document, "PwC" refers to the UK member firm, and may sometimes refer to the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity. Please see <a href="www.pwc.com/structure">www.pwc.com/structure</a> for further details.

## Our plan on a page – a three phase approach

Xoserve Project Plan	Build and development testing					Preparation, cut
		Connectivity	ıd market trials	narket trials over and go live		
		Enter connectivity and industry testing 8 <sup>th</sup> May		Exit Industry tes 31 <sup>st</sup> Au		Go-Live 1 <sup>st</sup> October
	1. Information gathering	2. Assess plan viability and current readiness	3.	Ongoing readiness and progres assessment	s →	Support to Go/ No Go decision
Proposed PwC project lanagement and assurance activity	<ul> <li>Obtain and assess Xoserve project plan and RAID logs</li> <li>Obtain shipper / iGT project plans and RAID logs via:</li> <li>Upfront shipper briefing workshops</li> <li>Web portal data collection</li> <li>1 to 1 conversations and site visits to cover gaps</li> <li>Start development of Go / No Go ('GONG') criteria.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Robustly assess plans against our '10 key questions'.</li> <li>Risk-based follow-up to ensure reliability of information.</li> <li>Determine if 1st October go-live is supportable.</li> <li>Determine alternative options and develop impact assessment. Develop alternative market plan.</li> <li>Engage with Steering Group on findings /options.</li> <li>Continue GONG development.</li> </ul>	shipper  Engage Framev  Commutation briefing  Monitotassessin leverag  Sample	e GONG Framework for adoption by rs and iGTs. e Steering Group to agree GONG work and roll-out plan. unicate GONG Framework, up front gs to engage shippers. or and collate complete Go/No Gonents (inclusive of dry run exercise) ing online data collection portal. e check, via site visits or 1 to 1 conversability of supporting information.	sations	<ul> <li>Collate GONG finding across shippers and iGTs to build final recommendation.</li> <li>Workshop with Implementation Steering Group toward decision and subsequent support requirements</li> </ul>
Key ependencies	<ul> <li>Easily accessible project plans and RAID logs</li> <li>Access to key people</li> </ul>	➤ Effective Implementation Steering Group engagement and decision making	Steerin  Timely	ued engagement of Implementation g Group receipt of assessments and ting documentation from shippers 's	>	Engage with Implementation Steer Group to make final decision
Key Deliverables	Initial market-wide improvement themes	<ul> <li>Clear recommendation on 1<sup>st</sup>         October viability</li> <li>'Next date' options and impact assessment.</li> <li>Realistic project plan and milestones. Up to date RAID.</li> </ul>	via web  > Dry run	a and key milestone readiness nents as agreed – market trials exit a		Final Go / No-Go decision support papers and recommendation

## High-level split of responsibilities and boundaries

Below we set out how we believe responsibilities are assigned for readiness activity. These are high-level and summarise the more in-depth responsibility matrix that is included in the Steering Group materials.

Xoserve	Baringa	Shippers, GTs and iGTs	Project and assurance manager (PwC)	Implementation Steering Group
<ul> <li>Design, build, test and implement the systems to replace UK Link.</li> <li>Develop and score Go / No-Go ('GONG') criteria over their own scope of delivery.</li> <li>Operate their own systems and processes following go-live.</li> </ul>	• Independent programme assurance over Xoserve's own scope of delivery.  • As relevant, assurance over Xoserve's go-live criteria and readiness.  * Given the split of responsibility above, PwC, do not envisage assuring Xoserve's readiness to go-live. We will understand the linkage of Xoserve's criteria with the wider GONG framework that we will develop to ensure that no significant gaps exist.	<ul> <li>Design, build, test and implement the changes required to their own systems in order to operate under the amended UNC.</li> <li>Develop and score GONG criteria over their own scope of delivery.</li> <li>Operate their own systems and processes following go live.</li> <li>Development of alternative implementation strategies in the event of a No-Go decision.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Objectively establish the level of delivery plan alignment* with the first 1st October 2015 go-live.</li> <li>Develop GONG framework / criteria for Shipper/iGT readiness and recommend to the Steering Group.</li> <li>Monitor readiness* against the GONG criteria.</li> <li>Conduct final GONG assessment* for consideration by the Steering Group.</li> <li>Facilitation of development of alternative options in the event of a No-Go decision.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recommend GONG criteria, revised plans or mitigation action for approval by Ofgem.</li> <li>Recommend an overall GONG decision, based on a market-wide view of readiness across Xoserve, Shippers, GTs and iGTs.</li> </ul>

Our discussions to date indicate we should focus our activity on **shipper readiness**, with **iGTs** also highlighted for consideration\*.

### Our key outputs and how these will assist your decision making

#### **Key deliverables: Outcomes:** A trusted view that is founded on the actual evidence A rigorous, **Initial** plan independent available. Practical improvement themes and assessment and 1 recommendations that allow Project Nexus to move view on O1 October decision October goforward at pace. (phase 2) live viability Alternative dates and Clear options Clear, fact-based decision making. Certainty that the impact analysis next best date will be selected and is realistic. and decision making (phase 2) Realistic market Confidence in a plan with clearly defined milestones A credible. wide plan that aligns all participants. All issues and complete and dependencies are identified. realistic plan (phase 2) Go / No-Go Transparency over the readiness of each party, with Framework and full confidence in the data supporting the framework. Clear criteria for assessment Remediation and acceleration can be targeted at decision making parties that need support. (phase 1 to 3)