

# Stage 02: Workgroup Report

0426

Amendment to the NTS System Entry Overrun Charge

At what stage is this document in the process?



02 Workgroup Report

03 Draft Modification Report

Final Modification Report

This modification seeks to remove a potential scenario whereby a User may generate a chargeable System Entry overrun quantity and not incur a System Entry Overrun Charge.



The Workgroup recommends that this modification should proceed to Consultation.



High Impact: -



Medium Impact: National Grid NTS and Users



Low Impact: -

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 16

## Contents

- 1 Summary
- 2 Why Change?
- 3 Solution
- **4 Relevant Objectives**
- **5** Impacts and Costs
- **6 Implementation**
- **7** The Case for Change
- **8 Legal Text**
- 9 Recommendation

# 8

3 Any questions?

Contact:

**Joint Office** 

enquiries@gasgo vernance.co.uk

10

14

0121 623 2115

Proposer:

14 Lesley Ramsey

15 Lesley.Ramsey@uk

16 .ngrid.com

# About this document:

The purpose of this report is make a recommendation to the Panel, to be held on 19 July 2012, on whether Modification 0426 should proceed to consultation and to submit any further recommendations in respect of the assessment of this modification.



Transporter: **National Grid NTS** 

Xoserve:



<u>commercial.enquiries</u> <u>@xoserve.com</u>

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 16

# 1 Summary

#### **Is this a Self-Governance Modification?**

The Modification Panel determined that this is not a self-governance modification.

## Why Change?

A zero or no Overrun Charge may be generated where either all the NTS Entry Capacity allocated at an ASEP is at zero price or where no NTS Entry Capacity has been booked at an ASEP on a Gas Flow Day by any User. This weakens the incentive on Users to purchase NTS Entry Capacity consistent with their entry flow requirements, and the scenario has been observed on a number of occasions.

#### **Solution**

The proposed solution is to amend UNC TPD (Section B 2.12.3), the calculation of the System Entry Overrun Charge, to ensure a non-zero Overrun Charge applies to all instances where an entry overrun occurs.

This Modification retains the existing NTS Entry Capacity overrun calculation and includes the Monthly system Entry Capacity (MSEC) reserve price at the ASEP at which the overrun occurs, to the criteria for determining the overrun price as below:

Therefore it is proposed that the System Entry Overrun Charge shall be calculated as the amount of the overrun quantity multiplied by whichever is the greatest of:

- a) (8 \* A), where 'A' is the highest bid price in relation to a capacity bid in respect of which NTS Entry Capacity was allocated following any NTS Entry Capacity Release mechanism for that ASEP
- b) (1.1\* B), where 'B' is the relevant average accepted offer price;
- c) (1.1\* C), where 'C' is the relevant average accepted forward price;
- d) (1.1\* D), where 'D' is the relevant average accepted exercise price;
- e) (1.1\* E), where 'E' is the highest unit price accepted by National Grid NTS; and
- f) (8 \* F), where 'F' is the NTS Entry Capacity reserve price as defined in paragraph 2.2.3 (b), at that ASEP, applicable on the Day the overrun occurs,

where (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) are calculated by reference to information available to National Grid NTS at 02:00 hours on the relevant Day.

## **Impacts and Costs**

The impact of the modification would be that, where a User overruns, an overrun charge is generated and that charge will not be zero

This modification would result in changes to the Gemini system – the modification has been raised as a User Pays Modification. System implementation costs are expected to be between £86k and £102k. Implementation may provide benefit for both Shippers and National Grid NTS, and the proposed cost apportionment is Transporters 50%/Shippers 50%.

## **Implementation**

No implementation timescale is proposed. However, if this modification is approved implementation will follow the completion of the System changes. The Workgroup recommends that Xoserve should identify any opportunities to implement the change alongside other requirements with a view to benefiting from economies of scope and so reducing costs.

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 3 of 16

# **The Case for Change**

The System Entry Overrun Charge should encourage Users to book sufficient NTS Entry Capacity to cover their gas flow requirements - the "ticket to ride" principle. Implementation would ensure a positive overrun charge applies in all instances.

## **Recommendations**

The Workgroup recommends that this modification should now proceed to consultation.

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 4 of 16

# 2 Why Change?

Where a User delivers gas onto the System over a Gas Day at an ASEP that is in excess of their aggregate Available NTS Entry Capacity, that User incurs a System Entry Overrun Charge. The existence of a System Entry Overrun Charge encourages Users to purchase NTS Entry Capacity consistent with their flow requirement; this is known as the "ticket to ride" principle.

