

Stage 02: Workgroup Report

0374:

# Interruptible to Firm – Supply Point Transition

The Proposal sets out a "soft landing" for those sites being forced to transfer from Interruptible to "Firm" Status with effect from  $1^{St}$  October 2011.



The Workgroup recommends that 0374....



High Impact: None



Medium Impact:

None



Low Impact:

SPA transactions for c.1,150 interruptible supply points

What stage is this document in the process?



Proposal



Workgroup Report



3 Praft Modification Report



Final Modification Report

0374

Workgroup Report

31 March 2011

Version 0.1 Draft

Page 1 of 11

#### Contents

- 1 Summary
- 2 Why Change?
- 3 Solution
- 4 Relevant Objectives
- 5 **Impacts and Costs**
- 6 Implementation
- 7 The Case for Change
- 8 Legal Text
- 9 Recommendation

## About this document:

The purpose of this report is make a recommendation to the Panel, to be held on XX XXXX 201X, on whether Modification 0374 is sufficiently developed to proceed to the Consultation Phase and to submit any further recommendations in respect of the definition and assessment of this modification.



**Any questions?** 

Contact:

**Joint Office** 

enquiries@gasgo

6 vernance.co.uk

11

0121 623 2115 10

Proposer 0367:

11 **Alan Raper** 

alan.raper@uk.n

11 grid.com



**Steve Mulinganie Regulatory Manager Gazprom Marketing** & Trading Retail Limited





xoserve: **Alison Jennings** 





0374

Workgroup Report

31 March 2011

Version 0.1 Draft

Page 2 of 11

## 1 Summary

#### Is this a Self-Governance Modification

The Modification Panel did not determine that this modification should follow the self-governance route.

#### Why Change?

This modification has been raised because customers will be exposed to ratchets without an appropriate period to verify peak load requirements and make appropriate amendments without being subject to penal charges. This approach is also consistent with the approach taken when introducing the new DME product (Modification 0224) which allowed an appropriate period to verify peak load before becoming subject to the ratchet charges.

#### **Solution**

This modification proposes that ratchet charges will not be levied for a Site transferring from Interruptible point status to a Firm Daily Metered Meter Point from  $1^{st}$  April 2011 until 30 September 2012

#### **Impacts & Costs**

It is anticipated that costs will be minimal as the proposal is to suppress the submission of Ratchet Charges and not alter the existing process in any way.

## **Implementation**

It is proposed that the modification is implemented as soon as possible to provide surety to industry participants as to how they will be treated during the transition from Interruptible to Firm.

#### The Case for Change

Protection from ratchet charges for one year is proposed in the interests of allowing Consumers forced to change from Interruptible to Firm status time to adjust to the new regime.

The approach is consistent with previous changes including the recent introduction of the Daily Metered Elective Product. As Consumers are being forced to change products it is likely that many will take the opportunity to review existing supply arrangements and this may lead to more switching taking place in the run up to October.

This could lead to timing issues when trying to ensure the appropriate SOQs are set as the Appeals process will need to be utilised and potential Network Analysis undertaken if the existing SOQs are altered to reflect current requirements.

With the level of work required to transfer sites along with the potential embargo on registration and the default transfer of Consumers on existing data as a back stop (as proposed in Modification 0367), it is important to provide protection to Consumers in the first year of being transferred to a Firm load.

#### Recommendations

The Workgroup recommends that [].



"Mod 90" or "interruption reform"

"Mod 90" introduced new arrangements that removed the "ondemand" interruptible service from the UNC. With effect from 1 Oct 2011, where a DNO has a requirement for interruption, this will be sourced through an auction process

0374

Workgroup Report

31 March 2011

Version 0.1 Draft

Page 3 of 11

## 2 Why Change?

This modification has been raised to provide a soft landing when interruptible loads must transition to firm status on or before 1 October 2011. This change is event related and the rules introduced will be transitional in nature; implementation will have no enduring effect on the operation of the Uniform Network Code.

For sites being mandated to transition from Interruptible to Firm it avoids the risk of exposure to ratchets for the initial 12 month period which will allow Customers an appropriate period to verify peak load requirements without being subject to penal charges.

