# At what stage is this document in the process? **UNC Workgroup Report** UNC 0738: Modification 02 Incremental NTS Entry Capacity Draft Modification Report Surrender 04 **Purpose of Modification:** To allow incremental NTS entry capacity to be surrendered. The Workgroup recommends that this Modification should not be subject to self-The Panel will consider this Workgroup Report on 17 December 2020. The Panel will consider the recommendations and determine the appropriate next steps. High Impact: None Medium Impact: None Low Impact: Shippers [confirm impacts, if low why is it authority direction?]

Deleted: 0.21

Deleted: 2.0

Deleted: 120

Deleted: 265

Contents			<b>?</b> Any	
<ol> <li>Summary</li> <li>Governance</li> <li>Why Change?</li> <li>Code Specific Matters</li> <li>Solution</li> <li>Impacts &amp; Other Considerations</li> <li>Relevant Objectives</li> <li>Implementation</li> <li>Legal Text</li> <li>Recommendations</li> </ol>		3 3 4 4 4 8 9 9	questions?  Contact: Joint Office of Gas Transporters  enquiries@qasqove rnance.co.uk  olivity	Deleted: 43  Deleted: 54  Deleted: 95  Deleted: 96  Deleted: 96  Deleted: 96
Timetable  Modification timetable: Initial consideration by Workgroup  Amended Modification considered by Workgroup	05 November 2020 03 December 2020		07768456604 Transporter: National Grid NTS	
Workgroup Report presented to Panel Draft Modification Report issued for consultation Consultation Close-out for representations Final Modification Report available for Panel	17, December, 2020 17, December, 2020 11, January, 2021, 14 January, 2021,		Colin.Williams@nationalgrid.com 01926 655916 or 07785 451776	Deleted: 9 Deleted: November Deleted: 9 Deleted: November Deleted: November Deleted: 0 Deleted: December
Modification Panel decision (at short notice)	21, January, 2021,		Systems Provider: Xoserve  UKLink@xoserve.c om	Deleted: December  Deleted: 0  Deleted: December  Deleted: 0  Deleted: 17  Deleted: December  Deleted: 0

Deleted: 0.21
Deleted: 2.0
Deleted: 120
Deleted: 265

#### **Summary**

#### What

This proposal seeks to allow Users to surrender incremental NTS entry capacity allocated as a result of bids in quarterly system entry capacity auctions in the period from April 2017 to September 2020.

When developing projects that require NTS entry capacity, incremental entry capacity will be released if National Grid's requirements for releasing capacity are met. By meeting these requirements, the principle of user commitment is delivered. Bids for NTS entry capacity assessed in auctions between April 2017 and September 2020 were assessed on the basis of the published reserve prices, and Shippers were able to develop bidding strategies designed to meet the entry capacity release requirements.

Following implementation of Modification 0678A, Amendments to Gas Transmission Charging Regime (Postage Stamp), the prices to be paid for incremental capacity can be materially different to the prices that triggered incremental capacity being allocated, and the resulting user commitment may be materially increased. Bidding strategies may have been materially different if the eventual prices had been known, although still designed to ensure the necessary user commitment was demonstrated.

#### How

It is proposed that Users are able to apply to National Grid NTS to surrender incremental capacity that was allocated in the period from April 2017 to September 2020. If National Grid NTS accept the application, the User's capacity holdings will be reduced.

#### Governance

#### **Justification for Self-Governance**

The value of incremental capacity that would fall within the remit of this modification proposal is not material and hence self-governance is appropriate.

#### **Justification for Authority Direction**

Whilst the proposer had originally suggested that this Modification should be Self Governance, UNC Panel determined that Modification 0738 is likely to have a material effect because of the value of incremental capacity that would fall within the remit of this Modification proposal. Hence self-governance is not appropriate, and Modification 0738 will therefore follow Authority Direction procedures.

Workgroup, participants reviewed the Self Governance Criteria and some believe that due to parties incurring an additional [material] cost that they believe that this does not meet the self-governance criteria and should follow authority direction.