An entry overrun quantity as determined under UNC TPD B2.12.2 is, in respect of a User at an ASEP for any Gas Flow Day, the amount by which the sum of the User's UDQIs on that Day in respect of each System Entry Point comprised in the ASEP exceeds the sum of the User's Fully Adjusted Available NTS Entry Capacity.

UNC TPD B2.12.3 states that the System Entry Overrun Charge shall be calculated as the amount of the overrun quantity multiplied by whichever is the greatest of:

- a) (8 \* A), where 'A' is the highest bid price in relation to a capacity bid in respect of which NTS Entry Capacity was allocated following an invitation under any Annual NTS Entry Capacity, Rolling Monthly NTS Entry Capacity, or Daily NTS Entry Capacity auctions
- b) (1.1\* B), where 'B' is the relevant average accepted offer price;
- c) (1.1\* C), where 'C' is the relevant average accepted forward price;
- d) (1.1\* D), where 'D' is the relevant average accepted exercise price; and
- e) (1.1\* E), where 'E' is the highest unit price accepted by National Grid NTS;

where (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) are calculated by reference to information available to National Grid NTS at 02:00 hours on the relevant Day.

However the UNC rules as outlined in TPD B2.12.3 above may lead to the following unintended consequences:

- where all NTS Entry Capacity held at an ASEP on a Gas Flow Day has been bought
  at zero price (assuming there is no offer price, forward price or exercise price), a
  zero overrun charge being generated; and
- where there is no NTS Entry Capacity booked at an ASEP on a Gas Flow Day by any User, no overrun charges being created.

There have been a number of instances where Users have generated System Entry Overruns and incurred either a zero or no overrun charge, which weakens the incentive on Users to procure NTS Entry Capacity in line with their gas flow requirements undermining the "ticket to ride" principle. Recent instances and their scale are summarised in the following table.



#### **Background**

#### Q What is a UDQI?

User Daily Quantity
Input (UDQI) is the
quantity of gas treated
as delivered by a User
to the Total System on
that day at a system
entry point.

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 5 of 16

Year	No. of instances of overruns incurring a zero / non overrun charge	Maximum Overrun Quantity GWh	Total Overrun Charges incurred	Estimated *Total of Overrun Charges applied under modification 0426
2008	34	2.2	0	£4,414
2009	9	5.6	0	£8,757
2010	27	10.7	0	£10,953
2011	6	17.1	0	£13,324

<sup>\*</sup> Based on current MSEC Reserve Prices

Source: National Grid NTS

The possibility of entry overruns with either a zero or no overrun charge being generated may increase following the introduction of the interruptible reverse flow service at Moffat ASEP (Modification 0352). This is because only Interruptible NTS Entry Capacity, with a zero reserve price, may be offered. As part of the Modification 0352 process, industry parties (including Ofgem) raised this issue and National Grid NTS signalled that it would seek to address the issue.

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 6 of 16

## 3 Solution

This Modification will amend the current calculation of the System Entry Overrun Charge by adding a further price to the current list of overrun prices. The addition of the NTS Entry Capacity reserve price (i.e. the AMSEC reserve price) will effectively act as a default price where none of the others prices are applicable or generate a zero or no overrun charge. The additional overrun price proposed is 8\* NTS Entry Capacity reserve price.

National Grid NTS publish all relevant MSEC reserve prices within "The Statement of Gas Transmission Transportation Charges":

http://www.nationalgrid.com/NR/rdonlyres/BC4BF846-44D8-4DBC-926F-E36C8001FBE2/47516/TransmissionTransportationChargesApr2011R2.pdf

The System Entry Overrun Charge shall be calculated as the amount of the overrun quantity multiplied by whichever is the greatest of:

- a) (8 \* A), where 'A' is the highest bid price in relation to a capacity bid in respect of which NTS Entry Capacity was allocated following any NTS Entry Capacity Release mechanism for that ASEP
- b) (1.1\* B), where 'B' is the relevant average accepted offer price;
- c) (1.1\* C), where 'C' is the relevant average accepted forward price;
- d) (1.1\* D), where 'D' is the relevant average accepted exercise price;
- e) (1.1\* E), where 'E' is the highest unit price accepted by National Grid NTS; and
- **f)** (8 \* F), where 'F' is the NTS Entry Capacity reserve price, in accordance with paragraph 2.2.3 (b), at that ASEP, applicable on the day the overrun occurs,

where (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) and **(f)** are calculated by reference to information available to National Grid NTS at 02:00 hours on the relevant Day.