0374

Workgroup Report

31 March 2011

Version 0.1 Draft

Page 4 of 11

# 3 Solution

#### **DM Ratchets**

This modification proposes that ratchet charges will not be levied for a Site transferring from Interruptible meter point status to a Firm Daily Metered Meter Point from  $1^{st}$  April 2011 until 30 September 2012

0374

Workgroup Report

31 March 2011

Version 0.1 Draft

Page 5 of 11

# 4 Relevant Objectives

Implementation will better facilitate the achievement of **Relevant Objective f.** 

Proposer's view of the benefits of 0367 against the Code Relevant Objectives	
Description of Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipeline system.	No
<ul><li>b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of</li><li>(i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or</li><li>(ii) the pipeline system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.</li></ul>	No
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	No
d) Securing of effective competition:  (i) between relevant shippers;  (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or  (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	No
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	No
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Yes

Allowing a soft landing which protects consumers who may not be familiar with the forthcoming changes is consistent with efficient implementation of the UNC.

Workgroup Report
31 March 2011
Version 0.1 Draft
Page 6 of 11
© 2011 all rights reserved

# **5** Impacts and Costs

#### **Costs**

It is anticipated that costs will be minimal as the proposal is for Charges to be suppressed and this is consistent with the approach taken elsewhere e.g. DME. Therefore an existing process exists for managing suppression which can be extended to cover these sites.

## **Impacts**

Impact on Transporters' Systems and Process	
Transporters' System/Process	Potential impact
UK Link	None
Operational Processes	Manual suppression of Ratchet charges will be required
User Pays implications	None

Impact on Users	
Area of Users' business	Potential impact
Administrative and operational	• None
Development, capital and operating costs	• None
Contractual risks	• None
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	None envisaged

Impact on Transporters	
Area of Transporters' business	Potential impact
System operation	• None
Development, capital and operating costs	• None
Recovery of costs	• None
Price regulation	• None
Contractual risks	• None
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	• None
Standards of service	• None

0374

Workgroup Report

31 March 2011

Version 0.1 Draft

Page 7 of 11

Impact on Code Administration	
Area of Code Administration	Potential impact
Modification Rules	• None
UNC Committees	• None
General administration	• None

Impact on Code	
Code section	Potential impact
Transition Document IIC	Additional paragraph to be added.

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Related Document	Potential impact
Network Entry Agreement (TPD I1.3)	None
Network Exit Agreement (Including Connected System Exit Points) (TPD J1.5.4)	
Storage Connection Agreement (TPD R1.3.1)	None
UK Link Manual (TPD U1.4)	None
Network Code Operations Reporting Manual (TPD V12)	None
Network Code Validation Rules (TPD V12)	None
ECQ Methodology (TPD V12)	None
Measurement Error Notification Guidelines (TPD V12)	None
Energy Balancing Credit Rules (TPD X2.1)	None
Uniform Network Code Standards of Service (Various)	None

Impact on Core Industry Documents and other documents	
Document Potential impact	
Safety Case or other document under Gas Safety (Management) Regulations	None
Gas Transporter Licence	None

0374

Workgroup Report

31 March 2011

Version 0.1 Draft

Page 8 of 11

Other Impacts	
Item impacted	Potential impact
Security of Supply	None
Operation of the Total System	None
Industry fragmentation	None
Terminal operators, consumers, connected system operators, suppliers, producers and other non code parties	

0374

Workgroup Report

31 March 2011

Version 0.1 Draft

Page 9 of 11

# **6** Implementation

It is proposed that the modification be implemented as soon as possible to provide surety to industry participants as to how Consumers will be treated from October 2011.

0374

Workgroup Report

31 March 2011

Version 0.1 Draft

Page 10 of 11

## 7 The Case for Change

In addition to that identified the above, the following has been identified:

#### **Advantages**

Consumers who are being forced to switch are protected from Ratchet charges for a reasonable period which will allow them to establish appropriate SOQ levels without suffering penal charges

The approach being proposed is consistent with the protection afforded customers voluntarily accessing the DME product and allows an appropriate time for customers to establish suitable levels of SOQ.

Consumers will be provided with a window during which they can establish appropriate levels for SOQ with the relevant Transporter e.g. appeals, re-enforcement works etc.

#### **Disadvantages**

Consumers will not be charged for Ratchets which occur during the "soft landing" window.

## 8 Legal Text

Text, either suggested or formal, should be inserted at this point. The status of this text should also be stated.

## **Insert subheading here**

Insert text here

#### 9 Recommendation

The Workgroup invites the Panel to:

- AGREE that Modification 0374 be submitted for consultation; and
- AGREE that Code Administrators should issue the 0374 Draft Modification Report for consultation with a close-out of xx month 2011 and submit results to the Panel to consider at its meeting on [Panel meeting date 2011].

0374

Workgroup Report

31 March 2011

Version 0.1 Draft

Page 11 of 11