














UNC Workgroup Report	At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>UNC 0654:</h1> <h2>Mandating the provision of NDM sample data</h2>	<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 5px;"> <div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 10px;"> 01 Modification </div> <div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 10px;"> 02 Workgroup Report </div> <div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 10px;"> 03 Draft Modification Report </div> <div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 10px;"> 04 Final Modification Report </div> </div>
<p>Purpose of Modification:</p> <p>This modification is seeking to introduce an obligation into the UNC for the provision of regular NDM sample data to the Central Data Services Provider (CDSP).</p>	
	<p>The Workgroup recommends that this modification should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [subject to self-governance] • be issued to consultation <p>The Panel will consider this Workgroup Report on 19 July 2018. The Panel will consider the recommendations and determine the appropriate next steps.</p>
	<p>High Impact:</p> <p>Shippers</p>
	<p>Medium Impact:</p> <p>CDSP</p>
	<p>Low Impact:</p> <p>Transporters</p>

Contents		?	Any questions?
1	Summary	3	Contact: Joint Office of Gas Transporters
2	Governance	3	
3	Why Change?	3	enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
4	Code Specific Matters	4	 0121 288 2107
5	Solution	4	Proposer: Kirsty Dudley EON UK
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	4	
7	Relevant Objectives	Error! Bookmark not defined.	Kirsty.Dudley@eonenergy.com
8	Implementation	10	 07816 172 645
9	Legal Text	10	Transporter: Hilary Chapman SGN
10	Recommendations	10	
Timetable			Hilary.Chapman@sgn.co.uk
Modification timetable:			 07749 983418
Initial consideration by Workgroup	01 May 2018		Systems Provider: Xoserve
Amended Modification considered by Workgroup	27 June 2018		
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	19 July 2018		UKLink@xoserve.com
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	19 July 2018		Other: Sallyann Blackett
Consultation Close-out for representations	09 August 2018		
Final Modification Report available for Panel	13 August 2018		sallyann.blackett@eonenergy.com
Modification Panel decision	16 August 2018		 07912 806290

1 Summary

What

Currently NDM Sample Data can be voluntarily provided to the CDSP using the direction in the [Xoserve NDM Document](#) “Third Party Supplied NDM Sample Data Guidance and File Format Document” – it outlines the data required and in which format. There has been a noticeable reduction in the data volumes being submitted and the number of contributing organisations is extremely low. The recent activity as part of Workgroup 0631R has shown that it is possible for organisations to get this information and submit it to the CDSP. It has also proved that the new End User Categories (EUCs) being discussed as part of Workgroup 0644 cannot be supported accurately without this sample data. (Note DESC are considering what can be done with limited data given the UIG urgency but to support the analysis accurately sample data will be required).

Why

This sample data provides insight into the Class 3 and 4 customer behaviours and helps support development of allocation profiles and EUCs by the Demand Estimation Sub-Committee (DESC). Although the current Transporter sample allows viable profiles to be derived by DESC it has proved essential to boost this sample with Shipper/Supplier provided data for the past two years. The low volumes of data don't allow for accurate profiling activity – particularly in the proposed new pre-payment and small Industrial & Commercial (I&C) EUCs being developed by DESC to improve allocation. If the data cannot be provided this will prevent the required improvements to the allocation profiles which could lead to further issues within Unidentified Gas (UIG) and will certainly prevent improvements in this key area.

How

This modification is seeking to introduce an obligation to mandate the provision of NDM Sample Data on a regular basis, and at a minimum twice yearly.

2 Governance

Justification for Self-Governance, Authority Direction or Urgency

The Modification Panel determined that this Modification is likely to have a material impact on because of impact on UIG, allocation and commercial arrangements for Shippers, therefore this Modification should follow Authority Direction procedures.

Requested Next Steps

This modification should:

- be subject to Self-Governance;
- be issued to consultation.

The Workgroup considered Panels view on Self-Governance and were not able to identify a material impact on Shippers and would consider the Modification as suitable for Self-Governance and request that Panel reconsider this view.

3 Why Change?

Currently there is no mandated obligation for parties to share NDM Sample Data with the CDSP and over the last few years the quantity of data and participating organisations has dwindled. The limited data is impacting the development of improved profiling as there is not enough data to support the required granularity of customer types and cannot therefore support the creation of any new EUCs, such as pre-payment or small I&C supplies.

The aim of this modification is to mandate the provision of the dataset in accordance the “Third Party Supplied NDM Sample Data Guidance and File Format Document” so that each Shipper with a Product Class 3 and/or Product Class 4 portfolio provides information to support the creation of future profiles. This will ensure a representative spread across organisations and will ensure profiles represent an unbiased cross section of customers.

This is a Code matter because the current requirements are on a voluntary basis where this modification seeks to make it a mandatory obligation for a minimum data set. This wouldn't however stop parties from providing more data if they felt it would be beneficial.

