











UNC Request Workgroup Report	At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>UNC 0624R:</h1> <h2>Review of arrangements for Retrospective Adjustment of Meter Information, Meter Point/Supply Point and Address data</h2>	<div>01 Request</div> <div>02 Workgroup Report</div> <div>03 Final Modification Report</div>
<p>Purpose of Request:</p> <p>To conduct a review of the elements of UNC Modification 0434 'Project Nexus – Retrospective Adjustment' relating to the retrospective adjustment of Meter Information, Meter Point/Supply Point and Address data. This will be informed by undertaking a cost benefit assessment of the elements of the Modification which have not been implemented</p>	
	<p>The Workgroup recommends that this Request is returned to Workgroup for further assessment.</p>
	<p>High Impact: Gas Transporters, Shipper Users and the CDSP.</p>
	<p>Medium Impact: Insert impact</p>
	<p>Low Impact: Insert impact</p>

Contents		 Any questions?
1	Request Summary	3
2	Impacts and Costs	4
3	Terms of Reference	6
4	Modification(s)	7
5	Recommendation	8
About this document: <p>This report will be presented to the panel on 18 January 2018.</p> <p>The panel will consider whether the Request should proceed or returned to the workgroup for further assessment.</p>		Contact: Joint Office of Gas Transporters
		 enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
		 0121 288 2107
		Proposer: Chris Warner
		 chris.warner@cadentgas.com
		 07778150668
		Transporter: Cadent
		Systems Provider: Xoserve
		 commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com

1 Request Summary

Why is the Request being made?

UNC Modification 0434 'Project Nexus – Retrospective Adjustment' relating to the replacement of Meter Information, Meter Point/Supply Point and Address data was approved by Ofgem on 21st February 2014. The Modification contained 4 specific elements being:

1. Replacement of Meter Readings;
2. Update of Meter Information (asset data);
3. Retrospective update to the Supply Point;
4. Address amendments.

For the purposes of this Request, elements 2, 3 and 4 are collectively and informally identified by the acronym RAASP (Retrospective Adjustment of Asset, Address and Supply Point).

The first element was implemented on the Project Nexus Implementation Date (PNID). It was previously agreed by the industry at the January 2016 Project Nexus Steering Group (PNSG) that the remaining elements should be implemented at a later date. This deferral was facilitated by Urgent UNC Modification 0573 'Project Nexus – deferral of implementation of elements of Retrospective Adjustment arrangements' (approved by Ofgem on 26 February 2016 and implemented on PNID) which de-scoped retrospective data updates by inserting the following paragraph in UNC TD IIC:

23.1 A User may not submit a Retrospective Data Update in accordance with TPD Section M4.3 on a Day prior to 1 October 2017.

Note: 'Retrospective Data Update' is the collective UNC defined term for elements 2, 3 and 4.

Notwithstanding that Modification 0434 has been approved for implementation, Transporters believe that given the elapsed time between Authority approval and PNID it is prudent and good project management practice that a reassessment of the cost benefit previously undertaken for Modification 0434 be conducted, to evaluate and inform whether the industry should proceed to develop a systems based solution for the remaining elements of Modification 0434.

This in turn could be expected to enable parties to consider options including:

1. Instructing the CDSP to implement a full systems solution (Requiring Code Modification to re-set the date identified within UNC TD IIC 23.1);
2. Instructing the CDSP to implement a manual based solution;
3. Seek to remove through Code Modification the relevant provisions of Modification 0434 (excluding Read Replacement).

The cost benefit assessment would not include the element of Modification 0434 which has been implemented i.e. Replacement of Meter Readings.

Scope

To conduct a cost benefit assessment for the RAASP elements of UNC Modification 0434 i.e. elements 2, 3 and 4 described above.

Impacts & Costs

Costs associated with the Review are expected to be limited to those incurred by the Central Data Services Provider (CDSP) in the course of conducting the systems impact assessment. It is anticipated

that the DSC Change Management Committee/technical sub-group may need to evaluate the system solution and provide relevant feedback to the Workgroup.

Recommendations

The principal objective of the Request is to inform whether or not to proceed with the development of a solution to facilitate implementation of the RAASP elements of Modification 0434, and if it is decided to proceed, to determine the preferred form of solution (system or manual).

