Gas Charging Review UNC0621



UNC0621 Workgroup – 20 March 2018 (Including alternates UNC0621A – J)

Agenda

Area	Detail
Use of WebEx	If required – details to join
UNC Modification 0621	 Last update - Amended modification published February 2018 Development / discussion areas Zero prices and how to address Addressing some of the CWD outcomes Realistic flow scenarios – concept and discussion
Developing the analysis / workgroup report	 WebEx session / material from 15 March 2018 Developing the models and analysis for UNC0621 and alternates Structuring the analysis Structuring the development of the workgroup report
Plan and GB/EU Consultation and change process	 Ofgem's Licence change / direction of 8th March 2018 Reminder of the consultations and responsibilities Overview of high level timeline
Next Steps	Next Steps for UNC0621

Gas Charging Review



Use of WebEx – if needed

Use of WebEx

During this presentation, there may be points when it may be useful to provide some on screen analysis or demonstrations. Should this be the case the following link is for the WebEx.

https://uknationalgrid.webex.com/uknationalgrid/j.php?MTID =mfc1444569b4017c4f564fa3f40c0b772

- Meeting number (if needed): 596 143 578
- Meeting password (if needed): EgPAs3mf

N.B. this will only be used if needed

Gas Charging Review



UNC Modification 0621 Amendments to Gas Transmission Charging Regime

Gas Charging Review: UNC0621 – Modification proposals

Amended modification for UNC0621 (V3.0) published on 19 February 2018.

https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0621

- A clean and track changed version are available on the modifications page above and on the workgroup pages:
- Further changes may be made to UNC0621 before it becomes the final version.

UNC0621 Proposals: nationalgrid What about further changes / Alternates?

- National Grid is keen to have a final modification as soon as possible to confirm all aspects – appreciate timescales to deliver to May Panel
- Analysis needs to be presented for awareness and to gain understanding of the potential impacts.
- As this is shared it may be appropriate to update the modification under some of the specific topics
- Early sight of prospective alternate areas has been encouraged

Future updates to UNC0621

- As the analysis of 621 and the alternates (A-J) progress there may be aspects that National Grid may incorporate into UNC0621 if considered beneficial and more appropriate than current proposals
- This does not prevent the workgroup report from being started with the modifications as they stand
- If there are changes these can be accommodated alongside the progression of the workgroup report

Gas Charging Review



Development / discussion areas

Alternative method to address RPM generated zero prices (1/4)

- There are a number of reasons the prices may come out as zero in the calculations of Capacity prices
 - Zero obligated or forecast capacity;
 - Impact of Existing Contracts (if no further capacity at that particular Entry point is forecast)
- To date UNC0621 has catered for this by pricing using the nearest (using NTS pipeline distance) non-zero Entry or Exit point for a zero priced Entry or Exit point respectively
- Discussions at workgroup over time and at February 20/21 that this may warrant looking at

Alternative method to address RPM generated zero prices (2/4)

- Points raised sometimes the distances used to find the nearest non zero priced point can be relatively large
- More likely on Entry due to lower number of Entry points
- An alternative that has been discussed to date is using the weighted average distance as a way to link a price for the point to the underlying methodology

X

E.G. New price to replace a zero price:

Weighted Average Distance of zero priced point

Weighted Average Distance of a specific Non Zero priced point Price of a specific Non Zero Priced Point

Alternative method to address RPM generated zero prices (3/4)

- Generally the number of Exit Points means that the two approaches will often result in the same price
 - This is because the nearest point has a similar weighted average distance
- For Entry, as there are fewer Entry points, and geographically they are further apart, the prices will be have greater variances than for Exit under the two approaches
 - Not always in one direction in some cases prices will be higher using nearest point and in others will be higher using weighted distance

Alternative method to address RPM generated zero prices (4/4)

Entry: p/kWh/a price for zero prices in Transition

Entry Point	Current (Nearest Point)	Using Distance weighting	Difference	Distance weighting versus nearest point
Canonbie	4.368	4.791	0.423	10%
Caythorpe	3.549	3.619	0.070	2%
Garton	3.549	3.443	-0.106	-3%

Entry: p/kWh/a price for zero prices in Enduring

Entry Doint	Current (Nearest	Using Distance	Difforonco	Distance weighting				
Entry Point	Point)	weighting	Difference	versus nearest point				
Canonbie	13.833	15.063	1.230	9%				
Cheshire	12.989	11.365	-1.624	-13%				
Caythorpe	11.386	11.584	0.197	2%				
Dynevor Arms	14.127	15.058	0.930	7%				
Fleetwood	14.808	12.827	-1.981	-13%				
Garton	11.386	10.997	-0.389	-3%				
Hole House Farm	12.989	11.208	-1.782	-14%				
Hatfield Moor (onshore)	10.799	10.387	-0.412	-4%				
Hornsea	11.386	11.345	-0.042	0%				
Hatfield Moor (storage)	10.799	10.387	-0.412	-4%				
Isle of Grain	11.430	13.305	1.875	16%				
Milford Haven	14.127	20.516	6.388	45%				
Partington	12.989	11.740	-1.249	-10%				



Addressing some of the CWD outcomes

- As the analysis of the CWD RPM has progressed a number of issues have been raised, including
- Step changes for some Entry / Exit points
- Some points have prices high relative to others (e.g. St Fergus Entry)
- To date some discussions taken place on ways to review this and see if there any mitigation measures that could be applied whilst maintaining the integrity of the underlying RPM
- One example asked to be modelled was using the square root of distances (covered in the WebEx material 15 March 2018).

