

Representation

Draft Modification Report

0416S: Extending the data provision permissions created by Modification 0279 regarding historic asset and read data provision

Consultation close out date: 07 September 2012
Respond to: enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
Organisation: SSE
Representative: Mark Jones
Date of Representation: 07 September 2012

Do you support or oppose implementation?

Support.

Please summarise (in one paragraph) the key reason(s) for your support/opposition.

The provision of historical data on a quicker and more frequent basis to shippers will enable data errors to be corrected in a timelier manner and will result in improved overall data quality within the gas industry and a better AQ Review process.

Are there any new or additional issues that you believe should be recorded in the Modification Report?

No.

Self Governance Statement:

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's decision that this should be a self-governance modification?

Yes.

Relevant Objectives:

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

We agree with the proposer that the provision of this information, which would lead to better data quality, will help secure effective competition between shippers and also will promote efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code. Shippers, on acquiring new sites, will be more confident that any data anomalies can be corrected quicker.

0416S
Representation
07 September 2012

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 2

© 2012 all rights reserved

Impacts and Costs:

What analysis, development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification were implemented?

None identified.

Implementation:

What lead-time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

The modification could be implemented in line with the timescales in the proposal.

Legal Text:

Are you satisfied that the legal text [and the proposed ACS (see www.gasgovernance.co.uk/proposedACS)] will deliver the intent of the modification?

Yes.

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

Please provide any additional comments, supporting analysis, or other information that that you believe should be taken into account or you wish to emphasise.

No.