It is intended that the review would be conducted within a Workgroup to encourage participation from industry parties.

Note: Given that it is clearly no longer possible for UNC parties to meet the full terms of Modification 0434 i.e. that it will not be possible to submit Retrospective Data Updates by the date identified within UNC TD IIC 23.1, Cadent intends at an early stage and independently of this review to seek to defer the relevant date further through a GT Licence 'Consent to Modify' request.

Additional Information

It is expected that an industry consultation/request for information exercise would be conducted as part of the overall review.

2 Impacts and Costs

Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

The conducting of a review under this Request Proposal is not expected to have any wider industry impacts.

Impacts

Impact on Transporters' Systems and Process	
Transporters' System/Process	Potential impact
UK Link	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Impacted
Operational Processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Impacted

Impact on Users	
Area of Users' business	Potential impact
Administrative and operational	<ul style="list-style-type: none">None expected
Development, capital and operating costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none">None expected
Contractual risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none">None expected
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	<ul style="list-style-type: none">None expected

Impact on Transporters	
Area of Transporters' business	Potential impact

Impact on Transporters	
System operation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Development, capital and operating costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Recovery of costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
Price regulation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Contractual risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Standards of service	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None

Impact on Code Administration	
Area of Code Administration	Potential impact
Modification Rules	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
UNC Committees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
General administration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None

Impact on Code	
Code section	Potential impact
Transportation Principal Document	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacted
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Related Document	Potential impact
Network Entry Agreement (TPD I1.3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Network Exit Agreement (Including Connected System Exit Points) (TPD J1.5.4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Storage Connection Agreement (TPD R1.3.1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
UK Link Manual (TPD U1.4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Network Code Operations Reporting Manual (TPD V12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Network Code Validation Rules (TPD V12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
ECQ Methodology (TPD V12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Measurement Error Notification Guidelines (TPD V12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Energy Balancing Credit Rules (TPD X2.1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Uniform Network Code Standards of Service (Various)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None

Impact on Core Industry Documents and other documents	
Document	Potential impact
Safety Case or other document under Gas Safety (Management) Regulations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Gas Transporter Licence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None

Other Impacts	
Item impacted	Potential impact
Security of Supply	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Operation of the Total System	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Industry fragmentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Terminal operators, consumers, connected system operators, suppliers, producers and other non code parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None

3 Terms of Reference

Background

To conduct a review of the elements of UNC Modification 0434 'Project Nexus – Retrospective Adjustment' relating to the retrospective adjustment of Meter Information, Meter Point/Supply Point and Address data and to produce a cost benefit analysis.

Topics for Discussion

- Understanding the objective;
- Assessment of alternative means to achieve objective;
- Assessment of potential impacts of the Review;
- Assessment of potential benefits of any solution identified during the Review;

- Assessment of implementation costs of any solution identified during the Review.

Outputs

Produce a Workgroup Report for submission to the UNC Modification Panel, containing the assessment and recommendations of the Workgroup including a draft Modification Proposal where appropriate.

Produce a cost benefit analysis.

Composition of Workgroup

The Workgroup is open to any party that wishes to attend or participate.

A Workgroup meeting will be quorate provided at least two Transporter and two User representatives are present.

Meeting Arrangements

Meetings will be administered by the Joint Office and conducted in accordance with the Code Administration Code of Practice.

Topics for Discussion

- Understanding the objective
- Assessment of alternative means to achieve objective
- Development of Solution (including business rules if appropriate)
- Assessment of potential impacts of the Request
- Assessment of implementation costs of any solution identified during the Request
- Assessment of legal text.

Outputs

Produce a Workgroup Report for submission to the Modification Panel, containing the assessment and recommendations of the Workgroup including a draft modification where appropriate.

Composition of Workgroup

The Workgroup is open to any party that wishes to attend or participate.

A Workgroup meeting will be quorate provided at least two Transporter and two User representatives are present.

Meeting Arrangements

Meetings will be administered by the Joint Office and conducted in accordance with the Code Administration Code of Practice.

4 Modification(s)

None

5 Recommendation

The Workgroup invites the Panel to:

- DETERMINE that Request 0624R should be returned to Workgroup for further assessment. This is to allow time to evaluate information provided during the recent Request for Information exercise undertaken by Xoserve.