Managing Inefficient bypass in Charging

**NTSCMF/MOD0670R: 07 April 2020** 



## Agenda

NTSCMF/MOD0670R: 07 April 2020



## **Agenda**

01	Overview	04
02	Next Steps	06
03	Comparison MOD0718/A/B/C	08
04	Contact Details	13

## **Overview**

NTSCMF/MOD0670R: 07 April 2020



### **Overview**

# UNC0717 and UNC0718/A/B/C were raised and sent to Ofgem with Urgent Status

- On 19<sup>th</sup> March Ofgem decided to not accept Urgent Status on these Modifications.
- Ofgem said 'that both the licence conditions governing the arrangements around UNC and the existing UNC Modification Rules preclude us from considering proposals against a baseline that is not at that point in time part of the UNC.'

# On 26<sup>th</sup> March the proposes of the Modifications withdrew the Modifications:

- The Code Administrator has advised that an Urgency Request cannot be submitted again on the same proposal following a rejection
- National Grid considers that urgency is necessary
- Withdraw 0718 and raise under a new modification number

## **Next Steps**

NTSCMF/MOD0670R: 07 April 2020



### **Next Steps**

### **After this NTSCMF meeting:**

- Propose National Grid host some WebEx session(s) to cover the comparative analysis between 0718/A/B/C along with other proposers
- Propose National Grid undertake an informal consultation on the proposals, so industry have a time to formulate and air views.
  - Could support a more compressed timetable post 0678 decision.
- Timelines are tight to conclude UNC change processes and to decide and implement one of the (formally 0718) solutions for 1 October 2020. These could be ways to enable October 2020 implementation to be achieved.

# Raise the Modification based on MOD0718 proposal after a decision on MOD0678. Proposed approach:

- Legal text aligned to the UNC after MOD0678 decision to implement
  - Ie. Only working of the "live" UNC.
- Without a UNC0678 decision, the mods would not be raised. National Grid NTSCMF / 0670R 07 04 2020

# Comparison MOD0718

**NTSCMF/MOD0670R: 07 April 2020** 



### **Comparison of MOD0718 Alternatives**

#### **Comparison between the proposals**

- Using the same format as in previous analysis given in workgroups, we have run a comparison of each of the four alternatives presented
- 0718C differs to the others as it does not consider utilised capacity
- This is based on the same data sets as presented previously, with more granularity on some of the items.
- The following slide outlines each of the data items being compared looking at the eligible routes for the respective modifications.
  - They use the same underlying data on capacity and utilisation (e.g. there will be examples where utilisation is not 100% of the capacity) and this will show across the proposals in terms of the impacts under each.

## **Comparison of MOD0718 Alternatives**

	Description		
TS Standard Rate Contribution	Ineligible Capacity for eligible routes (as defined by the business rules applicable to the alternative) multiplied by Standard Entry and Exit Rates		
TS Discounted Rate Contribution	Eligible Capacity multiplied by Standard Rates and Discount Factor		
Standard Gen Non-TS Contribution	Ineligible Flow for eligible routes multiplied by Standard General Non- Transmission Rates		
Discounted Gen Non-TS Contribution	Eligible Flow multiplied by Standard General Non-Transmission Rates		
Potential TS Socialisation	Revenue effectively not paid due to discounts applicable to Capacity Charges		
TS Socialisation as % of TO MAR	Transmission Services Revenue effectively not paid, expressed as a percentage of TO Maximum Allowed Revenue		
Gen Non-TS Socialisation	Revenue not paid due to discounts applicable to Flow Charges		
Gen Non-TS Socialisation as % of SO MAR	General Non-Transmission Services Revenue not paid, expressed as a percentage of SO Maximum Allowed Revenue		
Total Socialisation as % of Total MAR	Total revenue not paid expressed as a percentage of total Maximum Allowe Revenue		
Routes Considered	Number of currently active NTS OCC routes which are able to achieve a discount based on the respective alternative.		
Max Effective Rate Discount	The highest discount level achieved by any route under the respective alternative		
Longest Route Considered	The distance in km of the longest route which can achieve a discount under the respective alternative		

## **Comparison of MOD0718 Alternatives**

	0718	0718A	0718B	0718C
TS Standard Rate Contribution	£91,050,510.89	£91,050,510.89	£112,398,543.94	£0.00
TS Discounted Rate Contribution	£12,599,653.97	£12,599,653.97	£29,932,749.22	£39,190,887.90
Standard Gen Non-TS Contribution	£30,965,026.67	£0.00	£0.00	£30,965,026.67
Discounted Gen Non-TS Contribution	£0.00	£6,193,005.33	£12,693,982.19	£0.00
Potential TS Socialisation	£54,825,410.84	£54,825,410.84	£59,230,544.91	£120,262,440.82
TS Socialisation as % of TO MAR	7.2%	7.2%	7.8%	15.9%
Gen Non-TS Socialisation	£0.00	£24,772,021.33	£28,254,347.46	£0.00
Gen Non-TS Socialisation as % of SO MAR	0.0%	11.7%	13.3%	0.0%
Total Socialisation as % of Total MAR	5.7%	8.2%	9.0%	12.4%
Routes Considered	17	17	22	19
Max Effective Rate Discount	62%	87%	83%	90%
Longest Route Considered	17.7	17.7	27.2	17.7

### **Comparison of MOD0718 Alternatives: Points to note**

- 0718 & 0718A offer the same TS discount to the same 17 routes, so the TS figures are identical, they diverge when considering the Gen Non-TS socialisation.
- 0718B works over a longer distance so enables 5 additional routes, increasing the TS socialisation and the Gen Non-TS Socialisation, though this is tempered slightly by a decreased Gen Non-TS discount offered.
- For 0718C we have used the minimum of the Entry and Exit FCC to calculate the capacity eligible for discount, this is regardless of whether or not that capacity has been utilised.
- This means two additional routes within the distance boundary become eligible for the capacity discount, but because they have no flow registered against them the Gen Non-TS contribution still matches 0718, here it is the TS contribution which differs.

## **Contact Details**

**NTSCMF/MOD0670R: 07 April 2020** 



### **Contact National Grid**

For any queries, comments, or more information please contact:

Box.gsoconsultations@nationalgrid.com

### Or directly:

- Colin Williams (<u>colin.williams@nationalgrid.com</u>)
- Daniel Hisgett (<u>daniel.hisgett@nationalgrid.com</u>)
- Laura Johnson (<u>laura.johnson@nationalgrid.com</u>)