

Stage 02: Workgroup Report

0488S:

Additional Changes to the Mod 81 Reports

At what stage is this document in the process?



This modification seeks to introduce further changes to the Mod 81 Reporting Suite. Implementation of this modification is not dependent on the implementation of changes proposed within Modification 0482.



The Workgroup recommends that this self-governance modification should now proceed to consultation.



High Impact:
None



Medium Impact:
None



Low Impact:
Changes required to Xoserve reporting

0488S

Workgroup Report

01 April 2014

Version 0.1

Page 1 of 11

© 2014 all rights reserved

Contents

1	Summary	3
2	Why Change?	5
3	Solution	6
4	Relevant Objectives	7
5	Implementation	9
6	Legal Text	10
7	Recommendation	11

About this document:

This report will be presented to the panel on xx xxx 2014.

The panel will consider whether the modification should proceed to consultation or be returned to the workgroup for further assessment.


Any questions?
Contact: Code Administrator
 enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
 0121 288 2107
Proposer: Marie Clark
 marie.clark@scottishpower.com
 telephone
Transporter: National Grid Distribution
 chris.warner@nationalgrid.com
Systems Provider: Xoserve
 commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com

0488S

Workgroup Report

01 April 2014

Version 0.1

Page 2 of 11

© 2014 all rights reserved

1 Summary

Is this a Self-Governance Modification?

The Modification Panel determined that this is a self-governance modification because it is unlikely to have material effect on competition or consumers.

Why Change?

UNC Section G 1.6.18 – 1.6.20 requires Transporters to publish information regarding the manner in which the AQ review is conducted. These reports are commonly referred to as “Mod 81 Reports”.

The current drafting of UNC, Section G, Paragraph 1.6.18(a) requires that for each User, in aggregate across all EUCs, the number of successful AQ amendment applications made is reported. Modification 0482 if implemented will require that Mod 81 Reports 1 and 2 are amended to report on effective AQ amendments only. This modification will not affect the solution proposed within Modification 0482 but builds on the changes proposed therein.

Presently AQ reporting does not separate SSP and LSP AQ amendments activity. While Reports 5 and 7 provide information on the effect of AQ amendments in respect of increasing/decreased energy by EUC and reports 6 and 8 on the movement of Meter Points between EUCs however this does not provide enough granular information on the impact of AQ amendment activity against the Current AQ (AQ effective at commencement of Gas Year in which AQ Review Process is performed) and Provisional AQ (Transporter calculated AQ commonly referred to as the T04 position).

Solution

The following changes are proposed to the Mod 81 reports:

1. *Introduction of an additional report 2 i.e. 2b to report on effect of AQ amendments on the Provisional AQ. The existing Report 2 shows the effect of AQ amendments on the Current AQ only.*
2. *Reports 1 and 2 – separate reporting for Smaller Supply Points and Larger Supply Points AQ amendment activity. For Threshold Crossers the market sector will be determined by the Current AQ i.e. the starting position at the commencement of the AQ Review Process.*

These changes will be applied to the third release i.e. 1st November reporting. Interim reports issued on 1st July and 1st August will not be affected and will continue to be issued as currently outlined.

Relevant Objectives

Implementation of this modification will introduce increased granularity and transparency to the information provided to Shippers regarding the impact of AQ amendment activity which occurred within the AQ Review Process. As a consequence of this revised approach to reporting, the reports produced by Xoserve on 1st November following the completion of the AQ Review process and those presented at the AQ Operational Meeting should be more representative of the impact of AQ amendment activity by Shippers. The proposed changes to reporting will produce a more transparent picture of energy movements by LDZ and market sector when compared against the Current AQ and Provisional AQ.

Shippers will not incur any additional operational costs as a result of implementing this modification. However, Xoserve has stated that some costs will be incurred in developing and thereafter running these reports.

Implementation

0488S

Workgroup Report

01 April 2014

Version 0.1

Page 3 of 11

© 2014 all rights reserved

No implementation date is proposed for this modification. However, it would be desirable if this modification were implemented by 01 November 2014 to coincide with Mod 81 Reporting third release as required within UNC Section G 1.6.20(a).

