Mod 0664

Theoretical examples

Core Concepts

- 1 Obligation on shippers to move supply points into class 4 when read performance goes below a certain level for a certain period
- 2 Obligation on Xoserve to transfer sites when above obligation not met
- 3 Incentive charge to recoup any benefits received during period of below target performance

Worked example – performance obligation (1)

- In this example, six shippers are shown (fictional performance levels)
- 3 shippers consistently meet the performance standards
- 3 do not
- 1 shipper has no reads successfully submitted across the period

Example – Class 3

	Read Submission	90% target		
	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Threshold met?
Shipper A	95%	96%	93%	Υ
Shipper B	91%	90%	89%	Υ
Shipper C	75%	94%	97%	Υ
Shipper D	75%	74%	75%	N
Shipper E	34%	24%	25%	N
Shipper F	0%	0%	0%	N

Worked example – performance obligation (2)

- Shipper D moves own selected supply points
- Shipper E CDSP moved SPs
- Shipper F CDSP moves SPs

	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3
Shipper D	75%	74%	72%



Month 4	
77%	

No further
action
required

Month 5	Month 6	
93%	94%	

	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3
Shipper E	34%	24%	25%



Month 5	Month 6
93%	91%

N/A

Month 6

N/A



Shipper E & F – CDSP class transfer

Tranche based format

	Tranche	AQ	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6
MPRN 1	1	50,000	N	N	N	N	N	N
MPRN 2	1	40,000	N	N	N	N	N	N
MPRN 3	1	30,000	N	N	N	N	N	N
MPRN 4	1	20,000	N	N	<u>Y</u>	N	N	N
MPRN 5	1	10,000	N	<u>Y</u>	N	<u>Y</u>	N	N
MPRN 6	2	50,000	N	<u>Y</u>	N	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	N
MPRN 7	2	40,000	N	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	N	N
MPRN 8	2	30,000	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	N	N	N
MPRN 9	2	20,000	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	N	N	N
MPRN 10	2	10,000	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	N	N	N
MPRN 11	3	50,000	<u>Y</u>	N	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>
MPRN 12	3	40,000	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	N	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>
MPRN 13	3	30,000	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	N	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>
MPRN 14	3	20,000	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	N	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>
MPRN 15	3	10,000	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	N	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>

- To consider trigger automated or manual
- Definition of end point?

Incentive charging

- Principle is that there is still an incentive to move classes to receive lower UIG weighting (in some EUC bands) even if supply points could be moved
- Below Weighting factor table gas year 2018/19

	Product/Class					
EUC	1 2		3	4		
01B	0.17	43.06	46.41	94.64		
02B	0.17	43.06	46.41	109.77		
03B	0.17	43.06	44.06	107.52		
04B	0.17	43.06	43.60	43.76		
05B	0.17	43.06	46.06	43.20		
06B	0.17	44.54	46.06	42.65		
07B	0.17	32.41	46.06	42.33		
08B	0.17	4.38	33.40	42.24		
09B	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17		

Incentive charging – worked example

• Fictional LDZ of 500 GWh (annual) for illustrative purposes

	Product/Class					
EUC	1	2	3	4		
01B	0	0	5,000,000	300,000,000		
02B	0	0	5,000,000	15,000,000		
03B	0	0	10,000,000	10,000,000		
04B	0	0	5,000,000	10,000,000		
05B	0	2,500,000	5,000,000	5,000,000		
06B	0	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000		
07B	0	5,000,000	2,500,000	5,000,000		
08B	0	25,000,000	0	0		
09B	75,000,000	0	0	0		

Average day consumption

	Product/Class					
EUC	1	2	3	4		
01B	0	0	13,699	821,918		
02B	0	0	13,699	41,096		
03B	0	0	27,397	27,397		
04B	0	0	13,699	27,397		
05B	0	6,849	13,699	13,699		
06B	0	13,699	13,699	13,699		
07B	0	13,699	6,849	13,699		
08B	0	68,493	0	0		
09B	205,479	0	0	0		

Incentive charging – weighted throughput

Average day weighted throughput

	Product/Class				
EUC	1	2	3	4	
01B	0	0	635,753	77,786,301	
02B	0	0	635,753	4,511,096	
03B	0	0	1,207,123	2,945,753	
04B	0	0	597,260	1,198,904	
05B	0	294,932	630,959	591,781	
06B	0	610,137	630,959	584,247	
07B	0	443,973	315,479	579,863	
08B	0	300,000	0	0	
09B	34,932	0	0	0	

• Share of UIG of 68,493 (5% of daily average consumption)

	Product/Class					
EUC	1	2	3	4		
01B	0	0	461	56,358		
02B	0	0	461	3,268		
03B	0	0	875	2,134		
04B	0	0	433	869		
05B	0	214	457	429		
06B	0	442	457	423		
07B	0	322	229	420		
08B	0	217	0	0		
09B	25	0	0	0		

Shipper D, E and F benefit

• Option 1 – All portfolio Option 2 – Moved MPRNs Option 3 – Performance weighted

Shipper D

EUC Band 2	(75%)	Option 1		Opti	Option 2		Option 3	
		Day	3 months	Day	3 months	Day	3 months	
Shipper A	Class 3	461	41,490	92	8,280	69	6,224	
	Class 4	1080	97,200	217	19,530	162	14,580	
%age diff		57%	57%	58%	58%	57%	57%	

Shipper E

EUC Band 3	(25%)	Option 1		Option 2		Option 3	
		Day	3 months	Day	3 months	Day	3 months
Shipper B	Class 3	875	78,750	700	63,000	569	51,188
	Class 4	2096	188,640	1,361	122,490	1,362	122,616
%age diff		58%	58%	49%	49%	58%	58%

Shipper F

EUC Band 8	(0%)	Option 1		Option 2		Option 3	
		Day	3 months	Day	3 months	Day	3 months
Shipper C	Class 2	217	19,530	217	19,530	217	19,530
	Class 4	2,040	183,600	2,040	183,600	2,040	183,600
%age diff		89%	89%	89%	89%	89%	89%

• Formula required: $AQ/365 \times No.$ of days x (Weighting factor 1 – Weighting factor 2) / Weighted throughput for period x Total UIG for period

For consideration

Charge circulation

• Charges recouped to be refunded to all parties by market share, minus [2]% admin fee

Other issues

- Direction of weighting factors positive principle?
- Trigger for CDSP obligation report? Manual check?
- Trigger for shipper?
- Newly gained supply point treatment?

Mod strands

- New entrants? Link to PC4 read performance prior to entry?
- Ongoing read performance?
- Is the current mod going beyond the specific issue currently at hand?
- Two mods? New entrants / Ongoing read performance
- Balance between enabling new entry but incentivising good quality read submission, with a system solution that isn't complex and costly