#### **Requested Next Steps**

This Modification should:

- Should follow authority direction
- Be issued to consultation

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: m

Deleted: 2.0

Deleted: 120 Deleted: 265

Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Deleted: determined Formatted: Font color: Text 1

Deleted: is material and Deleted: h Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Deleted: Deleted: Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Commented [AR1]: Workgroup Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Deleted: ing Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Deleted: to Deleted: Workgroup requested additional analysis to provide materiality on potential costs. Deleted: ¶ Deleted: ¶ Deleted: 0.21

### 3 Why Change?

Development of projects that require NTS Entry Capacity can be underway at any time. Having assured NTS\* entry rights is a critical project issue. The routes for acquiring NTS Entry Capacity are well established, notably bidding for incremental entry capacity in QSEC auctions. Incremental capacity will be released if National Grid's requirements for capacity release are met. By meeting these requirements, the principle of user commitment is delivered.

While Modification 0678, Amendments to Gas Transmission Charging Regime, was under consideration, entry related projects were not put on hold. Those requiring entry capacity after April 2017 could see the published reserve prices and create bidding strategies based on those prices. This was the only possible strategy since any such bids would be assessed by National Grid NTS on the same basis. Following implementation of Modification 0678A, Amendments to Gas Transmission Charging Regime (Postage Stamp), the prices to be paid from 1 October 2020 for incremental capacity released after April 2017 can be materially different to the prices that triggered incremental capacity being allocated, and the resulting user commitment may be materially increased. Bidding strategies may have been materially different if the eventual prices had been known, although still designed to ensure the necessary user commitment was delivered.

A consequence of Modification 0678A being implemented is therefore that some Users will face costs for entry capacity that are well in excess of the indicated user commitment level, and these Users may have bid significantly differently if there had been any way in which the eventual outcome would be known. In essence, the user commitment level has been changed retrospectively, potentially leaving Users facing materially higher charges than could reasonably have been anticipated, and the Proposer would suggest that this retrospective impact appears to be an unintended consequence of Modification 0678A.

# 4 Code Specific Matters

#### **Reference Documents**

None

#### Knowledge/Skills

None

## 5 Solution

It is proposed that the UNC be amended to include a provision that Users who have been allocated incremental\* NTS entry capacity following quarterly system entry capacity auctions held in the period between 1 April 2017 and 30 September 2020 can, within one month of this Modification being implemented, make a single application to National Grid NTS for entry capacity to be surrendered. The application must indicate the amount of capacity for which surrender is being sought, which must be no more than the quantity allocated and must be specified as the reduction in capacity that is being sought each day, and the first gas day on which capacity is to be surrendered across the whole period for which surrender is being sought. The specified first gas day must be the first day in a quarter (i.e. 1 January, 1 April, 1 July or 1 October) and be more than one month after the day on which the application is submitted, and the requested reduction would apply to all days for which capacity had been booked on that and all subsequent gas days. 

‡. Users would not, therefore, be able to sculpt the surrender but only specify a daily reduction to apply uniformly.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Commented [LO2]: Tim Davis provided updated Modification on 26th November (V2.0) and this has been incorporated in the Workgroup Report which had commenced development during the November 2020 TWG meeting

**Deleted:** across the whole period for which surrender is being sough...

Deleted: across a period

Deleted: 0.21

Deleted: 2.0

Deleted: 120

Deleted: 265

Workgroup Report

Page 4 of 9

Version 0.4 30 November 2020

It is proposed that National Grid NTS must accept or reject each application to surrender capacity within one month of receiving such application. It is proposed that National Grid NTS accept or reject any application, based on National Grid's interpretation of the following guidance:

All capacity that was allocated in the relevant auctions of quarterly system entry capacity other than obligated capacity is within scope and may be surrendered.

Only applications that specify a single surrender quantity will be accepted, which must be specified in kilowatt hours per gas day.

Only applications that specify the first day of a quarter as the first surrender day will be accepted, and only if the specified date is more than one month after the application was received by National Grid NTS.

If the requested surrender quantity is greater than the available capacity for any day, capacity holdings should be reduced to zero for that day.

