Draft 1.0: 23 March 2021

MODIFICATION 0758

TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF AUG STATEMENT CREATION PROCESS

[Draft] proposed legal text

TRANSITION DOCUMENT

PART IIC - TRANSITIONAL RULES

Add new paragraph 24.2 to read as follows:

24.2 AUG Table for AUG Year 2021/22

- 24.2.1 In respect of AUG Year 2021/22 notwithstanding the Committee approving the AUG Statement and the AUG Table in accordance with TPD Section E9.4.3:
 - the AUG Table approved by the Committee ("approved AUG Table") shall not apply (and accordingly Section E9.4.4(a) and (b) shall not apply in respect of the approved AUG Table); and
 - (b) the AUG Table set out in paragraph 24.2.3 ("transitional AUG Table") shall apply in its place, and the transitional AUG Table shall:
 - (i) not be subject to later modification in relation to AUG Year 2021/22;
 - (ii) be binding for the purposes of the Code.
- 24.2.2 The Committee shall publish the transitional AUG Table (and make clear in doing so that the approved AUG Table shall not apply).
- 24.2.3 The AUG Table for AUG Year 2021/22 shall be as follows:

| Supply Points | | | | | Metered CSEPs | | |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Class 1 | Class 2 | Class 3 | Class 4 | Category | Allocation factor | |
| EUC | | Allocatio | on factor | | All Metered CSEPs as a | | |
| 1ND | 0.22 | 5.28 | 45.30 | 120.98 | single category | | |
| 1PD | 0.22 | 5.28 | 45.30 | 120.98 | | | |
| 1NI | 0.22 | 5.28 | 45.30 | 120.98 | | | |
| 1PI | 0.22 | 5.28 | 45.30 | 120.98 | | | |

24 March 2021 DBT/DBT/053191.00093/77295426.1 **Commented [Dentons1]:** The 12 March version of the proposal shows in paragraph 9 proposed deletion of references in TPD E9.4.4 to the AUG Table but not to the AUG Statement. Though in practical terms (i.e. for purposes of operation of TPD E1.1.6) the statement is not relevant this seems to indicate AUG Statement for 2021/22 is as approved by the Committee. See below.

Commented [Dentons2]: Not mentioned in proposal – but seems sensible.

Page 1

| 2 ND | 0.22 | 5.28 | 13.68 | 117.79 |
|-----------------|------|------|-------|--------|
| 2PD | 0.22 | 5.28 | 13.68 | 117.79 |
| 2NI | 0.22 | 5.28 | 13.68 | 117.79 |
| 2PI | 0.22 | 5.28 | 13.68 | 117.79 |
| 3 | 0.22 | 4.93 | 9.17 | 15.29 |
| 4 | 0.22 | 3.87 | 9.17 | 11.76 |
| 5 | 0.22 | 2.47 | 8.56 | 8.04 |
| 6 | 0.22 | 1.13 | 6.30 | 4.79 |
| 7 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 5.14 | 2.47 |
| 8 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.42 | 1.55 |
| 9 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 |

24.2.4 [For the purposes of TPD Section E9.4.1(d) and preparing the AUG Statement for AUG Year 2022/23 the methodology to be updated shall be the methodology contained in the AUG Statement approved by the Committee for AUG Year [2021/22].]

Commented [Dentons3]: So the starting point for 2022/23 is the methodology in the approved AUG Statement for 2021/22, as the rule requires the updating of the methodology (contained in the AUG Statement) applying for the previous year. This may not be a big point – unless the idea for 2022/23 is to update the methodology from 2020/21? If so, we could add words to make this clear.