Stage 02: Workgroup Report

0422:

Creating the permission to release data to Meter Asset Provider organisations

At what stage is this document in the process?

01 Modification

02 Workgroup Report

03 Draft Modification Report

04 Final Modification Report

This modification seeks to create the permissions for supply point data to be provided to Meter Asset Provider (MAP) organisations. An enquiring MAP would submit a portfolio of meter point reference numbers against which data can be provided.



The Workgroup recommends that this modification should proceed to Consultation



High Impact: None



Medium Impact: None



Low Impact:

Shippers and Transporters

0422

Workgroup Report

20 June 2012

Version 0.2

Page 1 of 9

Contents

- **1** Summary
- **2** Why Change?
- **3** Solution
- 4 Relevant Objectives
- 5 Impacts and Costs
- **6** Implementation
- **7** The Case for Change
- 8 Legal Text
- **9** Recommendation



Any questions?

Contact:

Joint Office

enquiries@gasgo vernance.co.uk

6 9 **0**121 623 2115

9 Proposer:

Brian Durber

brian.durber@eonene rgy.com

About this document:

The purpose of this report is make a recommendation to the Panel, to be held on 20 September 2012, on whether Modification 0422 should proceed to consultation and to submit any further recommendations in respect of the assessment of this modification.



9

01538 386 923

Transporter: **Scotia Gas Networks**

Xoserve: **Andy Miller**



commercial.enquiries

@xoserve.com



0121 623 2348

0422

Workgroup Report

20 June 2012

Version 0.2

Page 2 of 9

1 Summary

Is this a Self-Governance Modification

The Modification Panel determined that this modification should not follow Self-Governance procedures.

Why Change?

Currently the UNC only permits the release of certain data to certain parties. MAPs are not currently permitted access to any of the Sites and Meter's supply point data and the implementation of this modification would provide for the release of data to an enquiring MAP.

Solution

The solution is an amendment to UNC TPD Section V5 (Protected Information) to permit the release of the data in question to an enquiring MAP. The enquiring MAP must submit the MPRN for the data request.

The MAP organisations are held on SPAA market domain data

http://www.spaa.co.uk/documents/mdd/current-version

Only MAP organisations held within the SPAA records will be permitted to request the information.

Impacts & Costs

There are no costs associated with this modification as it seeks to permit the release of data. Any delivery costs would be borne by the MAP organisations.

Implementation

No timetable for implementation is proposed.

The Case for Change

MAPs have advised that the data flows between the relevant parties (suppliers and MAMs) are not occurring to the extent required by MAPs to operate their businesses. MAPs have suggested that they may need to shorten the lifetime asset recovery timescale and increase charges to cover this shorter period due to losses as a result of either lost assets or unknown suppliers. The MAPs are keen to address this issue now before more expensive smart meters are rolled out.

Recommendations

The Workgroup recommends that this modification should now proceed to consultation.

2 Why Change?

MAPs have advised that the data flows between the relevant parties (suppliers and MAMs to MAPs) are not occurring to the extent required by MAPs to operate their businesses efficiently.

MAPs have suggested that they may need to shorten the lifetime asset recovery timescale and increase charges to cover this shorter period due to losses as a result of either lost assets or unknown suppliers. Improved efficiency of meter provision arrangements should lead to lower overall metering costs for trading parties. The MAPs are keen to address this issue now before more expensive smart meters are rolled out.

0422

Workgroup Report

20 June 2012

Version 0.2

Page 3 of 9

3 Solution

TPD Section V of the UNC is amended to explicitly permit the release of the following data (the information) to a Meter Asset Provider (MAP) organisation..

- Asset data
 - Meter Serial Number
 - Meter Model
 - MAM id
 - Meter installation date
 - Meter removal date
- Supply meter point data
 - Meter point status
 - GT id
- Supply data
 - Supplier id and full name
 - Confirmation effective date

The information is requested where the MAP has been unable to obtain data from industry data flows between Suppliers, Meter Asset Managers and MAPs.

The relevant section of the UNC is amended to define the Meter Asset Provider (MAP)

TPD Section V of the UNC is amended to require a MAP to enter into a confidentiality agreement with the Transporters on terms no less onerous than those of TPD Section V5, but including clauses detailing the data and permitted purpose, and clauses to include enforcement of the confidentiality agreement by a User under the Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999

To enable UNC parties to see the purpose behind this modification and supporting processes the following information has been included but does not form part of the modification or legal text.

Following this modification to the UNC, it will then be the case that a MAP can enter into the confidentiality agreement with the Transporters to obtain access rights to the data, and a contract with Xoserve for the provision of the service. Only MAP organisations registered on the SPAA records will be permitted to request the information.

