Shipper	Name	Date	Accept /Reject	Publish	Shipper Comments	
npower	David Mayne	03/01/07	Reject	Yes	We find neither option to be suitable for us and so vote against this CP. While we support the clarification of data flows in principle in this instance the required data can be populated from careful use of the existing flow. Therefore this change has little benefit and we do not support it	Thank you for your response and comments.
SSE	Cher Harris	04/01/07	Accept	Yes	We support Option 1 only. As this proposal requires us to make system changes, we would insist on 6 months notice of implementation.	Thank you for your response and comments.
Centrica	Graham Wood	05/01/07	N/A	N/A	I can advise that BGT agree with the principle of amending the CSEP Invoice File Formats, however at this time we have some queries over the detail of the options raised which we will be addressing direct with Xoserve at a meeting on Monday	Thank you for your response and comments.
Shell	Bhavisha Vara	05/01/07	N/A	N/A	Shells preferred option is Option 1:- add the Invoice Number to each LMN on the detailed supporting information known as D38 record.	Thank you for your response and comments.
Scottish Power	Karen Kennedy	29/12/06	N/A	N/A	ScottishPower <b>support</b> Option 2. We believe that it will separate out each charge code & make it more straightforward when it comes to reporting or analysis of the CSEP invoice.	Thank you for your response and comments.