It is anticipated that there will be no change to the mandated Transporter/CDSP NDM samples as these continue to form the backbone of the profile calculation.

The NDM Sample data is to be in line with the formatting outlined in the “Third Party Supplied NDM Sample Data Guidance and File Format Document”. However, it is not currently proposed to make this a UNC related document as the data provision is key, rather than the format.

4 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

Third Party Supplied NDM Sample Data Guidance and File Format Document – current version 6.1:
<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/ggf/book/2018-05/File%20Format%20%28Third%20Party%20NDM%20Sample%20Data%29%20v6.1.pdf>

Knowledge/Skills

Knowledge of the NDM Demand Estimation processes

5 Solution

It is proposed to add a clause into Section H 1.6 to mandate the provision of data with the following business rules and formatting:

- To introduce an obligation on Shippers with Product Class 3 and/or Product Class 4 Supply Meter Points to provide continuous daily gas consumption data for individual (NDM) Supply Meter Points. Data is required to support the following scheduled activities:
 - Autumn Modelling – By the 5th WD in October each year, the requirement is 12 months consumption data ending with the read date of 1st October.
 - Spring Modelling – By the 5th WD in April each year, the requirement is 12 months consumption data ending with the read date of 1st April (NOTE: 13 months data is required when the Easter holiday period begins in March of the

preceding year. Easter holiday period is defined as the Wednesday before Good Friday to the Friday after Good Friday).

- Data cuts are to be provided to the CDSP in line with one of the following submission frequencies:
 - Monthly – By the 5th WD of each month (CDSPs preferred option to support continuous review of algorithm performance).
 - Quarterly – By the 5th WD of January, April, July and October each year.
 - Twice Yearly – By the 5th WD of April and October each year.
- Data cuts are to contain consistent Supply Meter Points where possible, as analysis requires continuous daily data for a 12 or 13 month period. It is not possible to combine two Supply Meter Point histories to create one record – once added to the sample the Supply Meter Point will continue until:
 - it is lost from the Shipper’s portfolio.
 - the meter is exchanged for a non-loggable model.
 - the meter is removed and/or meter point isolated.
- The data will be in line with the formatting outlined in the “Third Party Supplied NDM Sample Data Guidance and File Format Document” with the following format:

RECORD/FIELD NAME	OPT	DOM	LNG	DEC	DESCRIPTION
METER_POINT_REFERENCE_NUMBER	M	N	10	0	A unique numeric reference associated to the supply meter point
LDZ_INDICATOR	M	T	2	0	Identifies the local distribution zone to which the supply point is associated
METER_READ_DATE	M	D	8	0	The date that the meter/converter read relates to in order to derive the volume (i.e. the date of the closing 5am read). For example, 'Meter_Read_Date' of '02102017' would relate to the Gas Day '01/10/2017'. FORMAT: DDMMYYYY
METER_SERIAL_NUMBER	M	T	14	0	The manufacturers meter serial number from which the meter

					read/consumption was taken
UNCORRECTED_VOLUME	M	N	12	0	The uncorrected metered volume calculated for the relevant gas day (in Cubic Metres or Cubic Feet)
CORRECTED_VOLUME	O	N	12	0	The corrector (converter) volume calculated for the relevant gas day (in Cubic Metres or Cubic Feet)
VOLUME_UNITS	M	T	2	0	Indicator identifying the unit of measurement of the stated uncorrected / corrected volume. Allowable values: CM = Cubic Metres CF = Cubic Feet
MARKET_SECTOR_FLAG	M	T	1	0	Identifies the type of consumer to enable accurate modelling. Allowable values: D = Domestic N = Non-Domestic

Note 1:

OPT - Optional, Mandatory

DOM - Domain i.e. Text, Numeric, Date

LNG – Number of characters

DEC - Number of decimal places

Note 2:

All text fields should not be enclosed in “double quotes”

Example file:

METER_POINT_REFERENCE_NUMBER,LDZ_INDICATOR,METER_READ_DATE,METER_SERIAL_NUMBER,UNCORRECTED_VOLUME,CORRECTED_VOLUME,VOLUME_UNITS,MARKET_SECTOR_FLAG

12345678,SO,19072014,G67354,55,56,CM,N

567891234,WM,19072014,H12345,25,,CF,D

- The file naming convention is: SM01_SSC_yyyymmddvv.CSV

Value	Description	DOM	LNG	Variables
SM01	Unique file identifier	T	4	'SM01'
SSC	The three letter shipper identifier	T	3	Shipper specific
yyyy	Year (relevant to the file date)	N	4	-
mm	Month (relevant to the file date)	N	2	'01' to '12'
dd	Day of the month (relevant to the file date)	N	2	'01' to '31'
vv	The in-day file version	N	2	Start at '01'
.CSV	File extension	T	4	' .CSV'