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 7 of 16

# **4 Relevant Objectives**

Imp	pact of the modification on the <b>Relevant Objectives</b> :	
Rel	evant Objective	Identified impact
a)	Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	Positive
b)	Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of  (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or  (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c)	Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	Positive
d)	Securing of effective competition:  (i) between relevant shippers;  (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or  (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	Positive
e)	Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f)	Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	None
g)	Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

By incentivising Users to book their capacity requirements when there would otherwise be no overrun charge, better information may be provided to National Grid NTS regarding Users' intended use of the National Transmission System. This would enable National Grid NTS to plan the operation of the System accordingly, and so facilitate efficient and economic operation of the system.

In addition to improved signals of short term capacity requirements in support of system operation, incentivising appropriate capacity bookings would also be expected to improve the information available in support of network planning decisions. Implementation would therefore also be consistent with facilitating National Grid NTS's licence obligations with respective to economic and efficient system development.

A fundamental principle that supports the development of effective competition is that parties should face the costs for which they are responsible. Implementation of this modification would ensure that Users pay for the use of the system when no capacity has been booked and so could be expected to improve the allocation of costs between Users. However, in their decision letter rejecting Modification 0119 (Amendment to the Entry Overrun Charge) Ofgem concluded that:

0426
Workgroup Report
02 August 2012
Version 1.0
Page 8 of 16

"Furthermore, the inclusion of the highest reserve price specified in the auction invitation, in determining the overrun price, is problematic as it does not relate to the possible costs incurred by NGG as a result of overruns. This lack of reflecting the possible costs incurred as a result of an overrun means that a shipper which is penalised in this manner is likely to pay a different charge than the cost their actions resulted in. This would put such a shipper at a disadvantage to other shippers when the penalty is higher than the actual costs incurred as a result of the overrun, and vice versa."

The foremost objective of Modification 0426 is to incentivise Users to book sufficient NTS Entry Capacity for their flow requirements, which reflects Ofgem's definition of why an overrun charge is set, as detailed in its decision letter on Modification 0341(Manifest Errors in Entry Capacity Overruns) "The overrun charge is set at a rate to encourage users to purchase NTS entry capacity consistent with their flow requirements". The Workgroup is satisfied that Modification 0426 will support incentivising appropriate booking behaviour and so further the "ticket to ride" principles of the capacity regime.

Whilst the Workgroup appreciates the importance of cost reflectivity across the regime, it is its view that the overrun charging components (both those existing and that proposed in this Modification) are primarily an incentive for Shippers to purchase capacity consistent with their flow requirements and therefore are not necessarily reflective of the costs incurred by National Grid NTS as a result of an overrun. The Workgroup is of the view that the additional overrun charge calculation component proposed in this modification reinforces this incentive and as such will not materially impact upon the current levels of cost reflectivity.

The Workgroup also notes that the additional calculation component proposed in this modification is consistent with those introduced for NTS Exit (Flat) Overrun Charges through Modification 0195AV (TPD B.3.13.3), which are also not necessarily reflective of the costs incurred by National Grid NTS as a result of an NTS Exit (Flat) Capacity overrun.

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 9 of 16

# **5** Impacts and Costs

## **Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts**

None identified.

#### **Costs**

#### Indicative industry costs – User Pays

Classification of the modification as User Pays or not and justification for classification

This modification has been raised as User Pays. This modification benefits both National Grid NTS and Users by improving the operation of the System and effective competition between Users.

National Grid NTS has raised a Rough Order Of Magnitude (ROM) and Xoserve has confirmed that this modification will result in changes to the Gemini system, with estimated costs of between £86k and £102k.

Identification of Users, proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and justification

This modification benefits both National Grid NTS and Users by improving the operation of the System and effective competition between Users, as noted earlier.

This modification apportions the costs as:

50% Shipper Users 50% Transporters (i.e. National Grid NTS)

The cost apportionment is based on the potential benefits accrued by different parties under the relevant objectives under Standard Special Condition A11 (a) and (d) (i).

Proposed charge(s) for application of Users Pays charges to Shippers

These charges will be one-off charges invoiced as soon as possible following the implementation of the of the System functionality. National Grid NTS proposes that, for the sake of simplicity, the User Pays costs should be prorated based on User's end of day Entry Capacity holdings on the date of implementation.

Proposed charge for inclusion in ACS – to be completed upon receipt of cost estimate from Xoserve

To be confirmed.