0488S
Workgroup Report

01 April 2014

Version 0.1

Page 4 of 11

© 2014 all rights reserved

2 Why Change?

UNC Section G 1.6.18 – 1.6.20 requires Transporters to publish information regarding the manner in which the AQ review is conducted. These reports are commonly referred to as “Mod 81 Reports”.

The current drafting of UNC, Section G, Paragraph 1.6.18(a) requires that for each User, in aggregate across all EUCs, the number of successful AQ amendment applications made is reported. Modification 0482 if implemented will require that Mod 81 Reports 1 and 2 are amended to report on effective AQ amendments only. This modification will not affect the solution proposed within Modification 0482 but builds on the changes proposed therein.

At the AQ Operational meeting held by telephone conference on 24th January 2014, AQ experts discussed changes, which could be made to the Mod 81 Reports to improve transparency and therefore enhance reporting of AQ amendments activity by Shippers within the AQ Amendment Window. Presently AQ reporting does not separate SSP and LSP AQ amendments activity. While Reports 5 and 7 provide information on the effect of AQ amendments in respect of increasing/decreased energy by EUC and reports 6 and 8 on the movement of Meter Points between EUCs however this does not provide enough granular information on the impact of AQ amendment activity against the Current AQ (AQ effective at commencement of Gas Year in which AQ Review Process is performed) and Provisional AQ (Transporter calculated AQ commonly referred to as the T04 position).

3 Solution

The following changes are proposed to the Mod 81 reports:

1. *Introduction of an additional report 2 i.e. 2b to report on effect of AQ amendments on the Provisional AQ. The existing Report 2 shows the effect of AQ amendments on the Current AQ only.*
2. *Reports 1 and 2 – separate reporting for Smaller Supply Points and Larger Supply Points AQ amendment activity. For Threshold Crossers the market sector will be determined by the Current AQ i.e. the starting position at commencement of the AQ Review Process.*

These changes will be applied to the third release of the Mod 81 Reports i.e. 1st November reporting. For the avoidance of doubt, interim reports issued on 1st July and 1st August will not be affected by implementation of this Modification.

User Pays

Classification of the modification as User Pays, or not, and the justification for such classification.

This is a User Pays modification, however it does not amend an existing User Pays service. Costs may be incurred amending the existing reports.

Identification of Users of the service, the proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and the justification for such view.

100% Shippers based on market share at the date of implementation

Proposed charge(s) for application of User Pays charges to Shippers.

To be confirmed

Proposed charge for inclusion in the Agency Charging Statement (ACS) – to be completed upon receipt of a cost estimate from Xoserve.

To be confirmed

4 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	Positive
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

d) Securing of effective competition

Implementation of this modification will introduce increased granularity and transparency to the information provided to Shippers regarding the impact of AQ amendment activity within the AQ Review Process. As a consequence of this revised approach to reporting, the Mod 81 Reports produced by Xoserve on 1st November following the completion of the AQ Review process and those presented at the AQ Operational Meeting should be more representative of the impact of AQ amendment activity by Shippers. The proposed changes to reporting will produce a more transparent picture of energy movements by LDZ and market sector when compared against the Current AQ and Provisional AQ.

f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

Implementation of this modification will introduce increased clarity and visibility to the information provided to Shippers regarding AQ amendment activity within the AQ Review Process therefore promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

0488S

Workgroup Report

01 April 2014

Version 0.1

Page 7 of 11

© 2014 all rights reserved

0488S

Workgroup Report

01 April 2014

Version 0.1

Page 8 of 11

© 2014 all rights reserved

5 Implementation

The Workgroup has not proposed a timescale for implementation of this modification, but would suggest that it is implemented by 01 November 2014 to coincide with Mod 81 Reporting third release as required within UNC Section G 1.6.20(a).

6 Legal Text

Text

Legal text to be provided

0488S

Workgroup Report

01 April 2014

Version 0.1

Page 10 of 11

© 2014 all rights reserved

7 Recommendation

The Workgroup invites the Panel to:

- AGREE that this self-governance modification should be submitted for consultation.