

# UNC SECTION Q REVIEW PROPOSAL No.00xx

Proposal to establish a Review Group to assess the content and structure of UNC Section Q in regard to its alignment with primary & secondary legislation and industry agreed procedures.

Draft v0.1

**Date:** 27/09/2005

**Urgency:** Review

## **Nature and Purpose of Review**

The establishment of a Review Group is proposed, to consider whether any changes to UNC Section Q “Emergencies” are needed to aid understanding of the actions and responsibilities outlined in it and to ensure that this section is aligned with primary & secondary legislation and industry agreed procedures.

Ofgem made reference to a lack of clarity within certain parts of Section Q in its decision letter on UNC Modification Proposal 0021 “Revision of the Emergency Cash-out Arrangements”. Ofgem also welcomed the intention by Transco NTS to seek a review of this Section of the UNC. As such, Ofgem has indicated that it would welcome such a review being completed prior to Winter 2006-2007.

Excerpts from Ofgem’s decision letter on UNC Modification Proposal 0021 are as follows -

Page 10:

- “Section Q clarification

Several respondents against implementation of the proposal were of the view that section Q of the UNC is unclear in relation to whether Transco NTS can take a market balancing action for the purpose of the EIV in a GDE because section Q 3.2.2 of the UNC seems to prohibit it. They also considered that although the revised legal text provided by Transco NTS went some way to addressing this, a closer inspection of section Q is needed.”

Page 25:

- “Furthermore, Ofgem considers that if the NEC is to have the ability to retain the OCM, its use of this option must be in accordance with clear criteria to reduce the potential for confusion in the operation of the emergency arrangements. Ofgem considers that this issue merits further consideration by market participants.”

## **Code Concerned, sections and paragraphs**

### **Section of Uniform Network Code**

UNC Section Q “Emergencies”

### **Proposed Timetable**

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Date</b>
Review Proposal submitted to Panel	20 <sup>th</sup> Oct 2005
TOR and Attendees agreed by Transmission Workstream	3 <sup>rd</sup> Nov 2005
TOR and Attendees submitted to Panel	10 <sup>th</sup> Nov 2005
TOR and Attendees agreed by Panel	17 <sup>th</sup> Nov 2005
Review Group meetings commence	Dec 2005
Review Group report submitted to Panel	18 <sup>th</sup> May 2006

### **Proposer's Representative**

Ritchard Hewitt

### **Proposer**

Richard Court

### **Signature**

.....