#### **Impacts**

#### Impact on Transporters' Systems and Process

Transporters' System/Process Potential impact

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 10 of 16

UK Link	System changes costing up to £102k are expected.
Operational Processes	• None
User Pays implications	As above

Impact on Users		
Area of Users' business	Potential impact	
Administrative and operational	• None	
Development, capital and operating costs	<ul> <li>Users may incur an increased Overrun Charge.</li> </ul>	
Contractual risks	• None	
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	• None	

Impact on Transporters		
Area of Transporters' business	Potential impact	
System operation	<ul> <li>National Grid NTS may benefit from this UNC modification through improved information.</li> </ul>	
Development, capital and operating costs	• Investment costs may be better informed as a result of implementation.	
Recovery of costs	• 50% Transporters/50% Shippers is proposed.	
Price regulation	• None	
Contractual risks	• None	
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	• None	
Standards of service	• None	

Impact on Code Administration	
Area of Code Administration	Potential impact
Modification Rules	None

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 11 of 16

Impact on Code Administration	
UNC Committees	• None
General administration	• None

Impact on Code	
Code section	Potential impact
UNC TPD Section B2.12.3	Addition of a further element to the System Entry Overrun Charge calculation

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Related Document	Potential impact
Network Entry Agreement (TPD I1.3)	• None
Network Exit Agreement (Including Connected System Exit Points) (TPD J1.5.4)	• None
Storage Connection Agreement (TPD R1.3.1)	• None
UK Link Manual (TPD U1.4)	• None
Network Code Operations Reporting Manual (TPD V12)	• None
Network Code Validation Rules (TPD V12)	• None
ECQ Methodology (TPD V12)	• None
Measurement Error Notification Guidelines (TPD V12)	• None
Energy Balancing Credit Rules (TPD X2.1)	• None
Uniform Network Code Standards of Service (Various)	• None

Impact on Core Industry Documents and other documents	
Document	Potential impact
Safety Case or other document under Gas Safety (Management) Regulations	• None
Gas Transporter Licence	• None

Other Impacts	
Item impacted	Potential impact

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 12 of 16

Security of Supply	• None
Operation of the Total System	• None.
Industry fragmentation	• None
Terminal operators, consumers, connected system operators, suppliers, producers and other non code parties	• None

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 13 of 16

# **6** Implementation

No implementation timescale is proposed. However, if this modification is approved implementation will follow the completion of the necessary system changes.

National Grid NTS recognises that the estimated system costs to amend the entry overrun calculation are higher than the revenue from the potential overrun charges that would have applied for the period 2008 to 2011 if Modification 0426 had been implemented. However, the likelihood of future entry capacity overruns is unpredictable and therefore the disparity between the estimated system costs and the overrun charges that would have been generated is not necessarily an indication of the cost effectiveness of the modification.

Given the low materiality of the overrun charges that would have been incurred to date, which are below the cost of implementation, the Workgroup recommends that National Grid NTS should monitor and report any change in the level of overruns seen that fall in this category. If any increase in materiality is experienced, or evidence emerges of deliberate use of the mechanism by one or more Users, then system changes should be progressed. In the meantime, the Workgroup recommends that Xoserve should identify any opportunities to implement the change alongside other requirements with a view to benefiting from economies of scope and so reducing costs. If an opportunity presents itself to implement the change below the cost identified in the current ROM, the Uniform Network Code Committee should be consulted regarding the desirability of taking advantage of the opportunity.

# 7 The Case for Change

Nothing in addition to that identified above.

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 14 of 16

# 8 Legal Text

## **Suggested Text**

The Workgroup has considered the following Suggested Legal Text and no issues were raised regarding its content.

#### **TPD Section B**

Amend paragraph 2.12.3 to read as follows:

- 2.12.3 The System Entry Overrun Charge shall be calculated as the amount of the overrun quantity multiplied by whichever is the greatest of:
  - (a) (8 \* A), where 'A' is the highest bid price in relation to a capacity bid in respect of which NTS Entry Capacity was allocated following an invitation under paragraphs 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4; and
  - (b) (1.1 \* B), where 'B' is the relevant average accepted offer price;
  - (c) (1.1 \* C), where 'C' is the relevant average accepted forward price;
  - (d) (1.1 \* D), where 'D' is the relevant average accepted exercise price; and
  - (e) (1.1 \* E), where 'E' is the highest unit price accepted by National Grid NTS; and
  - (f) (8 \* F), where 'F' is the NTS Entry Capacity reserve price as defined in paragraph 2.2.3 (b), at that ASEP, applicable on the Day the overrun occurs,

where (a), (b), (c), (d), and (e) and (f) are calculated by reference to information available to National Grid NTS at 02:00 hours on the relevant Day.

0426

Workgroup Report

02 August 2012

Version 1.0

Page 15 of 16



# 9 Recommendation

The Workgroup invites the Panel to:

• AGREE that this modification should be submitted for Consultation.