Relevant Flow Scenario

- TAR Article 8 allows entry and exit points to be combined to create "relevant flow scenarios"
- Therefore, GB could be split up into regions/areas in order to make distances from Entry to Exit points more relevant
- For example St Fergus flows may not reach the South of England and Isle of Grain flows may not reach Scotland
- Action 0620 0302 asked National Grid to look at potential options for relevant flow scenarios
- A high level example of how this could be done is on the next slide
- Further analysis needs to be done to assess the suitability of any scenario and what impact this has on charges
- Any flow scenario would effectively require the introduction of a "merit order" to consider how, where and why gas would flow on the NTS

Example GB Relevant Flow Scenario for illustration

Entry Points Offtake Zones Flow Scenario Zone A St Fergus Scotland (SC) A and B Offtake Zones Entry Points Northern (NO) Flow Scenario Zone B Barrow North West (NW) A. B and C Teesside North East (NE) Entry Points Offtakes Zones Milford Haven North Wales (WN) Easington South Wales (WS) Flow Scenario Zone C B. C and D Theddlethorpe West Midlands (WM) **Bacton IP** East Midlands (EM) Bacton UKCS East Anglia (EA) Offtakes Zones North Thames (NT) **Entry Points** Flow Scenario Southern (SO) Zone D Isle of Grain C and D South East (SE) South West (SW)

national**grid**

Example here splits GB into 4 "zones" Not all Entry points listed – largest listed for illustration For Zone A, under this example, all demand assumed to me by supplies in Zone A or B. Therefore no supplies in Zone C or D used to meet demand in Zone A. Zone B uses supplies in Zone A, B or C to meet demand. Therefore none from Zone D, etc

Gas Charging Review



UNC Modification 0621: Developing the models / analysis

WebEx session / material from 15 March 2018

A WebEx was held on the 15th March. Material is available in the presentation pack called "WebEx Material 15 March 2018 - LRMC CWD Postage Stamp Comparisons", available on the Joint Office website

https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0621/200318

- We understand there were some issues with joining the WebEx and have made available the material and will give a short overview in this meeting to allow stakeholders to review.
- Comments / questions can be sent direct to National Grid (details on last slide of this pack)

UNC0621: Developing the Analysis (1)

- For the analysis, the intention is to present analysis and show the sensitivities of the proposals for changes to certain aspects.
- This is being developed and will be shared over the coming workgroups
- As the WG report is developed it may be necessary to carry out further analysis.
- We've shared initial "base" scenarios and these are populated in the published CWD models and will develop and publish another using peak demands (as per discussions at February 20/21 workgroups)

UNC0621: Developing the Analysis (2)

- In developing the analysis National Grid will be putting together a timeline for the delivery of analysis for the workgroups
- The Joint Office issued a request to all proposers for the analysis they expect to be required in order to complete the workgroup report
- National Grid will build a model per Alternate to enable analysis to be done, may need proposers to provide clarity on their solutions
- It may be necessary for each proposer to carry out some of the analysis for their proposed modification
- Under these circumstances National Grid will offer to check analysis produced by the proposers

UNC0621: Developing the Analysis (3)

- In addition National Grid will be updating the CWD/PS/LRMC comparison to bring comparative values more up to date
- Essentially building on the "one-pager" that summarised the analysis that, in combination of relevant objective/stakeholder objective led to the selection of the CWD RPM
- This is to help support the workgroup report's progress and provide the detailed background as to why CWD was selected

Cost Allocation Assessment

- The Cost Allocation Assessment was included into the CWD model published in March (Version 2.1)
- Available on the JO Website under the NTSCMF pages

https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/ntscmf

Opportunity to talk through the cost allocation assessment and the resulting values

Developing the workgroup report

- The workgroup report does require some analysis to be done before it can be started and have a solid foundation to be built from
- It does not need all the analysis to be done in order to progress a comparison for the alternates as tabled and provide a framework to build on
- The workgroup report, as has been suggested, may benefit from a structured plan of development / discussion like that used in the subgroups discussing the key topics in the development of UNC0621

UNC 0621 – Outputs from Current Proposal



20/03/18 UNC 0621 Workgroup

Entry Firm Prices for 2019/20 to 2021/22



Entry Combined Prices for 2019/20 to 2021/22



Exit Firm Prices for 2019/20 to 2021/22



Exit Combined Prices for 2019/20 to 2021/22



Gas Charging Review



UNC Modification 0621: Plan and change process

Plan and Change Process Timescales: Licence change

Ofgem issued their Licence change decision / direction on 8th March 2018

https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/modification-gas-transporterlicence-facilitate-implementation-regulation-eu-2017460-tar-nc-gb

The decision / direction states:

- "NGG shall use reasonable endeavours to ensure that the UNC0621 DMR is submitted to the UNC modification panel in sufficient time for consideration at its 17 May 2018 (or earlier) meeting."; and
- "NGG will no longer be required to undertake the final TAR NC article 26 consultation. Instead, NGG will be required to undertake a preliminary consultation, pursuant to TAR NC article 26."