- Mirroring the current guidance, the business rules would be:
 - The Supply Meter Point must exist on the Sites and Meters system.
 - The Supply Meter Point status must be Non-Daily Metered (i.e. Product Class 3 or 4).
 - The Supply Meter Point must be Live (LI).
 - They must be randomly selected, covering all consumption bands supplied (excluding Band 09 – sites with AQs greater than 58,600 MWh).
 - A maximum of 100 supply meter points per EUC (End User Category), per LDZ (Local Distribution Zone), per shipper is suggested
- Where a Shipper has a portfolio which contains both GT and IGT Supply Meter Point(s) the sample should contain a selection of both
 - The sampling ratio between GT and IGT will be at the discretion of the Shipper but it is recommended to have a minimum of 1 Supply Meter Point per EUC per LDZ
- Shippers with smaller portfolios will be required to participate on a reasonable endeavours basis
 - Threshold of <25,000 Supply Meter Points
 - Supply Meter Points must meet the criteria for submission e.g. have 12 (or 13) months of sample data
 - Shippers can submit data but once the supply point count is greater than 25,000 the data must either be submitted monthly, quarterly or twice yearly in accordance with the submission rules
 - Once the threshold of [25,000] has been crossed the requirement to submit data continues regardless if the portfolio dips below 25,000 in the future
- Although the current guidance stipulates a maximum of 100 Supply Meter Points, if parties wish to submit additional data this should not be precluded but by bilateral agreement with the CDSP

- A PAC report is to be provided to monitor Shipper participation and ensure the data is provided in a timely manner
 - The report will be run a minimum of twice a year with the opportunity for PAC to request adhoc reports
 - Reports will be run no later than 1st May and 1st November
 - Where a Shipper as >[25,000] and hasn't submitted either a monthly or twice yearly sample they will be included in the report and will have a 0% shown for their submission
 - Where the Shipper provides a monthly or quarterly sample the report will show the latest submissions information
 - The high-level report will contain – any further information required by PAC will be developed by the PAC – the example report is below

Supplier	Submission Date YYYYMMDD	<{25,000) Y/N	% of portfolio Supplied	Contains IGTs Y/N	Monthly, Quarterly or Twice Yearly submission	Received within 5WD window Y/N
Supplier A	NA	Y	NA	NA	NA	NA
Supplier B	20190403	N	3%	Y	Monthly	Y

- Currently there is not an IX flow to submit this data and until such time this is developed the submission of this data is via password encrypted .CSV file to the designated CDSP mailbox Emails should be titled "SM01: Sample Data" and should be emailed to the following box account: xoserve.demand.estimate@xoserve.com
- Amendments to the sample format post implementation will be via standard DSC Change Management governance

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

None identified.

Consumer Impacts

No direct consumer impacts have been identified. However, the proposals set out in this modification should support more accurate allocation and cost targeting for Shippers which should have an indirect benefit to consumers by supporting competition.

Consumer Impact Assessment	
Criteria	Extent of Impact
Which Consumer groups are affected?	None identified.
What costs or benefits will pass through to them?	None identified.
When will these costs/benefits impact upon consumers?	None identified.
Are there any other Consumer Impacts?	None identified.

Cross Code Impacts

There are anticipated changes in the IGT UNC so an IGT UNC Modification (IGT110) has been sponsored by E.ON. Modification IGT110 seeks to point at the UNC text rather than have an independent solution.

No impacts are anticipated for the SPAA.

EU Code Impacts

None identified.

Central Systems Impacts

NDM Sample Data is already loaded when provided and unless any formatting changes are suggested via the workgroup there are no anticipated impacts other than an increase in the volume of data received.

A ROM has not been requested as there are no anticipated impacts identified on Central Systems

Workgroup Impact Assessment

The Workgroup has considered the process set out in the solution and has been unable to identify any material impacts on CDSP, Shipper or Transporter process. However, it was noted that new market entrants and parties with smaller portfolios should be excluded from the process until they were in a position to provide sufficient and consistent data.

Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Assessment

ROM not required.

7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of	None

(i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	Positive?
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

This modification has a positive impact on Relevant Objective F) as the data provision will have a positive impact on the profiling within the demand forecasting process for new and existing EUCs leading to improved allocation and reduced UIG.

In addition, this Modification may have minor benefits to Relevant Objective D) as the improved allocation should improve cost targeting and therefore further competition between Shippers and Suppliers.

8 Implementation

No implementation timescales are proposed. However, implementation could be immediately following an Authority Direction to do so.

9 Legal Text

Insert Proposers Suggested Legal Text where provided and not superseded by Transporters Text.

Legal text will be drawn up by the relevant Transporter at a time when the modification is sufficiently developed in line with the [Legal Text Guidance Document](#).

Legal Text has been provided by [name] and is [included below/published alongside this report]. The Workgroup has considered the Legal Text and is satisfied that it meets the intent of the Solution.

Text Commentary

Insert text here

Text

Insert text here

10 Recommendations

Workgroup's Recommendation to Panel

The Workgroup asks Panel to agree that:

- This [self-governance] modification should proceed to consultation.