One of the conditions of this contract will be for the MAP to forecast a demand for this service based upon their portfolio of meters as may change from time to time. The MAP can then submit MPRN information to Xoserve (as the Gas Transporters service provider) and, following relevant control checks e.g. the existence of a signed confidentiality agreement, be provided with the permitted data as held on the UK Link systems. It is anticipated that both the terms of the confidentiality agreement and the terms of the contract with Xoserve will be in the public domain.

0422

Workgroup Report

20 June 2012

Version 0.2

Page 4 of 9

4 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None identified
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of(i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or(ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None identified
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None identified
 d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers. 	Potential impact
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None identified
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	None identified
g) compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators	None identified

d) Securing of effective competition

This change will improve competition between shippers/suppliers by the provision of timely data to the MAP organisation as it will reduce, in some circumstances, unknown or potentially misallocated costs being incurred by suppliers. Improved efficiency of meter provision arrangements should lead to lower overall metering costs. Whilst not directly benefitting UNC parties this modification will improve the efficiency of the operation of the wider gas industry, reducing industry costs and improving services to consumers.

Workgroup Report
20 June 2012
Version 0.2
Page 5 of 9
© 2012 all rights reserved

5 Impacts and Costs

Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

There are no impacts to wider industry development.

Costs

Indicative industry costs – User Pays

Classification of the modification as User Pays or not and justification for classification

This is not a User Pays modification.

Identification of Users, proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and justification

Not applicable

Proposed charge(s) for application of Users Pays charges to Shippers

Not applicable

Proposed charge for inclusion in ACS – to be completed upon receipt of cost estimate from Xoserve

Not applicable

Impacts

Impact on Transporters' Systems and Process	
Transporters' System/Process	Potential impact
UK Link	• None
Operational Processes	• None
User Pays implications	• None

Impact on Users	
Area of Users' business	Potential impact
Administrative and operational	• None
Development, capital and operating costs	• None
Contractual risks	• None
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	• None

0422

Workgroup Report

20 June 2012

Version 0.2

Page 6 of 9

Impact on Transporters	
Area of Transporters' business	Potential impact
System operation	• None
Development, capital and operating costs	• None
Recovery of costs	• None
Price regulation	• None
Contractual risks	• None
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	• None
Standards of service	• None

Impact on Code Administration	
Area of Code Administration	Potential impact
Modification Rules	• None
UNC Committees	• None
General administration	• None

Impact on Code	
Code section	Potential impact
Section V	Amendment to permit the release of data
Defined terms	Definition of MAP organisation

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Related Document	Potential impact
Network Entry Agreement (TPD I1.3)	• None
Network Exit Agreement (Including Connected System Exit Points) (TPD J1.5.4)	• None
Storage Connection Agreement (TPD R1.3.1)	• None
UK Link Manual (TPD U1.4)	• None
Network Code Operations Reporting Manual (TPD V12)	• None



Where can I find details of the UNC Standards of Service?

In the Revised FMR for Transco's Network Code Modification

0565 Transco
Proposal for
Revision of
Network Code
Standards of
Service at the

following location:

www.gasgovernance.c o.uk/sites/default/files /0565.zip

0422
Workgroup Report
20 June 2012
Version 0.2
Page 7 of 9
© 2012 all rights reserved

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Network Code Validation Rules (TPD V12)	• None
ECQ Methodology (TPD V12)	• None
Measurement Error Notification Guidelines (TPD V12)	• None
Energy Balancing Credit Rules (TPD X2.1)	• None
Uniform Network Code Standards of Service (Various)	• None

Impact on Core Industry Documents and other documents	
Document	Potential impact
Safety Case or other document under Gas Safety (Management) Regulations	• None
Gas Transporter Licence	• None

Other Impacts	
Item impacted	Potential impact
Security of Supply	• None
Operation of the Total System	• None
Industry fragmentation	• None
Terminal operators, consumers, connected system operators, suppliers, producers and other non code parties	 This modification will improve the efficiency of operation of the MAP and supplier organisations. This efficiency improvement should lead to improvements in customer service in the wider gas industry.

0422

Workgroup Report

20 June 2012

Version 0.2

Page 8 of 9

6 Implementation

No timetable for implementation is proposed.

7 The Case for Change

There are no further additions to the case for change outlined above.

8 Legal Text

To be provided by Scotia Gas Networks

9 Recommendation

The Workgroup invites the Panel to:

• AGREE that this modification should be submitted for consultation.

0422

Workgroup Report

20 June 2012

Version 0.2

Page 9 of 9