With respect to any application to surrender capacity, National Grid NTS shall calculate:

the value of the full commitment made by that User when incremental capacity was allocated - that is, the relevant QSEC reserve/step price multiplied by the full allocated volume, not just the requested surrender capacity.

the amount that the User is expected to be liable to pay for the same incremental capacity prior to the first day on which surrender is being sought - that is, the latest available capacity price published by National Grid NTS multiplied by the amount of allocated capacity up to the relevant date.

National Grid NTS should only accept an application to surrender capacity if B is greater than A.

# **Impacts & Other Considerations**

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

No.

#### **Consumer Impacts**

None \_ no direct impact to consumers was identified

#### **Consumer Impact Assessment**

(Workgroup assessment of proposer initial view or subsequent information)

#### **Extent of Impact**

Which Consumer groups are affected?

Please consider each group and delete if not applicable.

- **Domestic Consumers**
- Small non-domestic Consumers
- Large non-domestic Consumers
- Very Large Consumers

Deleted: While i

Deleted: not

Deleted: to specify the grounds on which

Deleted: may

**Deleted:** leaving discretion to take in to account the specific circumstances, it is suggested

Deleted: that a key criteria should be that the amount expected to be collected from the relevant User should be no less than the initially anticipated user commitment (i.e. the allocated volume multiplied by the reserve price published at the time).¶

Deleted: as Formatted: Highlight Deleted: ere

Deleted: 0.21 Deleted: 2.0 Deleted: 120 Deleted: 265

Workgroup Report

Page 5 of 9

Version 0.4 30 November 2020

What costs or benefits will pass through to them?	Please explain what costs will ultimately flow through to each Consumer group. If no costs pass through to Consumers, please explain why. Use the General Market Assumptions approved by Panel to express as 'cost per consumer'.  Insert text here		
When will these costs/benefits impact upon consumers?	Unless this is 'immediately on implementation', please explain any deferred impact.  Insert text here		
Are there any other Consumer Impacts?	Prompts: Are there any impacts on switching? Is the provision of information affected? Are Product Classes affected? Insert text here		
General Market Assumptions as at December	2016 (to underpin the Costs analysis)		
Number of Domestic consumers	21 million		
Number of non-domestic consumers <73,200 kW	n/annum 500,000		
Number of consumers between 73,200 and 732,0	00 kWh/annum 250,000		
Number of very large consumers >732,000 kWh/a	nnum 26,000		

# **Cross Code Impacts**

None [why not]

#### **EU Code Impacts**

None [why not?]

#### **Central Systems Impacts**

It is believed that existing capacity reduction provisions in Central Systems will be able to accommodate any reductions in entry capacity holdings.

#### **Workgroup Impact Assessment**

The Workgroup commenced initial discussion of this proposal as a pre modification during the October Transmission Workgroup, followed by Panel in October. Panel agreed that Modification 0738 should report in December.

As detailed in the Governance section of this proposal Panel, determined that Modification 0738 is likely to have a material effect because of the value of incremental capacity that would fall within the remit of this Modification Proposal, and hence self-governance is not appropriate.

Nevertheless, UNC Panel requested that Workgroup review the decision on Self-Governance and a summary of the discussion is set out below.

Workgroup concluded, after reviewing the Self-Governance Criteria, that to assess the effect on competition in, or commercial activities related to, the shipping, transportation or supply of gas\_ti would be good to obtain further UNC 0738 Page 6 of 9 Version 0.4
Workgroup Report 30. November 2020

Formatted: Justified Deleted: Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Formatted: Justified Deleted: Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Deleted: discuss Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Deleted: with the Workgroup Deleted: criteria to determine. Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Deleted: noted Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Deleted: that Deleted: 0.21 Deleted: 2.0 Deleted: 120 Deleted: 265

information on the materiality of the redistribution of costs (e.g. significant cost for few or additional costs for many). National Grid indicated that the materiality of capacity within scope was [XXXX]. Given this it was agreed that the Modification should follow authority direction. It was noted that permitting shippers to hand capacity back was a fundamental change, and given the extent to which the facility would be used is unknown, implementation could result in a material event.

National Grid noted that there is justification for limiting the surrender of incremental capacity based on the financial commitment required to release incremental capacity and the observation of QSEC 2020 is there is no Net Present Value ('NPV') test within that period and therefore no obvious justification to include 2020 within the Scope of this Modification. Conversely, some workgroup participants were of the view, that where any User commitment is created by the release of capacity, then that capacity should equally qualify for this process.

