

Representation - Draft Modification Report UNC 0632S

Shipper asset details reconciliation

Responses invited by: **5pm on 08 March 2018**

To: enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk

Representative:	Emily Wells
Organisation:	Corona Energy
Date of Representation:	2 March 2018
Support or oppose implementation?	Support
Relevant Objective:	f) Positive

Reason for support/opposition: Please summarise (in one paragraph) the key reason(s)

Corona Energy (CE) raised this modification as there is currently a significant discrepancy between the number of Smart Meters being recorded with the CDSP and that being reported to BEIS as part of the smart metering programme.

Xoserve reported on 23 November 2017 that there are 2.2m SMETS1 meters in customer premises. By contrast on 30 November 2017, BEIS reported 3.5m “gas smart” meters (all of which will be SMETS1 meters, as SMETS2 meters are not available in any great quantity) in place. This mismatch can only be explained through shippers not updating the CDSP with the correct information. Though we acknowledge that there are high-level requirements on shippers to keep this information up to date, this is evidently not occurring. This modification will therefore bring welcome clarity and focus on shipper obligations. Ensuring that there is a complete and accurate record of every Smart and AMR gas installation in the market will bring benefits to all shippers and their suppliers as they attempt to complete the challenging smart metering rollout programme, as well to the AUGS when it completes its annual UIG assessment.

Self-Governance Statement: *Please provide your views on the self-governance statement.*

We agree that this modification does not meet the materiality criteria for authority decision and so meets the self-governance requirements.

Implementation: *What lead-time do you wish to see prior to implementation and why?*

This modification will provide clarity on the requirements to shippers on how they record the presence of Smart and AMR devices at their premises. This clarity should be provided as soon as possible.

Impacts and Costs: *What analysis, development and ongoing costs would you face?*

The proposal will have a positive impact on our processes as it will provide clarity as to how we record the presence of Smart/AMR meters at our customers' premises with the CDSP. There will also be a reduction in operating costs as these changes will reduce the amount of queries we have to raise with MAMs and customers regarding the status of their metering.

Legal Text: *Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the Solution?*

As the proposer we have reviewed the legal text and are satisfied that it delivers the intention of the modification.

Are there any errors or omissions in this Modification Report that you think should be taken into account? *Include details of any impacts/costs to your organisation that are directly related to this.*

No

Please provide below any additional analysis or information to support your representation

NA