Gas Charging Review: Potential* nationalgrid Timescales for UNC / EU processes (Inc. 621)

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov
	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2019	2019	2019	2019	2019	201	9 2019	2019	2019	2019	2019
UNC / EU Processes														EU	Comr	liance			Prid	es to	he	-	
		We												to	bo cor	nnlot	_		imr	acted			
	Z	are												by	ond o	f May	-		fro		shor		
		\sim												20		i iviay			201		Juer		
Workgroups (NTSCMF/Sub Groups) for further														20.	19				20.	.9			
analysis, development, potential refinement																							
UNC Workgroup Report to Panel																							
UNC consultation (assumed 25 days duration). EU					back	for July	n iviay, / Panel																
TAR NC Interim consultation conducted at same								Г — I															
time (for awareness). Only the UNC documentation																							
will be submitted to Panel.						Ì .																	
Final Mod Report written by Joint Office and		0				1																	
presented to UNC Panel. Summary of Interim EU		May	2018 P	ranei n anel. Oi	o later i earlier	inan if				Proce	ess trigg	gered as	part of	the									
Consulation written by National Grid published /		,	рс	ossible.						Final (Consula	tion un	der TAF	RNC.									
submitted to Ofgem.			1							activit	ties in t	his red l	box real	n. All uired									
Panel voting following FMR. Refer to Ofgem for											und	er TAR I	NC.	1									
UNC0621 and alternates.																							
Placeholder for Impact Assessment (assumed 2								Imp	pact														
months duration). Timescales subject to change.								Assess	sment			Wi	thin 2 onths										
Publication of responses to IA.									4			afte	r main		Aimi	ng for		5					
Commentary from ACER (2 months after						Plac	oboldor	for in				cons	ultation		Mid I	March							
consultation) as per Article 27 of TAR NC						Consu	ultation	- Dates							Dec	ision							
						may b	e differ	ent. Wil	11														
Final Ofgem decision (5 months after end of						act as	the tri	gger for			Decisi	ion requ	uired othe										
consultation) as per Article 27 of TAR NC.						TAR and 5	NC time	escales decisio			after	consult	ation										
						and 5	windo	N.			h	as ende	d										

*Some aspects of this timescale are determined by EU TAR NC, some will be subject to the timing of the respective consultations and also Ofgem's thoughts for timescales (e.g. the Impact Assessment and decision points)

Process and responsibilities for the national**grid consultation(s) under UNC0621/TAR NC**

Step	UNC0621	Preliminary EU TAR NC	Impact Assessment / Final EU TAR NC				
Pre-requisites to consultations	Workgroup report submitted to and voted on by Panel (including any specific questions for consultation).	Not sent to Panel. Materials for consultation to be published as separate doc / appendix and submitted to ACER for awareness.	Preparation for Impact Assessment				
Consultation to be notified by	Joint Office	Joint Office	Ofgem				
Duration of consultation	25 working days	25 working days	ТВС				
Responses to be sent to	Joint Office	Joint Office	Ofgem				
Report following receipt of responses to be written by	Joint Office	National Grid	Ofgem				
What happens to report	Published/issued to Panel	Published/issued to Ofgem	Published by Ofgem				
Publication of non- confidential responses	Joint Office on UNC0621 pages	Published by NGG	Published by Ofgem				

Gas Charging Review



UNC0621 Modification Next Steps

Gas Charging Review: UNC0621 Next Steps

- Analysis to go along with the modification proposals.
- Updated UNC0621 modification
- Development of alternates
- Updated models to include additional functionality and reflect updated scenarios to model sensitivities
- Next UNC0621 workgroup scheduled for 28th March. Further workgroups than those planned may be needed.
- Supporting analysis sessions as needed

Contact us: box.transmissioncapacityandcharging@nationalgrid.com



Colin Williams Charging Development Manager Tel: +44 (0)1926 65 5916 Mob: +44 (0)7785 451776 Email: colin.williams@nationalgrid.com

Colin Hamilton EU Code Development Manager Tel: +44 (0)1926 65 3423 Mob: +44 (0) 7971 760360 Email: colin.j hamilton@nationalgrid.com





Phil Lucas Senior Commercial Analyst Tel: +44 (0)1926 65 3546 Email: phil.lucas@nationalgrid.com_ Adam Bates Commercial Analyst Tel: +44 (0)1926 65 4338 Email: adam bates@nationalgrid.com

Matthew Hatch Commercial Development Manager Tel: +44 (0)1926 65 5893 Mob: +44 (0) 7770 703080 Email: matthew.hatch@nationalgrid.com