

Rough Order Magnitude (ROM) Request

Change Reference Number: XRN4728

Please send completed form to: mailto:box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com

Section A: Change Details		
Change Title	Introduction of winter read/consumption reports and associated obligations	
Will the Change impact the UNC (YES / NO)		
MOD Reference (if raised)	UNC 0652 v3.0 (Please note this is a significant change to version 1.0 submitted in May) https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0652	
Contact Details of Person Requesting the ROM		
Contact Name	John Welch	
Contact Email	john.welch@npower.com	
Contact Phone	07557 170816	

Section B: Xoserve Acknowledgement and Business Analyst Contact Details		
	(Xoserve CIO Office will add)	
ROM Received Date		
ROM Response date		
Business Analyst Name	Deepa Chitty	
Business Analyst Email	deepa.chitty@xoserve.com	
Business Analyst Phone	0121 623 2565	



Rough Order Magnitude (ROM) Response

Type of ROM Evaluation

ROM for Code-Modification

The Proposed Change

(Xoserve's understanding of the Modification)

This ROM is intended to cover the reporting requirements defined in UNC Modification 0652 v3.0

This ROM includes the cost to add 4 new yearly reports to the Performance Assurance Report Register (PARR) and 4 new yearly reports to Users (Shippers)

The introduction of supporting reports and an additional obligation would ensure that users have regular proactive prompts (when winter reads have become due), as well as reactive reminders (when reads have not been sent) and can therefore make appropriate updates to ensure the industry process works optimally. This would then lead to more accurate demand estimation, and therefore a reduction in levels of temporary UIG, as well as more accurate SOQ calculation (with the associated benefits for transporter capacity planning).

These series of reports, plus additional obligations, would increase the level of sites receiving an accurate WAR band, and therefore the accuracy of the demand estimation calculations. It would also serve to highlight and focus efforts on an arguably less well-known industry process that supports the demand estimation calculations.

S.no.	Month	Report Detail	Recipient
1	Мау	Supply Meter Points which are eligible for winter consumption calculation and where a winter consumption could not be calculated for the gas year ahead as a read was not submitted in the preceding winter period	Users (Shippers)
2	June	Number of Supply Meter Points potentially without winter consumption for the gas year ahead (related to report 1).	PAC
3	October	Supply Meter Points where a winter consumption correction was potentially required in September but did not take place	Users (Shippers)
4	October	Number of Supply Meter Points where winter consumption correction did not take place (related to report 3).	PAC
5	December	Supply Meter Points which are eligible for winter consumption calculation and where a winter start read is not submitted in the month of November.	Users (Shippers)



6	December	Number of Supply Meter Points that have not fulfilled their read submission obligation (related to report 5)	PAC
7	April	Supply Meter Points which are eligible for winter consumption calculation and where a winter end read is not submitted in the month of March (similar to report 5)	Users (Shippers)
8	April	Number of Supply Meter Points that have not fulfilled their read submission obligation (related to report 7, similar to report 6)	PAC

Change Impacts

General Impacts to Xoserve and External Parties:

No direct business process impacts are foreseen.

External Interface Impacts (Changes to Screens, Portals, Files, Permitted Values, etc.)

A set of 4 new yearly reports will be added to the existing PARR to be delivered to PAC members and a second set of 4 new yearly reports will be delivered to Users (Shippers).

Impacts to Gemini System:

None

Impacts UKL Manual Appendix 5b:

None

DSC Service Areas Impacted:

The ongoing provision of these reports is covered under Service Line DS-CS SA3 - 16

DS-CS-A3-16	Provision of Performance Assurance Reporting	Ongoing	Provision of Performance Assurance Reporting to the Performance Assurance Committee	In accordance with the Performance Assurance Report Register	Publication to Shipper Users and Performance Assurance Committee as required
-------------	---	---------	---	--	--

Costs and Timescales



Change Costs (implementation):				
For each costed solution option				
An enduring solution will cost at least £19,000, but probably not more than £36,000 to implement.				
Change Costs (on-going):				
None				
Timescales:				
The change congestion and priorities at the time of Change Proposal submission will determine when the reports can be delivered.				
The delivery mechanism and timeframes within the month will be issued within a change pack once detailed design concludes to Change Management Committee				
Assumptions:				
It is assumed that the reports 5 to 8 will not exclude and will not separately count those SMPs that are potentially justified for being unable to have provided sufficient data to calculate a WC, e.g. SMP had no meter or no registered Shipper on Nov 1 st or Mar 1 st . It is assumed that the reports to BAC are percent and property and reports.				
2. It is assumed that the reports to PAC are named; non-anonymised reports.				
Dependencies:				
None				
Constraints:				
None				
Observations:				
None				