Workgroup requested National Grid to provide an analysis of incremental allocations between April 2017 and September 2020. One participant requested that National Grid Jook at initial commitments compared to the ongoing commitments, post-October 2020, and to present and publish this information in advance of the December Transmission Workgroup meeting.

An initial representation was received by Storengy in support of the Modification based on <u>current investment</u> decisions <u>made in QSEC\_compared to</u> what they could be in the future. <u>They concluded that this could be an</u> ongoing problem for QSEC auctions, as parties <u>continue</u> to obtain capacity without knowing the future cost implications. Workgroup agreed with this statement. <u>National Grid reaffirmed that it has the ability to advise and publish periodic price changes and provides notice of forthcoming changes, in the latest price change no forecasts were available <u>for advanced publication</u>.</u>

It was noted by a workgroup participant that any other issues that are not relevant to this Modification should be raised through an alternative modification. | [doesn't seem to add any value]

The proposer noted that <u>parties</u> have to make <u>investment</u> decisions and acquire capacity <u>to support</u> projects proceeding and <u>it is unreasonable that retrospective cost variations should be permitted without an opportunity to reconsider and reappraise those decisions.</u>

```
Deleted: As such...ational Grid indicated that the materiality ...
Deleted: ... was agreed that it
Deleted:
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Deleted: [ Not sure what value this adds]
Deleted: t
Deleted: noted ...that where there is
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Deleted: ...required by National Grid...reated by to ensure ....
Deleted: than that
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Deleted: A
Deleted: to
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Deleted: the
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Deleted:
Deleted: TWG
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Deleted: now...compared as ...o what they could be in the ...
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Deleted: ...ational Grid reaffirmed that it has the NG ...bility
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Deleted: h
Deleted: to be published
Commented [AR3]: Not sure this is need unless the contex
Commented [LO4R3]: Will validate this during the meeting ....
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Deleted: project
Deleted: users
Formatted
Deleted: for
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Deleted: to
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Deleted: where this requirement is required is deemed to be
Formatted: Font color: Text 1
Deleted: d
Deleted: on the costs that was initially thought to incur
Deleted: 0.21
```

Deleted: , where it entails parties incurring an additional ...osts

Deleted: 2.0 Deleted: 120 Deleted: 265

# **Relevant Objectives**

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:				
Relevant Objective	Identified impact			
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None			
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of	None			
(i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or				
(ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.				
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None			
d) Securing of effective competition:	Positive			
(i) between relevant shippers;				
(ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or				
(iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shipper	S.			
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None			
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	None			
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.				

Efficient allocation of NTS entry capacity supports Users paying for the capacity they use, delivering costreflectivity and so helping to secure effective competition. <u>Unpredictable retrospective changes to charges</u> discourage investment, and removing the uncertainty, created by the present situation, for new entry projects would help to support the securing of effective competition.

Version 0.4 30 November 2020 Page 8 of 9 Workgroup Report

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Justified Deleted: ¶
The effect on investment and attracting investors Deleted: 0.21 Deleted: 2.0 Deleted: 120 Deleted: 265

# 8 Implementation

No implementation timescales are proposed. However, implementation could be directly following an Authority decision to do so.

# 9 Legal Text

### **Text Commentary**

National Grid NTS <u>agreed at UNC Panel in November that they</u> will provide legal text for this Modification and will decide how the proposal is best incorporated within the UNC, as a one-off opportunity to apply for capacity surrender is envisaged, inclusion in the transition document would seem appropriate.

[Have the workgroup reviewed the legal text or will do so at the next meeting, if not this needs to be recorded]

# 10 Recommendations

#### **Workgroup's Recommendation to Panel**

The Workgroup asks Panel to agree that this  $\underline{\mathsf{M}}$  odification should proceed to consultation.

Deleted: |

Deleted: will be in line with

Deleted: Ofgem

Formatted: Justified Deleted: .

Deleted: direction

Deleted: While it is expected that

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: m

Deleted: 0.21

Deleted: 120 Deleted: 265